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#### Abstract

APPLICANTS MOTION MADE UNDER PROTEST AND WITHOUT WAIVING OF IMMUNITY accorded to a Head of State President Charles Ghankay Taylor requesting that the Trial Chamber do quash the said approved indictment of $7^{\text {th }}$ March $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ of Judge Bankole Thompson and that the aforesaid purported Warrant of Arrest and Order for transfer and detention of the same date issued by Judge Bankole Thompson of the Special Court for Sierra Leone, and all other consequential and related ORDER(S) granted thereafter by either the said Judge Bankole Thompson OR Judge Pierre Boutet on the $12^{\text {th }}$ June 2003 against the person of the said President Charles Ghankay Taylor be declared null and void, invalid at their inception and that they be accordingly cancelled and/OR set aside as a matter of Law.


(1) (a) INTRODUCTION:-

Having regard to the provisions of Rules 47 and 54 respectively of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Special Court for Sierra Leone and without in any way amounting to the WAIVING of ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY against criminal proceedings accorded to President Charles. Ghankay Taylor in accordance with customary international law and the jurisprudence of the International Court of Justice in his capacity as Head of State of the Republic of Liberia since he was lawfully elected President of the Republic of Liberia in 1997, the applicants herein namely the Republic of Liberia and the said President Charles Ghankay Taylor hereby request for an Order quashing the indictment approved on the $7^{\text {ih }}$ March 2003 by Judge Bankole Thompson of the Special Court for Sierra Leone as well as for an Order canceling and/OR setting aside the purported Order and consequential Warrant of Arrest of the same date issued by the said Judge Bankole Thompson and all other consequential and related Order(s) granted thereafter by either the said Judge Bankole Thompson OR Judge Pierre Boutet on the $12^{\text {th }}$ June 2003 against the person of the said President Charles Ghankay Taylor.
(i) On the $2^{\text {nd }}$ August 1997 Charles Ghankay Taylor took his oath of office as the President and Head of State of the Republic of Liberia and held the position as the President of the Republic of Liberia following his election in 1997 and to date remains the lawful President and Head of State of the Republic of Liberia. A copy of his said oath of office duly sworn to by President Charles Ghankay Taylor is attached to this application and marked as Appendix "A".
(ii) On the $7^{\text {th }}$ March 2003, the Honourable Judge Bankole Thompson, as a result of an exparte application made before him by the Prosecutor and or his duly appointed officers) approved the said indictment against the person of the accused President Charles Ghankay Taylor, in which the latter was charged with alleged crimes against humanity, violations of Article 3 common to the Geneva Conventions and of Additional Protocol 11, and other serious violations of humanitarian law and containing a 17 Count Indictment contrary to Articles 2, 3 and 4 of the Statute of the Special Court Agreement 2002 Ratification Act 2002. The said approved indictment is attached hereto and referred to as Appendix "B".
(iii) A purported warrant of arrest, the transfer and consequential detention against the said accused President Charles Ghankay Taylor was issued by Judge Bankole Thompson on the $7^{\text {th }}$ March 2003, and the said Judge Bankole Thompson then proceeded to make a further order in which he directed the Registrar of the Special Court for Sierra Leone in accordance with Rule 53 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Special Court for Sierra Leone and after consultation with the Prosecutor, that there be no public disclosure of the Indictment or any part thereof or information pertaining to the Indictment, the Warrant of Arrest, the transfer and detention until further order by the said Special Court; and further directed that the Registrar (meaning the Registrar of the Special Court for Sierra Leone) in accordance with Rule 56 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence to address the Decision and the Warrant of Arrest of the accused to the national authorities of such states, or to the relevant international body, including the International Criminal Police Organisation (INTERPOL) as may be indicated by the Prosecutor. The said purported Warrant of Arrest is attached to this application and marked as Appendix "C".

Both the said indictment and the said purported Warrant of Arrest against the Person of President Charles Ghankay Taylor were kept under seal since the aforesaid order of Judge Bankole Thompson of the $7^{\text {th }}$ of March 2003, and an order for the public disclosure of the approved indictment, the said purported warrant of arrest and the order for transfer and detention was only sought by the Prosecutor and eventually granted by Judge Pierre Boutet on the $12^{\text {th }}$ June 2003, when the Prosecutor saw in President Charles Taylor's trip to Accra, Ghana an opportunity to get the authorities in Accra Ghana to apprehend him and to effect service on him of both the said Indictment, and the said purported Warrant of Arrest, during the time he was actually attending a Peace Conference along with his other African Heads of States, aimed at bringing to an end the ten year civil war in Liberia, although his efforts in that direction proved unsuccessful. The said Order of Judge Pierre Boutet of $12^{\text {th }}$ June 2003 is attached herewith and marked as Appendix "D".
As events turned out both the said Indictment and the said purported Warrant of Arrest were served on the Ghanaian Authorities in Accra, Ghana in June 2003; but at no time whatsoever was President Charles Ghankay Taylor ever served with the said indictment and the said purported Warrant of Arrest either in Accra, Ghana or elsewhere for that matter and the position remains the same till date. However due to the apparent threat of service on him of both the said Indictment and the said purported Warrant of Arrest, President Charles Ghankay Taylor felt compelled to depart from Accra, Republic of Ghana prematurely where he was attending the said Peace Conference with his other African colleagues and returned to the Republic of Liberia and he was consequently prevented from carrying out the important tasks required of him as Head of State of the Republic of Liberia during
the holding of the said Conference in Accra, the Republic of Ghana. In this connection reference is herewith made to the Statement of David M. Crane Chief Prosecutor, Special Court for Sierra Leone captioned: "For immediate Release Freetown. 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ June 2003." The said statement is attached to this application and marked as Appendix "E".

The said approved indictment to wit Appendix " $B$ " and the consequential said purported warrant of arrest preferred against President Charles Ghankay Taylor characterise the acts alleged therein as being crimes against humanity, violations of Article 3 common to the Geneva Conventions and of Additional Protocol II and other serious violations of international humanitarian law. Also attached to this application is the Press Release from the Press and Public Affairs Office of the Special Court for Sierra Leone captioned: Press Release Rome, Italy, Friday, $18{ }^{\text {th }}$ July 2003 on World Day for International Justice Special Court requests assistance in arresting indicates marked as Appendix "F".
(3) ARGUMENT:

It is submitted on behalf of both the Government of the Republic of Liberia and the President of the Republic of Liberia Charles Ghankay Taylor the Applicants herein that the Legal Grounds for this Motion are twofold in nature namely:-
(i) Violation of the criminal immunity of the Head of the Sovereign State of the Republic of Liberia President Charles Gharkay Taylor contrary to customary international law and as recognised by the jurisprudence of the International Court of Justice.
(ii) Violation of the principle that a State may not exercise (its authority) on the territory of another State and of the principle of sovereign equality - among all members of the United Nations as laid down in Article 2, paragraph 1, of the Charter of the United Nations.

Both these aforementioned grounds are procedural in nature and go to jurisdiction in LIMINE of the Special Court for Sierra Leone and if indeed successfully upheld by the Trial Chamber will it is submitted put an end to these criminal proceedings against President Charles Ghankay Taylor.

1. The first ground for seeking the Orders herein relates to a violation of the criminal immunity of the Head of the Sovereign State of the Republic of Liberia President Charles Ghankay Taylor contrary to customary international law and as recognised by the jurisprudence of the International Court of Justice.

It is submitted that the Head of State Immunity is a derivative of the principle of State Immunity. The original concept of the immunity of a Head of State in customary international law in part arose from the fact that he OR she was a Monarch who by reason of personal dignity and respect ought not to be impleaded in a foreign state; it was linked no less to the idea that the Head of State was OR represented the state and that to sue him was tantamount to suing an independent state extra-territorially, something which the comity of nations did not allow. Moreover although the concepts of State Immunity and Sovereign Immunity have different origins, it is submitted that the latter is an attribute of the former and that both are essentially based on the principles of Sovereign independence and dignity. See for example SUCHARIKTUL in his report to the International Law Commission (1980) Vol. 11. Doc. A (LN4-331 and Add J) Marshall C.J. in the Scheoner Exchange v. M. Faddon 1812 11 US (7 Cranch) 116.

In the recent judgment of the International Court of Justice in the case concerning the Arrest Warrant of $11^{\text {th }}$ April (The Congo v. Belgium) delivered on $14^{\text {th }}$ February 2002 the International Court of Justice in making its findings logically inferred from the rationale behind the rules on personal immunities of senior state officials, such as Heads of States OR

Governments OR diplomatic agents, that such immunities must perforce prevent any prejudice, to the 'effective performance' of their functions. They therefore bar any possible interference with the official activity of foreign Ministers OR Heads of State. It follows therefore that an incumbent Foreign Minister OR Head of State for that matter is immune from Civil and Criminal jurisdiction, even when he is on a private visit OR acts in a private capacity while holding office. Clearly from the ICJ's reasoning not only the arrest and prosecution of such a Minister OR Head of State while on a private visit abroad, but also the mere issuance of an arrest warrant, may seriously hamper OR jeopardize the conduct of International affairs of the State for which that person acts as a Foreign Minister and by extension as Head of State. For an actual Head of State as said in United States of America v. Noriega 1990. 746. F. Supp. 1506 the reason was to ensure that "leaders are free to perform their Governmental duties without being subject to detention, arrest OR embarrassment in a foreign country's legal system. In sum, even when accused of international crimes a Head of State OR the State Agent entitled to personal immunities is INVOILABLE and Immune from prosecution on the strength of the international rules on such personal immunities: This proposition is supported by some case law (for instance See the Speech of Lord Browne -Wilkinson in R v Bow Street Stipendiary Magistrate and Others exparte Pinochet, Ugarte, judgment of $24^{\text {th }}$ March 1999, and Fidel Castro in Spain (Sec. Auto) of $4^{\text {ti }}$ March 1999 (No. 1999/2723) "which relate respectively to a former and an incumbent Head of State.")

