

Case No. SCSL 2011-01-T THE INDEPENDENT PROSECUTOR -V-

ERIC KOI SENESSIE

Before the Judge: Justice Teresa Doherty

For Chambers: Elizabeth Budnitz

For the Registry: Elaine-Bola Clarkson

Thomas Alpha

For WVS: Tamba D. Sammie

For the Prosecution: Robert L. Herbst

For the Accused: Eric Koi Senessie: Ansu B. Lansana

For the Principal Defender: Claire Carlton-Hanciles

	1	[Wednesday, 4 July 2012]
	2	[Sentencing hearing]
	3	[Open Session]
	4	[Accused enters court]
16:21:52	5	[Upon commencing at 4.21 p.m.]
	6	JUSTICE DOHERTY: I'll just check with Kigali before I take
	7	appearances.
	8	Mr Herbst, can you hear me?
	9	MR HERBST: I can, Your Honour. We hear you loud and
16:22:32	10	clear. Good evening.
	11	JUSTI CE DOHERTY: Thank you. Good evening.
	12	I understand you're standing in for Mr Gardner who is the
	13	counsel for the Prosecution in this matter.
	14	MR HERBST: Yes. My appearance is Robert Herbst standing
16:22:51	15	in for William Gardner, the Independent Counsel for the
	16	Prosecution.
	17	JUSTI CE DOHERTY: Thank you.
	18	Mr Lansana.
	19	MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour. Good afternoon, Your
16:23:01	20	Honour.
	21	JUSTICE DOHERTY: Good afternoon.
	22	MR LANSANA: And good afternoon to Mr Herbst as well.
	23	Ansu B. Lansana appears for the accused.
	24	JUSTICE DOHERTY: And I should thank you for coming at
16:23:10	25	short notice, Mr Lansana. Apparently you got very mixed messages
	26	about this hearing. I am not sure why but I will find out.
	27	MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour. That notwithstanding, we
	28	are always ready.
	29	JUSTI CE DOHERTY: Thank you.

29

1 MR LANSANA: Thank you, Your Honour. Now Mr Senessie, I have read what 2 JUSTI CE DOHERTY: Mr Gardner said about your case on sentence. I have read what 3 your lawyer said about your case on sentence. Now I am going to 4 5 ask you if there is anything that you want to say before I decide 16:23:48 on sentence. 6 7 You are at liberty to speak yourselfif you wish. If you 8 don't want to speak, that is equally acceptable, and if you wish 9 Mr Lansana to speak on your behalf, that is also acceptable. you understand? 16:24:12 10 THE ACCUSED: Yes, My Lord. 11 12 JUSTI CE DOHERTY: Do you wish to say something or do you wish to consult before you say anything? 13 14 THE ACCUSED: I may like to say something. JUSTICE DOHERTY: Please proceed, and please tell us which 16:24:25 15 16 language you would like to say it in. You did give evidence in 17 Krio. If you wish to do so in Krio, I think we have interpreters 18 avai I abl e. Mr Interpreter, is there someone available for Krio? 19 16:24:43 20 THE INTERPRETER: Yes, My Lord, there is someone available 21 for Krio. 22 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That's very good. I'm glad someone is 23 sitting these late hours to help. 24 Mr Senessie, whenever you are ready, please speak. 16:24:56 **25** THE ACCUSED: Your Honour, I want to thank you because you have endeavoured to preside over this case that is before you. 26 I have the most regard for this honourable Special Court 27

4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

for Sierra Leone, and I regard its Rules and Regulations, and I

believe in every previous decisions that this Court had ever

```
rendered since its establishment in this country.
         2
                    I have never thought about any specific intention to
              undermine the justice of the administration of this Court.
         3
                                                                          When
              this Court was initially established, I was instrumental to this
         4
              Court because by then I was working as a peace mediating team
16:26:34
         5
              member during the transition period to peace.
                                                             I was the
         6
         7
              spokesperson for the RUFP members in the Kailahun District.
         8
                    In that regard, I helped this Court when they were looking
         9
              out for witnesses for both the Prosecution and the Defence. For
              example, I worked, I helped Chris Bomford, Chuck Kolot, John
16:27:23 10
        11
              Cammegh, Serrita, and Logan Chrissy Hambrick. But what I am
        12
              telling you, whatever drinks water, that is a creature, is always
        13
              liable to make mistakes. And the white man says it is better
        14
              late than never.
                    I know that sometimes, according to the Bible, the wicked
16:28:25 15
              do fool the righteous to follow the footsteps of Satan.
        16
                                                                       The
        17
              Prosecutor in my case mentioned in his sentencing recommendation
        18
              that I concealed the truth of the involvement of others.
                                                                        Не
        19
              might be right.
16:29:22 20
                    Regarding what I have said, I want to say that I regret to
        21
              inform this Court why, at the initial stage, I did not plead
        22
              guilty. My Lord, the reasons being that (1), the explanation
        23
              given by the Prosecution witnesses to the investigators was not
        24
              actually what happened. Secondly, it is not that I had any
16:30:38 25
              intention to tell them to go and testify - to go and recant - to
        26
              go and change their evidence in The Hague.
        27
                    My Lord, to inform you of what happened, I want to say,
        28
              just like I said, it is better late than never. This man,
        29
              Prince Taylor, whom had been spoken about by all the witnesses in
```

- 1 this Court, at one time I was in my compound doing my carving at
- the time that they went to me in Kailahun, he and Logan Hambrick
- 3 to become a defence witness. They took down my number and they
- 4 too gave their number to me. So that morning I was working in my
- 16:32:08 5 compound, Prince Taylor called me.
 - When they called me, I was scared and I asked who because
 - 7 it was up to four years he was not talking to me. I asked who
 - 8 was this, and he said he is Prince Taylor. This man told me that
 - 9 certain developments have taken place in The Hague and he would
- 16:32:51 10 like to contact some Prosecution witnesses. He asked me if they
 - 11 were in Kailahun, and I said our brothers who went are in
 - 12 Kailahun. And when they came, they did not make it a secret.
 - 13 They spoke about it. They said they had been to The Hague.
 - In fact, he had said that it was necessary for the
- 16:33:29 15 Prosecution, for them to travel again to The Hague. Then I asked
 - 16 him, I said, Again? I said, why? Taylor only asked me to inform
 - 17 them to inform those witnesses, if they were in Kailahun, that
 - 18 he would like to