It is submitted on behalf of both the Government of the Republic of Liberia and the President of the Republic of Liberia Charles Ghankay Taylor the Applicants herein that the said approved indictment and consequential said purported Order and Warrant of Arrest dated the $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ March 2003 of Judge Bankole Thompson against the person of President Charles Ghankay Taylor the President and Head of State of the Republic of Liberia are in violation of the absolute immunity accorded to the Head of the Sovereign State of the Republic of Liberia contrary to customary International Law and as recognized by the jurisprudence of the International Court of Justice.

Furthermore as regards the violation of the Immunity from criminal suit of a Head of State of a Sovereign State, as Recognized by the Jurisprudence of the International Court of Justice (ICJ) and customary international law, Section 29 of the Special Court Agreement 2002, Ratification Act, 2002, is entitled "Official Position of the Accused No Bar to Arrest etc" and it provides that:
"The existence of an immunity or special procedural rule attaching to the official capacity of any person shall not be a bar to the arrest and delivery of that person into custody of the Special Court."

The non-recognition, on the basis of section 29 of the Special Court Agreement 2002, Ratification Act, 2002, of immunities is contrary to international case-law (P.C.I.J., 5 April 1933, Legal Status en Eastern Greenland, Pleadings, Series A, 1933), to International customary law and to international courtesy, which accord High Government officials, the representative of the State on behalf of which he acts, diplomatic privileges and immunities

That case-law finds support today in Article 41, paragraph 2, of the Vienna Convention of 18 April 1961, codifying diplomatic relations, which provides
"All official business with the receiving State entrusted to the mission by the sending State shall be conducted with or through the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the receiving State or such other ministry as may be agreed."

Under this rule of international law as it stands today, to deny immunity from criminal prosecution to an incumbent head of State would be the very negation of such immunity. And that which is laid down by international law clearly cannot be displaced or rendered nugatory by the law of the State of Sierra Leone. Exceptions to diplomatic immunity can derive only from other rules of international law, as for example the Chapter VII Security Council resolutions. (For example see United Nations Security Council resolutions 827 of 25 May 1993 and 955 of 8 November 1994, establishing
violations of international humanitarian law committed in the territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 and persons responsible for acts of genocide or other serious violations of international humanitarian law committed in 1994 in the territory of Rwanda on Rwandan citizens responsible for such violations committed in the territory of neighbouring States.)

The Special Court for Sierra Leone was not set up by the Security Council under Chapter VII of the UN Charter. It is a judicial body established by Treaty between the United Nations and the Government of Sierra Leone. (Agreement between the United Nations and the Government of Sierra Leone on the Establishment of a Special Court for Sierra Leone, January 16, 2002 (S/2000/915). In this connection reference is also made to the press Release from the Press and Public Affairs Office of the Special Court for Sierra Leone captioned: Press Release Freetown, Sierra Leone, $11^{\text {th }}$ June 2003 Court President requests UN Security Council's Chapter Seven. This goes to confirm the contention on behalf of the Applicants that the Special Court for Sierra Leone was never given Chapter Seven powers at the time the Court came into existence by virtue of the passing into law of the Special Court Agreement 2002 (Ratification) Act No. 9 of 2002 and up till date. The said press Release from the Press and Public Affairs Office of the Special Court for Sierra Leone is attached to this application and marked as Appendix "G".

It is further submitted that the Special Court for Sierra Leone has the character of a bi-lateral cooperation agreement between the Government of Sierra Leone and the United Nations in which the United Nations promised technical and other assistance to the domestic legal process of Sierra Leone. This is illustrated in Security Council Resolution 1315 (2000):
"Recognizing further the desire of the Government of Sierra Leone for assistance from the United Nations in establishing a strong and credible court that will meet the objectives of bringing justice and ensuring lasting peace.

Noting the report of the Secretary-General of 31 July 2000 (S/2000/751) and, in particular, taking note with appreciation of the steps already taken by the Secretary-General in response to the request of the Government of Sierra Leone to assist it in establishing the Special Court."

It is clear that the assistance envisaged was intended to help the Government of the Republic of Sierra Leone establish its own court. The Special Court it is submitted is not one established by the United Nations, at least not in the sense that the International Criminal Tribunals for the Former Yugoslavia and Rwanda were established as judicial bodies imbued with Chapter VII authority. This is made clear in the Report of the Secretary General on the establishment of a Special Court for Sierra Leone, UN Doc S2000/915, Oct 4, 2000:
"The legal nature of the Special Court, like that of any other legal entity, is determined by its constitutive instrument. Unlike either the International Tribunals for the Former Yugoslavia and for Rwanda, which were established by resolutions of the Security Council and constituted as subsidiary organs of the United Nations, or national courts established by law, the Special Court, as foreseen, is established by an Agreement between the United Nations and the Government of Sierra Leone and is therefore a treaty-based sui generis court of mixed jurisdiction and composition. Its implementation at the national level would require that the agreement is incorporated in the national law of Sierra Leone in accordance with constitutional requirements. Its applicable law includes international as well as Sierra Leonean law, and it is composed of both international and Sierra Leonenan judges, prosecutors and administrative support staff. [...]

The Special Court for Sierra Leone has concurrent jurisdiction with the Sierra Leonean Courts and the position has been further canvassed that it may well have primacy over Sierra Leonean courts. Consequently, if that is the case, it has been argued by some jurists that it has the power to request at any stage of the proceedings that any national Sierra Leonean court defer to its jurisdiction (article 8, para. 2 of the Statute) only to the extent I submit that this particular provision does not in any way turn out to be inconsistent OR in conflict with the provisions of the 1991 Constitution of the Republic of Sierra Leone in which case the latter shall prevail. The alleged primacy of the Special Court for Sierra Leone, however conceived is limited to the national courts of Sierra Leone and does not extend to the courts of third States. Lacking the power to assert its primacy over national courts in third States in comnection with the crimes committed in Sierra Leone, it also lacks the power to request the surrender of an accused from any third State and to induce the compliance of its authorities with any such request. Indeed on a true reading of one of the consequential Orders granted by Judge Bankole Thompson on the $7^{\text {th }}$ March it is submitted that it lends support to the argument that the Special Court Agreement 2002 (Ratification) Act 2002 never contemplated extending arrest, search and transfer of any accused in accordance with Rule 58 without inviting states to enter into Agreements OR ad hoc agreements for that purpose. Furthermore in examining measures to enhance the deterrent powers of the Special Court, the Security Council has yet to consider endowing it with Chapter VII powers for the specific purpose of requesting the surrender of an accused from outside the juristiction of the Court." (emphasis added)

The Security Council did not endow the Special Court with Chapter VII powers. Accordingly, the judicial orders originating from the Special Court have the quality of judicial orders from a State. This is recognized in the Special Court Agreement 2002, Ratification Act 2002. Article 20 is entitled Orders of Special Court and provides:

For the purposes of execution, an order of the Special Court shall have the same force or effect as if it had been issued by a Judge, Magistrate or Justice of the Peace of a Sierra Leone court.

Similarly, Article 23 of the Special Court Agreement 2002, Ratification Act 2002 entitled Warrant of Arrest provides:

For the purposes of execution, a Warrant of Arrest issued by the Special Court shall have the same force or effect as if it had been issued by a Judge, Magistrate or Justice of the Peace of a Sierra Leone Court.

The domestic legislation of the Republic of Sierra Leone, therefore makes it clear that the order for the arrest of President Charles Ghankay Taylor purportedly made by Judge Bankole Thompson on the $7^{\text {th }}$ March 2003 would only have the same force or effect as any other order from any other part of the Sierra Leone legal system. Given that immunity from criminal suit of incumbent Heads of State is part of customary international law, it is clear that no domestic court could properly seek to indict or seek the arrest of President Charles Ghankay Taylor. The indictment and arrest warrant against President Charles Ghankay Taylor, an incumbent Head of State, should therefore be annulled and/OR cancelled forthwith and by extension, and indeed, a fortiori the reasoning of the Court in the Case Concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2002 (D.R.C. v Belgium), Judgement, 14 February 2002 apply accordingly.

It is submitted further that the aforementioned indictment and the said purported warrant of arrest issued in Sierra Leone on 7 March 2003 by Judge Bankole Thompson against President Charles Taylor against a serving Head of State, for acts committed whilst he was Head of State with respect is a flagrant breach of customary international law and the immunities afforded to serving heads of State.

In the United States case of Tachiona v Mugabe 169 F Supp. 2d 259 (SDNY 2001), President Robert Mugabe of Zimbabwe faced a class action suit alleging he had planned and executed a campaign of violence designed to intimidate and suppress his political opponents amounting contrary to various provisions of US law and "fundamental norms of international human rights law" (Tachiona $v$ Mugabe 169 F Supp 2 d 259 (SDNY 2001), at 264). Legal service was effected on President Mugabe whilst he was in the United States. The US State Department stated "permitting this action to proceed against the President... would be incompatible with the United States foreign policy interests (at 276). The US State Department urged dismissal of the claims based on Head of State immunity (at 268). After undertaking an extensive analysis of sovereign immunity the Court concluded that common law head of State immunity remained and that President Mugabe (and his Foreign Minister) were entitled to immunity from suit. Tachiona v. Mugabe 169 Fsupp 2d 296-7 (SDNY 2001).

The rationale for Head of State immunity is well established. As was explained in Tachiona $v$ Mugabe 169 F Supp 2d 259 at 290-291 (SDNY 2001), given the unique sensibilities that attach to the person of the Head of State, there is greater potential for harm to diplomatic relations when a suit targets a Head of State than when it is lodged against a government entity, or the State as a whole. Secondly, the Head of State travels and should be given at least as much protection as is afforded to diplomats: Tachiona v Mugabe 169 F Supp 2d 259, at 291-2 (SDNY 2001).

In the Case concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (DR $\mathbf{v}$ Belgium), Judgment, 14 February 2002, the Court observed "that in international law it is firmly established that, as also diplomatic and consular agents, certain holders of high-ranking office in a State, such as the Head of State, head of Government and Minister of Foreign Affairs, enjoy immunities from jurisdiction in other States, both civil and criminal." (at para 51).

However it is submitted that the only Treaty that explicitly excludes the right to invoke OR rely upon personal immunities is the Statute of the International Criminal Court Article 27 (2). There is however a reluctance to embrace any new approach to international crime, but a preference instead to cling to old values such as respect for State Sovereignty and its corollary of immunity of State officials (Heads of State) OR diplomatic immunity. In the case of Major Tomas Ricardo Anderson Kohatsu, a retired official of Peru's notorious Army Intelligence Service, was alleged by the US State Department to have perpetrated 'horrendous crimes' in 1997. In early March 2000 the Peruvian authorities sent him to the USA to appear before a hearing of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights in Washington. When he was about to leave the USA to return to Peru, FBI agents detained him, pursuant to the 1984 UN Convention Against Torture, duly ratified by the USA. However, a few hours later he was released following a decision by the Under-Secretary of State, Thomas Pickering. According to Pickering, Anderson was entitled to diplomatic immunity because he held a G-2 visa, granted to accredited members of the staff of the Peruvian Mission to the Organisation of American States. Consequently, he could not be arrested or prosecuted.

All this it is submitted applies to incumbent senior State officials. As soon as the State agent leaves office, he may no longer enjoy personal immunities and, in addition, he becomes liable to prosecution for any international crime he may have perpetrated while in office (or before taking office). This is rendered possible by the aforementioned customary international rule on international crimes that has evolved in the international community. The rule provides that, in case of perpetration by a State official of such international crimes as genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes, torture, and serious crimes of international, State-sponsored terrorism, such acts, in addition to being imputed to the State of which the individual acts as an agent, also involved the criminal liability of the individual. In other words, for such crimes there may coexist State responsibility and individual criminal liability.

In flagrant disregard for the established rules of international customary law it is submitted that the Prosecutor for the Special Court for Sierra Leone seems to have timed the disclosure of the indictment to specifically prevent, thwart or otherwise hamper the Republic of Liberia's and its

President's legitimate conduct of its international affairs. The indictment against President Taylor was issued on 7 March 2003, and kept under seal until $4^{\text {th }}$ June 2003, when the President of the Republic of Liberia, Mr. Charles Taylor, was in Ghana where he had gone for peace talks with the rebels to end the ten (10) year civil war. The Prosecutor was fully aware and intended that the indictment would hamper President Charles Taylor's desire to bring peace to Liberia. In a press statement released on $4^{\text {th }}$ June 2003, the Prosecutor said, "the timing of this announcement was fully considered in light of the important peace process begun this week. To ensure the legitimacy of these negotiations, it is imperative that the attendees know that they are dealing with an indicted war criminal." (See again Appendix " $E$ " above) As a direct consequence of the indictment, President Taylor left Ghana prematurely and was therefore hampered in the discharge of the responsibilities as Head of State.
(2) The second legal ground relating to the Orders sought concern the violation of the principle that a State may not exercise (its authority) on the territory of another State and of the principle of Sovereign Equality among members of the United Nations as laid down in Article 2, paragraph 1 of the chapter of the United Nations. It is submitted that the Universal Jurisdiction whether expressly or by necessarily implication which the said Special Court has attributed to itself by its acts in its attempt to effect service of the said Indictment and purported Warrant on the Ghanian authorities outside the jurisdiction of Sierra Leone woefully failed to reach OR be served on President Charles Taylor, a Liberian National and Head of State of Liberia who was out of the jurisdiction of Sierra Leone and to be precise in Ghana at the time contravenes the international jurisprudence established by the Judgement of the Permanent Court of International Justice (PCII) in the "LOTUS"-case ( $7^{\text {th }}$ September 1927, Judgment No. 9, 1927 PCIJ, Series A, No. 10).

The (PCI) recognised at that time that territoriality is a principle of international law (while ruling that this principle is not absolute in that it cannot prevent a State from prosecuting acts done outside its territory if they had consequences on that territory, such as in that case on board a ship, flying the Turkish flag). According to the Judgement this principle means that a State may not exercise its authority on the territory of another State.

The rule of jurisprudence is indeed now corroborated by Article 2, paragraph 1 of the Chapter "of the United Nations which states: the Organisation is based on the principle of the sovereign equality and its members.

The only instances in which general international law allows exceptionally that a state may prosecute acts committed on the territory of another State by a foreigner are first cases involving violations of the security or dignity of the first State and second cases involving serious offences committed against its nationals.

The position is taken by some jurists that a number of multilateral conventions for the suppression of specifically defined offences (torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, terrorism, breaches of rules on the physical protection of nuclear materials; unlawful acts against the safety of maritime navigation, unlawful seizure of aircraft, unlawful acts of violence at airports) provide for universal jurisdiction of the states parties to them. But and this is a crucial point, they make jurisdiction conditional on the perpetrator's presence on the territory of the prosecuting state. Such a state of affairs is not bome out by the facts of this instant case.

There are exceptional heads of jurisdiction which derive their compliance with international law solely from Treaties which provide for them. They are not part of general international law.

Doubtless certain States, in adopting laws, designed to bring their legislations into line with United Nations Security Council Resolutions 827 of $25^{\text {th }}$ May 1993 and 955 of $8^{\text {th }}$ November 1994, establishing international tribunals for prosecution of respectively persons responsible for serious
violations of international humanitarian law committed in the territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 and persons responsible for acts of genocide or serious violations of international humanitarian law committed in 1994 in the territory of Rwanda and Rwandian citizens responsible for such violations committed in the territory of neighbouring states, extended their jurisdiction in respect of the crimes thus confined to cases other than those where either the persons responsible or the victims were their own nationals. However, such provisions are in no way materially comparable with what is envisaged under the said Special Court Agreement (Ratification) Act No. 9 of 2002.

Thus the above mentioned Security Council Resolution Constitute interference in the affairs of Sovereign States whose sole justification is the mission of maintaining peace and international security vested in the United Nations, to which moreover the preamble to those resolutions expressly refers and which of course no State may usurp. However while the Security Council attributes to national courts jurisdiction concurrent with that of the international tribunals - subject to the primacy of the latter - to try the crimes which it defines, it lays down no criterion for such jurisdiction. It establishes no derogation from the rules of criminal jurisdiction recognized by international law.

Thus the above mentioned Security Council Resolutions cannot be invoked to justify under international law, in regard to offences punishable under those resolutions but limitatively defined by reference to their time and place of commission a law whereby a State claims unconditional jurisdiction to try such offences. A fortiori, those resolutions cannot be invoked to justify such a claim in relation to other offences, notwithstanding that they may be of the same character as those to which the resolutions relate but were committed in other places and at other times.

It should however be noted that nothing in the Rome Convention of $17^{\text {th }}$ July 1998 authorises signatory States to attribute to themselves unconditional universal jurisdiction. Article 17 of the Convention which refers to a State which has jurisdiction [over the case] whereby in itself implies that every State does not necessarily have jurisdiction.

It follows that the provision under the Special Court Agreement 2002 Ratification Act 2002 and the accompanied Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Special Court for Sierra Leone which were relied upon for purposes of the issue of the said Indictment and the said purported Warrant of Arrest by Judge Bankole Thompson of the $7^{\text {th }}$ March 2003 pursuant to that law and its consequential Rules of Procedure and Evidence are iso facto bad in law and in clear breach of customary international law.

## ORDERS SOUGHT

In the light of the aforementioned serious matters complained against by the Applicants herein, the Applicants herein request the Trial Chamber to issue the following Orders:
(1) That the aforementioned indictment approved by Judge Bankole Thompson on the $7^{\text {th }}$ March 2003 be accordingly quashed forthwith based on the aforesaid 2 legal grounds already canvassed above.
(2) That the purported Warrant of Arrest also granted by Judge Bankole Thompson on the $7^{\text {th }}$ of March 2003 be cancelled and/OR set aside based on the aforementioned legal grounds canvassed above.

That all other consequential and related Orders) granted thereafter by either the said Judge Bankole Thompson OR Judge Pierre Boutet of the $12^{\text {th }}$ June 2003 against the person of the said President Charles Ghankay Taylor be cancelled OR set aside forthwith.

## REQUEST FOR INDICATION OF PROVISIONAL MEASURES

Both The Republic of Liberia and President Charles Ghankay Taylor the Applicants herein request that the Court do grant the necessary interim injunctive relief restraining the service of the said approved indictment and the said purported warrant of arrest of the $7^{\text {th }}$ March 2003 issued by Judge Bankole Thompson against the person of the President of Liberia Charles Ghankay Taylor in his capacity as Head of State of Liberia and to stay all other proceedings with the exception of the necessary provisional measures sought for herein by way of injunctive relief pending the hearing and determination of the primary orders sought above in this application.

Independently of the indication of provisional measures hereby requested by the Applicants herein the Republic of Liberia and President Charles Ghankay Taylor, it is submitted that the Court possesses inherent and related Powers to indicate provisional measures in appropriate cases whenever it considers the circumstances so require.

Both the Government of the Republic of Liberia and the President of the Republic of Liberia Charles Ghankay Taylor the Applicants herein reserve the right to argue further grounds of this Application and where necessary will seek leave to do so on jurisdictional grounds and without their actions in that respect to be construed as constituting a waiver of immunity accorded to a Head of State OR in any way be construed as submitting themselves to the jurisdiction of the Special Court for Sierra Leone.

## (4) PRAYER:-

The Applicants herein namely the Government of the Republic of Liberia and the President of the Republic of Liberia Charles Ghankay Taylor request that the Trial Chamber do quash the said approved indictment of $7^{\text {th }}$ March 2003 of Judge Bankole Thompson and that the aforesaid purported Warrant of Arrest and Order for transfer and detention of the same date issued by the said Judge Bankole Thompson of the Special Court for Sierra Leone, and all other consequential and related ORDER(S) granted thereafter by either the said Judge Bankole Thompson OR that of Judge Pierre Boutet of the $12^{\text {th }}$ of June 2003 against the person of the said President Charles Ghankay Taylor be declared null and void, invalid at their inception and that they be accordingly cancelled and/OR set aside as a matter of Law based on the grounds canvassed above.

Furthermore both the Government of the Republic of Liberia and the President of the Republic of Liberia Charles Ghankay Taylor the Applicants herein further request that all interested persons and other relevant parties be accordingly notified of the final Order granted by the Special Court for Sierra Leone whether in the form of a declaration OR otherwise.


THE SPECIAL COURT FOR SIERRA LEONE
FREETOWN - SIERRA LEONE
THE PROSECUTOR NT MC•COTーOZ-1
Against
CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR also known as
CHARLES GHANKAY MACARTHUR DAPKPANA TAYLOR
AND
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA AND PRESIDENT CHARLES TAYLOR UNDER PROTEST AND WITHOUT WAIVING OF IMMUNITY ACCORDED TO THE LATTER AS HEAD OF STATE - APPLICANTS

APPLICANTS MOTION MADE UNDER PROTEST AND WITHOUT WAIVING OF IMMUNITY accorded to a Head of State President Charles Ghankay Taylor requesting that the Trial Chamber do quash the said approved indictment of $7^{\text {th }}$ March 2003 of Judge Bankole Thompson and that the aforesaid purported Warrant of Arrest and Order for transfer and detention of the same date by Judge Bankole Thompson of the Special Court for Sierra Leone, and all other consequential and related ORDER(S) granted thereafter by either the said Judge Bankole Thompson OR Judge Pierre Boutet on $12^{\text {th }}$ June 2003 against the person of the said President Charles Ghankay Taylor be declared null and void, invalid at their inception and that they be accordingly cancelled and/OR set aside as a matter of Law.

## Office of the Prosecutor

The Prosecutor, Lac Côté, Chief of Prosecutions Brenda J. Hollis, Senior Trial Counsel

Applicants' Counsel:
Terence Michael Terry

## APPLICANTS INDEX OF ATTACHMENTS

1. The Oath of office duly sworn to by President Charles Ghankay Taylor of the Republic of Liberia on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ day of August, 1997.
2. The Indictment against the President of the Republic of Liberia Charles Ghankay Taylor approved on the $7^{\text {th }}$ of March, 2003 by Judge Bankole Thompson of the Special Court for Sierra Leone.
3. The purported Warrant of Arrest issued against the President of the Republic of Liberia Charles Ghankay Taylor and the Order for transfer and detention both of the $7^{\text {th }}$ March, 2003.
4. The Order of Judge Pierre Boutet of the Special Court for Sierra Leone of $12^{\text {th }}$ June, 2003.
5. Statement of David M. Crane Chief Prosecutor, Special Court for Sierra Leone Captioned: For immediate Release Freetown, $4^{\text {th }}$ June, 2003.
6. Press Release from the Press and Public Affairs office of the Special Court for Sierra Leone captioned: Press Release Rome, Italy, Friday, $18^{\text {th }}$ July, 2003 on World Day for International Justice Special Court requests assistance in arresting indictees.
7. Press Release from the Press and Public Affairs office of the Special Court for Sierra Leone captioned: Press Release, Freetown, Sierra Leone. $11^{\text {th }}$ June, 2003 Court President requests UN Security Council's Chapter Seven.


## REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS MONROVIA, LIBERIA

(Convention de la Hays 5 Octobre, 1961)

## APOSTILLE

1. COUNTRY: REPUBLIC CF LIBERIA

This public document "copy of Affadavit attesting to the OATH OF OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA, administered by Her Honor, Frances Johnson-Morris, CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA, to His Excellency Charles Ghankay Dakpannah Tayor as President of the Republic of Liberia, in the city of Monrovia, Liberia, on $2{ }^{\text {xi }}$ August 1997."
2. has been signed by MARY M. HOWE.
3. acting in the capacity of NOTARY PUBLIC FOR MONTSERRADO COUNTY, REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA.
4. bearing the seal/stamp of the NOTARY PUBLIC FOR MONTSERRADO COUNTY, REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA.
5. attested by HON. TANBAKAI A. JANGABA, ACTING MINISTER OF AFFAIRS/DEPONENT.

## CERTIFIED

6. at Monrovia, Montserrado County.
7. by order of the Minister of Foreign Affairs.
8. July 18, 2003
9. CLD/07/18/2003-115


Republic of Liberia Monberrado County

Off ice of the Notary $\mathscr{P}$ public
Monrovia, Liberia

NOTARY CERTIFICATE
Personally Appeared Before d Me in My Office within the City of Monrovia, Montsernado Countys Republic of Liberia this
$\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , A.
D. 20 $\qquad$ 03 dourly qualified Notary $\mathscr{P \text { Public for and in the County of Montserado and in }}$ the Republic Aforesaid the Parties to the attached documents:-

AFFIDAVIT, COPY OF THE OATH OF OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA
and did in my presence and in the presence of each other execute and signed their genuine signatures on the said Instruments (s) to be the persons) they represent and that the same was made in my presence and declared by each of them to be their voluntary acts and in their own hand writings.
 attached my Official Signature Notary Seal to avail whenvernd where Necessary.
$I$ have affixed my genuine $\mathscr{F i g n n a t u r e ~ a t l e s t i n g ~ t o ~}$ this transaction by the power vested in me this
$\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , Al. $\mathscr{D} .2003$ .
$\qquad$


Republic of Liberia Montserrado County

Off icc of the Notary $\mathscr{P}$ public Monrovia, Liberia

## NOTARY CERTIFICATE

Pensmally Appeared Before. Me in. My Office within the City of Monrovia, Monlsonado Bountys Republic of Siberia this 18 th day of JULY , A. D. 2003 dinky qualified Notary PPublio for and in the Bounty of Montsernado and in the Republic Af foresaid the Parties to the attached documents:-

AFFIDAVIT, COPY OF THE OATH OF OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF II BERIA

and did in my presence and in the presence of each other execute and signed their genuine signatures on the said Instrumentals) to be the person (s) they represent and that the same was mode in my presence and dedared by each of them to be their voluntary acts and in their own hand writings.

# REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS MONROVIA, LIBERIA 

REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA) MONTSERRADO COUNTY)

IN THE OFFICE OF THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FOR AND IN MONTSERRADO COUNTY. MONROVIA, LIBERIA

## AHIDAVIS

PLERSONALLY APPLARLD BLIFORE ML, the undersigned, a duly qualified Justice of the Peace, for and in Montserrado County, Republic of Liberia, at my Office in the City of Monrovia, County and Republic aforesaid, Honourable Tambakai A. Jangaba, Acting Minister of Foreign Affairs, who under Oath according to law deposes as follows:

1. That the attached document is the true and correct copy of the OATH OF OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT-ELECT OF THE REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA, administered by Her Honour, Frances Johnson-Morris, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Liberia, to His Excellency Charles Ghankay Dahkpanah Taylor as President-elect of the Republic of Liberia, in the City of Monrovia, Liberia; on $2^{\text {nd }}$ August 1997 and that the said document was signed by the President of the Republic of Liberia, His Excellency Charles Ghankay Dahkpanah Taylor, on August 2, 1997.
2. That the signature which appears on the above-mentioned OATH OF OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT is the genuine signature of His Excellency Charles Ghankay Dahkpanah Taylor, President of the Republic of Liberia.
3. That all and singular the averments of facts as are contained in the foregoing are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Sworn and Subscribed to before me at my Office in the City of Monrovia, County and Republic aforesaid this 18 th day of July, A.D. 2003.


REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS MONROVIA, LIBERIA

REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA)
MONTSERRADO COUNTY)

IN THE OFFICE OF THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FOR AND IN MONTSTRRADO COUNTY, MONROVIA, LIBERIA

## AHHLDAVII

PLRSSONALLY APPLARLD BLIFORE ME, the undersigned, a duly qualified Justice of the Peace, for and in Montserrado County, Republic of Liberia, at my Office in the City of Monrovia, County and Republic aforesaid, Honourable Tambakai A. Jangaba, Acting Minister of Foreign Affairs, who under Oath according to law deposes as follows:

1. That the attached document is the true and correct copy of the OATH OF OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT-ELECT OF THE REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA, administered by Her Honour, Frances Johnson-Morris, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Liberia, to His Excellency Charles Ghankay Dahkpanah Taylor as President-elect of the Republic of Liberia, in the City of Monrovia, Liberia; on $2^{\text {nd }}$ August 1997 and that the said document was signed by the President of the Republic of Liberia, His Excellency Charles Ghankay Dahkpanah Taylor, on August 2, 1997.
2. That the signature which appears on the above-mentioned OATH OF OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT is the genuine signature of His Excellency Charles Ghankay Dahkpanah 'Taylor, President of the Republic of Liberia.
3. That all and singular the averments of facts as are contained in the foregoing are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Sworn and Subscribed to before me at my Office in the City of Monrovia, County and Republic aforesaid this 18 th day of July, A.D. 2003.


JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
MONTSERRADO COUNTY REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA


Honourable Tambakdi AJangaba ACTING MINISTER OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS/DEPONEN'I'
$\$ 5.00$ Revenue stamp affixed to the original.

## JUDICIAL BRANCH <br> SUPRENE COLRT OF LIEERIA



CHIER JUSTICE'S CHAMBERS
TEMPLE OF JUSTICE
MONROIIA, LIBERIA

OATH OF OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT - ELECT
I, CHARLES GHANKAY DAHKPANAH TAYLOR, DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THAT WILL SUPPORT, UPHOLD, PROTECT, AND DEFEND THE CONSTITUTION AND LAiVS OF THE REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA, BEAR TRUE FAITH AND ALLEGIANCE TO THE REPUBLIC, AND WILL FAITHFULLY, CONSCIENTIOUSLY AND IMPARTIALLY DISCHARGE THE DUTIES AND FUNCTIONS OF THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC CF LIBERIA TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY. SO HELP ME GOD.

## SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED

 TO THIS 2ND DA.Y OF AUGUST A.D. 1997CHARLELS GHANKAY DAHKPANAH TAYOR PRESIDENT, REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA.

INDEX OF ATTACHMENT 2

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { SCSC-2003-01-I } \\
7 \text { MARCH } 2003
\end{gathered}
$$

THE SPECIAL COURT FOR SIERRA LEONE 7.00 hus.

THE PROSECUTOR

Against

CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR also known as
 CHARLES GHANKAY MACARTHUR DAPKPANA TAYLOR

INDICTMENT

The Prosecutor, Special Court for Sierra Leone, under Article 15 of the Statute of the Special Court for Sierra Leone (the Statute) charges:

## CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR also known as

 (aka) CHARLES GHANKAY MACARTHUR DAPKPANA TAYLORwith CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE 3 COMMON TO THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS AND OF ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL II and OTHER SERIOUS VIOLATIONS OF INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW, in violation of Articles 2, 3 and 4 of the Statute as set forth below:

## THE ACCUSED

1. CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR aka CHARLES GHANKAY MACARTHUR DAPKPANA TAYLOR (the ACCUSED) was born on or about 28 January 1948 at Arthington in the Republic of Liberia.

## GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

2. At all times relevant to this Indictment, a state of armed conflict existed within Sierra Leone. For the purposes of this Indictment, organized armed factions involved in this conflict included the Revolutionary United Front (RUF), the Civil Defence Forces (CDF) and the Armed Forces Revolutionary Council (AFRC).
3. A nexus existed between the armed conflict and all acts or omissions charged herein as Violations of Article 3 common to the Geneva Conventions and of Additional Protocol II and as Other Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law.
4. The organized armed group that became known as the RUF, led by FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH aka POPAY aka PAPA aka PA, was founded about 1988 or 1989 in Libya. The RUF, under the leadership of FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH, began organized armed operations in Sierra Leone in March 1991. During the ensuing armed conflict, the RUF forces were also referred to as "RUF", "rebels" and "People's Army".
5. The CDF was comprised of Sierra Leonean traditional hunters, including the Kamajors, Gbethis, Kapras, Tamaboros and Donsos. The CDF fought against the RUF and AFRC.
6. On 30 November 1996, in Abidjan, Ivory Coast, FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH and Ahmed Tejan Kabbah, President of the Republic of Sierra Leone, signed a peace agreement which brought a temporary cessation to active hostilities. Thereafter, the active hostilities recommenced.
7. The AFRC was founded by members of the Armed Forces of Sierra Leone who seized power from the elected government of the Republic of Sierra Leone via a coup d'état on 25 May 1997. Soldiers of the Sierra Leone Army (SLA) comprised the majority of the AFRC membership. On that date JOHNNY PAUL KOROMA aka JPK became the leader and Chairman of the AFRC. The AFRC forces were also referred to as "Junta", "soldiers", "SLA", and "ex-SLA".
8. Shortly after the AFRC seized power, at the invitation of JOHNNY PAUL KOROMA, and upon the order of FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH, leader of the RUF, the RUF joined with the AFRC. The AFRC and RUF acted jointly thereafter. The AFRC/RUF

Junta forces (Junta) were also referred to as "Junta", "rebels", "soldiers", "SLA", "exSLA" and "People's Army".
9. After the 25 May 1997 coup d'etat, a governing body, the Supreme Council, was created within the Junta. The governing body included leaders of both the AFRC and RUE.
10. The Junta was forced from power by forces acting on behalf of the ousted government of President Kabbah about 14 February 1998. President Kabbah's government returned in March 1998. After the Junta was removed from power the AFRC/RUF alliance continued.
11. On 7 July 1999, in Lomé, Togo, FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH and Ahmed Tejan Kabbah, President of the Republic of Sierra Leone, signed a peace agreement. However, active hostilities continued.
12. The ACCUSED and all members of the organized armed factions engaged in fighting within Sierra Leone were required to abide by International Humanitarian Law and the laws and customs governing the conduct of armed conflicts, including the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and Additional Protocol II to the Geneva Conventions, to which the Republic of Sierra Leone acceded on 21 October 1986.
13. All offences alleged herein were committed within the territory of Sierra Leone after 30 November 1996.
14. All acts and omissions charged herein as Crimes Against Humanity were committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against the civilian population of Sierra Leone.
15. The words civilian or civilian population used in this Indictment refer to persons who took no active part in the hostilities, or who were no longer taking an active part in the hostilities.

## INDIVIDUAL CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY

16. Paragraphs 1 through 15 are incorporated by reference.
17. In the late 1980's CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR received military training in Libya from representatives of the Government of MU'AMMAR AL-QADHAFI. While in Libya the ACCUSED met and made common cause with FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH.
18. While in Libya, the ACCUSED formed or joined the National Patriotic Front of Liberia (NPFL). At all times relevant to this Indictment the ACCUSED was the leader of the NPFL and/or the President of the Republic of Liberia.
19. In December 1989 the NPFL, led by the ACCUSED, began conducting organized armed attacks in Liberia. The ACCUSED and the NPFL were assisted in these attacks by FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH and his followers.
20. To obtain access to the mineral wealth of the Republic of Sierra Leone, in particular the diamond wealth of Sierra Leone, and to destabilize the State, the ACCUSED provided financial support, military training, personnel, arms, ammunition and other support and encouragement to the RUF, led by FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH, in preparation for RUF armed action in the Republic of Sierra Leone, and during the subsequent armed conflict in Sierra Leone.
21. Throughout the course of the armed conflict in Sierra Leone, the RUF and the AFRC/RUF alliance, under the authority, command and control of FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH, JOHNNY PAUL KOROMA and other leaders of the RUF, AFRC and AFRC/RUF alliance, engaged in notorious, widespread or systematic attacks against the civilian population of Sierra Leone.
22. At all times relevant to this Indictment, CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR supported and encouraged all actions of the RUF and AFRC/RUF alliance, and acted in concert with FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH and other leaders of the RUF and AFRC/RUF alliance. FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH was incarcerated in Nigeria and Sierra Leone and subjected to restricted movement in Sierra Leone from about March 1997 until about April 1999. During this time the ACCUSED, in concert with FODAY SAYBANA SANKOH, provided guidance and direction to the RUF, including SAM BOCKARIE aka MOSQUITO aka MASKITA.
23. The RUF and the AFRC shared a common plan, purpose or design (joint criminal enterprise) which was to take any actions necessary to gain and exercise political power and control over the territory of Sierra Leone, in particular the diamond mining areas. The natural resources of Sierra Leone, in particular the diamonds, were to be provided to persons outside Sierra Leone in return for assistance in carrying out the joint criminal enterprise.
24. The joint criminal enterprise included gaining and exercising control over the • population of Sierra Leone in order to prevent or minimize resistance to their geographic control, and to use members of the population to provide support to the members of the joint criminal enterprise. The crimes alleged in this Indictment, including unlawful killings, abductions, forced labour, physical and sexual violence, use of child soldiers, looting and burning of civilian structures, were either actions within the joint criminal enterprise or were a reasonably foreseeable consequence of the joint criminal enterprise.
25. The ACCUSED participated in this joint criminal enterprise as part of his continuing efforts to gain access to the mineral wealth of Sierra Leone and to destabilize the Government of Sierra Leone.
26. CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR, by his acts or omissions, is individually criminally responsible pursuant to Article 6.1. of the Statute for the crimes referred to in Articles 2, 3 and 4 of the Statute as alleged in this Indictment, which crimes the ACCUSED planned, instigated, ordered, committed or in whose planning, preparation or execution the ACCUSED otherwise aided and abetted, or which crimes were within a joint criminal enterprise in which the ACCUSED participated or were a reasonably foreseeable consequence of the joint criminal enterprise in which the ACCUSED participated.
27. In addition, or alternatively, pursuant to Article 6.3. of the Statute, CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR, while holding positions of superior responsibility and exercising command and control over his subordinates, is individually criminally responsible for the crimes referred to in Articles 2, 3 and 4 of the Statute. The ACCUSED is responsible for the criminal acts of his subordinates in that he knew or had reason to know that the subordinate was about to commit such acts or had done so
and the ACCUSED failed to take the necessary and reasonable measures to prevent such acts or to punish the perpetrators thereof.

## CHARGES

28. Paragraphs 16 through 27 are incorporated by reference.
29. At all times relevant to this Indictment, members of the RUF, AFRC, Junta and/or AFRC/RUF forces (AFRC/RUF), supported and encouraged by, acting in concert with and/or subordinate to CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR, conducted armed attacks throughout the territory of the Republic of Sierra Leone, including, but not limited, to Bo, Kino, Kenema, Bombali and Kailahun Districts and Freetown. Targets of the armed attacks included civilians and humanitarian assistance personnel and peacekeepers assigned to the United Nations Mission in Sierra Leone (UNAMSIL), which had been created by United Nations Security Council Resolution 1270 (1999).
30. These attacks were carried out primarily to terrorize the civilian population, but also were used to punish the population for failing to provide sufficient support to the AFRC/RUF, or for allegedly providing support to the Kabbah government or to progovernment forces. The attacks included unlawful killings, physical and sexual violence against civilian men, women and children, abductions and looting and destruction of civilian property. Many civilians saw these crimes committed; others returned to their homes or places of refuge to find the results of these crimes - dead bodies, mutilated victims and looted and burnt property.
31. As part of the campaign of terror and punishment the AFRC/RUF routinely captured and abducted members of the civilian population. Captured women and girls were raped; many of them were abducted and used as sex slaves and as forced labour. Some of these women and girls were held captive for years. Men and boys who were abducted were also used as forced labour; some of them were also held captive for years. Many abducted boys and girls were given combat training and used in active fighting. AFRC/RUF also physically mutilated men, women and children, including amputating their hands or feet and carving "AFRC" and "RUF" on their bodies.

## COUNTS 1-2: TERRORIZING THE CIVILIAN POPULATION AND COLLECTIVE PUNISHMENTS

32. Members of the AFRC/RUF supported and encouraged by, acting in concert with and/or subordinate to CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR committed the crimes set forth below in paragraphs 33 through 58 and charged in Counts 3 through 13, as part of a campaign to terrorize the civilian population of the Republic of Sierra Leone, and did terrorize that population. The AFRC/RUF also committed the crimes to punish the civilian population for allegedly supporting the elected government of President Ahmed Tejan Kabbah and factions aligned with that government, or for failing to provide sufficient support to the AFRC/RUF.

By his acts or omissions in relation, but not limited to these events, CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR, pursuant to Article 6.1. and, or alternatively, Article 6.3. of the Statute, is individually criminally responsible for the crimes alleged below:

Count 1: Acts of Terrorism, a VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 3 COMMON TO THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS AND OF ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL II, punishable under Article 3.d. of the Statute;

And:

Count 2: Collective Punishments, a VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 3 COMMON TO THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS AND OF ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL II, punishable under Article 3.b. of the Statute.

## COUNTS 3-5: UNLAWFUL KILLINGS

33. Victims were routinely shot, hacked to death and burned to death. Unlawful killings included, but were not limited to, the following:

## Bo District

34. Between 1 June 1997 and 30 June 1997, AFRC/RUF attacked Tikonko, Telu, Sembehun, Gerihun and Mamboma, unlawfully killing an unknown number of civilians;

## Kenema District

35. Between about 25 May 1997 and about 19 February 1998, in locations including Kenema town, members of AFRC/RUF unlawfully killed an unknown number of civilians;

## Kino District

36. About mid February 1998, AFRC/RUF fleeing from Freetown arrived in Mono District. Between about 14 February 1998 and 30 June 1998, members of AFRC/RUF unlawfully killed several hundred civilians in various locations in Kono District, including Koidu, Tombodu, Foindu, Willifeh, Mortema and Biaya;

## Bombali District

37. Between about 1 May 1998 and 31 July 1998, in locations including Karina, members of AFRC/RUF unlawfully killed an unknown number of civilians;

## Freetown

38. Between 6 January 1999 and 31 January 1999, AFRC/RUF conducted armed attacks throughout the city of Freetown. These attacks included large scale unlawful killings of civilian men, women and children at locations throughout the city, including the State House, Parliament building, Connaught Hospital, and the Sissy, Fourah Bay, Upgun, Calaba Town and Tower Hill areas of the city.

By his acts or omissions in relation, but not limited to these events, CHARLES GHANKAY
TAYLOR, pursuant to Article 6.1. and, or alternatively, Article 6.3. of the Statute, is individually criminally responsible for the crimes alleged below:

Count 3: Extermination, a CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY, punishable under Article 2.b. of the Statute;

In addition, or in the alternative:

Count 4: Murder, a CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY, punishable under Article 2.a. of the Statute;

In addition, or in the alternative:

Count 5: Violence to life, health and physical or mental well-being of persons, in particular murder, a VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 3 COMMON TO THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS AND OF ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL II, punishable under Article 3.a. of the Statute.

## COUNTS 6-8: SEXUAL VIOLENCE

39. Widespread sexual violence committed against civilian women and girls included brutal rapes, often by multiple rapists. Acts of sexual violence included, but were not limited to, the following:

## Kino District

40. Between about 14 February 1998 and 30 June 1998, members of AFRC/RUF raped hundreds of women and girls at various locations throughout the District, including Koidu, Tombodu, Kissi-town (or Kissi Town), Foendor (or Foendu), Tomendeh, Fokoiya, Wondedu and AFRC/RUF camps such as "Superman camp" and Kissi-town (or Kissi Town) camp. An unknown number of women and girls were abducted from various locations within the District and used as sex slaves;

## Bombali District

41. Between about 1 May 1998 and 31 July 1998, members of AFRC/RUF raped an unknown number of women and girls in locations such as Mandaha. In addition, an unknown number of abducted women and girls were used as sex slaves;

## Kailahun District

42. At all times relevant to this Indictment, an unknown number of women and girls in various locations in the District were subjected to sexual violence. Many of these victims were captured in other areas of the Republic of Sierra Leone, brought to AFRC/RUF camps in the District, and used as sex slaves;

## Freetown

43. Between 6 January 1999 and 31 January 1999, members of AFRC/RUF raped hundreds of women and girls throughout the Freetown area, and abducted hundreds of women and girls and used them as sex slaves.

By his acts or omissions in relation, but not limited to these events, CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR, pursuant to Article 6.1. and, or alternatively, Article 6.3. of the Statute, is individually criminally responsible for the crimes alleged below:

Count 6: Rape, a CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY, punishable under Article 2.g. of the Statute;

And:
Count 7: Sexual slavery and any other form of sexual violence, a CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY, punishable under Article 2.g. of the Statute; In addition, or in the alternative:

Count 8: Outrages upon personal dignity, a VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 3 COMMON TO THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS AND OF ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL II, punishable under Article 3.e. of the Statute.

## COUNTS 9-10: PHYSICAL VIOLENCE

44. Widespread physical violence, including mutilations, was committed against civilians. Victims were often brought to a central location where mutilations were carried out. These acts of physical violence included, but were not limited to, the following:

## Kino District

45. Between about 14 February 1998 and 30 June 1998, AFRC/RUF mutilated an unknown number of civilians in various locations in the District, including Tombodu, Kaima (or Kayima) and Wondedu. The mutilations included cutting off limbs and carving "AFRC" and "RUF" on the bodies of the civilians;

## Freetown

46. Between 6 January 1999 and 31 January 1999, AFRC/RUF mutilated an unknown number of civilian men, women and children in various areas of Freetown, including the northern and eastern areas of the city, and the Kissy area, including the Kissy mental hospital. The mutilations included cutting off limbs.

By his acts or omissions in relation, but not limited to these events, CHARLES GHANKAY
TAYLOR, pursuant to Article 6.1. and, or alternatively, Article 6.3. of the Statute, is individually criminally responsible for the crimes alleged below:

Count 9: Violence to life, health and physical or mental well-being of persons, in particular cruel treatment, a VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 3 COMMON TO THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS AND OF ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL II, punishable under Article 3.a. of the Statute;

In addition, or in the alternative:

Count 10: Other inhumane acts, a CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY, punishable under Article 2.i. of the Statute.

## COUNT 11: USE OF CHILD SOLDIERS

47. At all times relevant to this Indictment, throughout the Republic of Sierra Leone, AFRC/RUF routinely conscripted, enlisted and/or used boys and girls under the age of 15 to participate in active hostilities. Many of these children were first abducted, then trained in AFRC/RUF camps in various locations throughout the country, and thereafter used as fighters.

By his acts or omissions in relation, but not limited to these events, CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR, pursuant to Article 6.1. and, or alternatively, Article 6.3. of the Statute, is individually criminally responsible for the crimes alleged below:

Count 11: Conscripting or enlisting children under the age of 15 years into armed forces or groups, or using them to participate actively in hostilities, an OTHER SERIOUS
VIOLATION OF INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW, punishable under Article 4.c. of the Statute.

## COUNT 12: ABDUCTIONS AND FORCED LABOUR

48. At all times relevant to this Indictment, AFRC/RUF engaged in widespread and large scale abductions of civilians and use of civilians as forced labour. Forced labour included domestic labour and use as diamond miners. The abductions and forced labour included, but were not limited to, the following:

## Kenema District

49. Between about 1 August 1997 and about 31 January 1998, AFRC/RUF forced an unknown number of civilians living in the District to mine for diamonds at Cyborg Pit in Congo Field;

## Kino District

50. Between about 14 February 1998 and 30 June 1998, AFRC/RUF forces abducted hundreds of civilian men, women and children, and took them to various locations outside the District, or to locations within the District such as AFRC/RUF camps, Tombodu, Koidu, Wondedu, Tomendeh. At these locations the civilians were used as forced labour, including domestic labour and as diamond miners in the Tombodu area;

## Bombali District

51. Between about 1 May 1998 and 31 July 1998, in Bombali District, AFRC/RUF abducted an unknown number of civilians and used them as forced labour;

## Kailahun District

52. At all times relevant to this Indictment, captured civilian men, women and children were brought to various locations within the District and used as forced labour;

## Freetown

53. Between 6 January 1999 and 31 January 1999, in particular as the AFRC/RUF were being driven out of Freetown, the AFRC/RUF abducted hundreds of civilians, including a large number of children, from various areas within Freetown, including Peacock Farm and Calaba Town. These abducted civilians were used as forced labour.

By his acts or omissions in relation, but not limited to these events, CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR, pursuant to Article 6.1. and, or alternatively, Article 6.3. of the Statute, is individually criminally responsible for the crimes alleged below:

Count 12: Enslavement, a CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY, punishable under Article 2.c. of the Statute.

## COUNT 13: LOOTING AND BURNING

54. At all times relevant to this Indictment, AFRC/RUF engaged in widespread unlawful taking and destruction by burning of civilian property. This looting and burning included, but was not limited to, the following:

## Bo District

55. Between 1 June 1997 and 30 June 1997, AFRC/RUF forces looted and burned an unknown number of civilian houses in Telu, Sembehun, Mamboma and Tikonko;

## Kino District

56. Between about 14 February 1998 and 30 June 1998, AFRC/RUF engaged in widespread looting and burning in various locations in the District, including Tombodu, Foindu and Yardu Sando, where virtually every home in the village was looted and burned;

## Bombali District

57. Between 1 March 1998 and 30 June 1998, AFRC/RUF forces burned an unknown number of civilian buildings in locations such as Karina;

## Freetown

58. Between 6 January 1999 and 31 January 1999, AFRC/RUF forces engaged in widespread looting and burning throughout Freetown. The majority of houses that were destroyed were in the areas of Kissy and eastern Freetown; other locations included the Fourah Bay, Upgun, State House and Pademba Road areas of the city.

By his acts or omissions in relation, but not limited to these events, CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR, pursuant to Article 6.1. and, or alternatively, Article 6.3. of the Statute, is individually criminally responsible for the crimes alleged below:

Count 13: Pillage, a VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 3 COMMON TO THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS AND OF ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL II, punishable under Article 3.f. of the Statute.

## COUNTS 14-17: ATTACKS ON UNAMSIL PERSONNEL

59. Between about 15 April 2000 and about 15 September 2000, AFRC/RUF engaged in widespread attacks against UNAMSIL peacekeepers and humanitarian assistance workers within the Republic of Sierra Leone, including, but not limited to locations within Bombali, Kailahun, Kambia, Port Loko, and Kono Districts. These attacks included unlawful killing of UNAMSIL peacekeepers, and abducting hundreds of peacekeepers and humanitarian assistance workers who were then held hostage.

By his acts or omissions in relation, but not limited to these events, CHARLES GHANKAY
TAYLOR, pursuant to Article 6.1. and, or alternatively, Article 6.3. of the Statute, is individually criminally responsible for the crimes alleged below:

Count 14: Intentionally directing attacks against personnel involved in a humanitarian assistance or peacekeeping mission, an OTHER SERIOUS VIOLATION OF
INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW, punishable under Article 4.b. of the Statute;

In addition, or in the alternative:

Count 15: For the unlawful killings, Murder, a CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY, punishable under Article 2.a. of the Statute;

In addition, or in the alternative:
Count 16: Violence to life, health and physical or mental well-being of persons, in particular murder, a VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 3 COMMON TO THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS AND OF ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL II, punishable under Article 3.a. of the Statute;

In addition, or in the alternative:
Count 17: For the abductions and holding as hostage, Taking of hostages, a VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 3 COMMON TO THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS AND OF ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL II, punishable under Article 3.c. of the Statute.


David M. Crane
The Prosecutor


| Before: | Judge Bankole Thompson |
| :--- | :--- |
| Registry: | Mr. Robin Vincent |
| Decision of: | 07 March 2003 |

## THE PROSECUTOR

Against
CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR also known as CHARLES GHANKAY MACARTHUR DAPKPANA TAYLOR

CASE NO. SCSI - 2003-01-I

## WARRANT OF ARREST AND ORDER FOR TRANSFER AND DETENTION

To: The Governments of all States.

## THE SPECIAL COURT FOR SIERRA LEONE (the "Special Court"),

SITTING AS Judge Thompson, designated by the President of the Special Court according to Rule 28 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("the Rules");

CONSIDERING that the Indictment against CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR also known as CHARLES GHANKAY MACARTHUR DAPKPANA TAYLOR a citizen of Liberia, born 28 January 1948 at Arthington in the Republic of Liberia, who is accused of Crimes a gainst H umanity, v iolations of Article 3 common to the $G$ eneva $C$ onventions and of Additional Protocol II, and other Serious Violations of International Humanitarian . Law ("the Accused"), was reviewed and approved by the Special Court on 7 March 2003,

CONSIDERING that an Order for the Non-Disclosure was granted on 7 March 2003;

## HEREBY ORDERS THE REGISTRAR OF THE SPECIAL COURT

(A) to address this Warrant of Arrest, Decision Approving the Indictment, the Approved Indictment of the accused and a Statement of the Rights of the Accused to the national authorities of such States, or to the relevant international body, including the International Criminal Police Organisation (INTERPOL), as may be indicated by the Prosecutor in accordance with Rule 56;
(B) to invite such States to enter into Agreements or ad hoc arrangements which may facilitate the SEARCH, ARREST AND TRANSFER to the Special Court of the Accused in accordance with Rule 58;
(C) to cause to be served on the Accused, at the time of his arrest, or as soon as is practicable immediately following his arrest, in English or have read to him in a language he understands, a certified copy of the Warrant of Arrest, a certified copy of the Indictment, a statement of the rights of the Accused and to caution the Accused that any statement made by him shall be recorded and may be used as evidence against him in coordination with the National Authorities of the State concerned;
(D) to remand the Accused, into the custody of the Special Court Detention Facility or such other Detention Facility as determined by the President in accordance with Rule 57.

## HEREBY REQUESTS ALL STATES CONCERNED

(A) to assist and facilitate the Office of the Prosecutor of the Special Court, at any location, in the search for and seizure of all evidence related to the crimes alleged to have been committed by the Accused;
(B) to promptly notify the Registrar of the Special Court of the arrest of the Accused in accordance with Rule 57, for the purposes of effectuating his transfer to the custody of
the Special Court, or to such other place as the President may decide, and to surrender the Accused to the Special Court without delay. The transfer shall be arranged by the State authorities concerned, in liaison with the authorities of the host country and the Registrar of the Special Court;
(C) to identify and locate assets owned by the Accused located within the territory of any State and adopt provisional measures to freeze such assets without prejudice to the rights of third parties;
(D) not disclose to the public, including the media or any public record, the existence of . the Indictment and this Warrant of Arrest, or any part thereof or information pertaining to the Indictment and this Warrant for Arrest until further order of the Court or at the direction of the Prosecutor;

A Member of the Office of the Prosecutor may be present from the time of arrest.

Done in London, this $7^{\text {th }}$ day of March 2003.




# THE TRIAL CHAMBER 

Before: Judge Pierre Boutet<br>Designated Judge Pursuant to Rule 28 of the Rules<br>Registrar: Robin Vincent

Date: $\quad 12^{\text {th }}$ June 2003

The Prosecutor Against:
Charles Ghankay Taylor aka Charles Ghankay Macarthur Dapkpana Taylor (Case No. SCSL-2003-01-I)

## ORDER FOR THE DISCLOSURE OF THE INDICTMENT, THE WARRANT OF ARREST AND ORDER FOR TRANSFER AND DETENTION AND THE DECISION APPROVING THE INDICTMENT AND ORDER FOR NONDISCLOSURE

Office of the Prosecutor:
David Crane, The Prosecutor
Lac Côté, Chief of Prosecution


SITTING AS Judge Pierre Boutet, designated pursuant to Rule 28 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("the Rules");

CONSIDERING that the Indictment against Charles Ghankay Taylor ("the Accused") was reviewed and approved by Judge Bankole Thompson on the $7^{\text {th }}$ of March 2003;

CONSIDERING that the Warrant of Arrest and Order for Transfer and Detention of the Accused was issued on the $7^{\text {th }}$ of March 2003;

CONSIDERING the Decision Approving the Indictment of the $7^{\text {th }}$ of March 2003;
CONSIDERING the Order for Non-Disclosure of the $7^{\text {th }}$ of March 2003;
HAVING RECEIVED on the $7^{\text {th }}$ of June 2003 a request from the Prosecutor for the public disclosure of the Indictment against the Accused, the Warrant of Arrest and Order for Transfer and Detention and the Decision Approving the Indictment and Order for Non-Disclosure;

CONSIDERING that it would be in the public interest to now proceed with such disclosure;

## NOW THEREFORE,

PURSUANT to Rules 53 and 54 of the Rules,
HEREBY ORDERS the public disclosure of the Indictment against the Accused, the Warrant of Arrest and Order for Transfer and Detention and the Decision Approving the Indictment and Order for Non-Disclosure;

The additional material supporting the Indictment shall not be disclosed to the public until further order of the Special Court.

Done at Freetown, Sierra Leone this $12^{\text {th }}$ day of June 2003.


Seal of the Special Court

INDEX OF ATTACHMENT 5

SPECIAL COURT FOR SIERRA LEONE OFFICE OF THE PROSECUTOR

JOMO KENYATTA ROAD • FFEETOW: - SIERRA LEONE<br>PHONE: + 12129639915 Extension: $17876: 0$ or +352831257000 or +23222295995<br>FAX: Extension: 1787001 ,or +390831257 C: Extension: 1746996 or +23222295996

## FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Freetown, 4 June 2003

## Statement of David M. Crane Chief Prosecutor, Special Court for Sierra Leone

-.day, on behalf of the people of Sierra Leone and the ir:ernation community, I announce the indictment
$\therefore$ Charles Ghankay Taylor, also known as Charles Ghariay Maca-hur Dapkpana Taylor.
The indictment accuses Taylor of "bearing the greatest responsibil:- " for war crimes, crimes against
$\therefore$ vanity, and serious violations of international humani=rian law within the territory of Sierra Leone since $\Xi$ November 1996. The indictment was judicially apprc:ed on $M=r c h 7^{\text {th }}$ and until today, was sealed on my :quest to the Court.

Iffy office was given an international mandate by the Uni :d Nation $=$ and the Republic of Sierra Leone to Slow the evidence impartially wherever it leads. It has us unequivocally to Taylor.

I- jon learning that Taylor was travelling to Ghana, the Registrar 0 : he Special Court served the outstanding in errant for his arrest on Ghanaian authorities and transm-.ed the $2-$-est warrant to INTERPOL. This is the Est time that his presence outside of Liberia has been pity corine. The Registrar was doing his duty $t:$ carrying out the order of the Court.

Furthermore, the timing of this announcement was careful. $y$ consic=red in light of the important peace Forces begun this week. To ensure the legitimacy of these negotic:ons, it is imperative that the attendees • Low they are dealing with an indicted war criminal. These negotizions can still move forward, but they rust do so without the involvement of this indictee. The evidence -on which this indictment was approved rises serious questions about Taylor's suitability to be a zarantor of any deal, let alone a peace agreement.

I m aware that many members of the international community have invested a great deal of energy in the c.rrent peace talks. I want to make it clear that in reaching my dec: sion to make the indictment public, I Live not consulted with any state. I am acting as an independent prosecutor and this decision was based steely on the law.

I iso want to send a clear message to all factions fighting in Liber: that they must respect international f.manitarian law. Commanders are under international $i \equiv \sum a l$ oblige: ion to prevent their members from relating the laws of war and committing crimes against $\dot{=}$ vanity.

E accordance with Security Council resolutions $1315,14^{-}$), and $1-8$, now is the time for all nations to $r=$ force their commitments to international peace and se:-irity. Wist Africa will not know true peace until those behind the violence answer for their actions. This cine now all upon the international community tc ale decisive action to ensure that Taylor is brought to :1stice.

Tank you.
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# PRESS RELEASE 

Rome, Italy, Friday, 18 July 2003

## On World Day for International Justice, Special Court Requests Assistance in Arresting Indictees

The Presicent of the Special Court Justice Geoffrey Roberson asked for assistance from tee interna:onal community on Thursday in securing the cooperation of governments in t-inging it dicted war criminals to justice. In June the Spe:ial Court h:d unsealed a seventeen-:ount indictment against the president of Liberis. Charles T:ylor.

Sreaking i: Rome's Capital Hill at the fifth anniversary of the Rome conference at which 120 countres agreed to create a permanent criminal court ir serious cimes under ir:ernation:l law, Justice Robertson called the ICC the Special Court's 'big brother'. "At a tine when we are having difficulties arresting indictees ani transferriry prisoners, I hope we can wot together to secure the cooperation of states."

I-stice Ro:ertson hailed the decision five years ago to crea:e the ICC end noted the legacy $\varepsilon^{\circ}$ : the Nur=mberg trials following the Second World War. He hoped that the ICC would use its pow srs to hold trials in areas ravaged by war. "It is often imporant that judges sit where the cimes took place."

S:arra Leo-e’s Ambassador to the UN, Allieu I. Kanu, alsc spoke at the anniversary event ir his capa:ity as the Vice-President of the Assembly of Stites Parties of the ICC. In reierring tc the Special Court for Sierra Leone, he said: "A:counting fer our past and a-ributing ndividual criminal liability to those who bear tee greatest responsibility for the azocities is the one sure way the international community and the Government of Sierra Lzone haye devised for the attainment of peace and stabilir: in our country."
$\# \equiv \mathrm{ND}$
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## PRESS RELEASE

Freetown, Sierra Leone, 11 June 2003

## Court President Requests UN Security Council's Chapter Seven

In the wake of the Special Court's recent attemp: to acres: Liberia's president Charles Ghankay Taylor on charges of crimes against humanity, he President of Court, Justice Geoffrey Robertson, has written a letter to the Secretary general of the United Nations Kofi Annan. The letter asks the Secretary General to recommend that the UN Security Council pass a resolution under Chapter Seven if the UN Charter calling on member states to abide by the orders of the Court.

Governments would then be obligated to make arrests ware the Special Court to issue a warrant. There are currently outstanding warrants for three indictees, President Taylor, Johnny Paul Korma and Sam Bockerie, although his alleged body is currently being examined by the Court to verify the identity.

Having Chapter Seven powers could also streng.h the Special Court in other areas. The Court may need to call on governments to help $\exists$ trackiry down witnesses or to allow detainees to enter their territories for medical examinaticas and treatment. The Court may also decide to ask governments to incarcerate persons $w=0$ the judges of the Court have found guilty. ~END
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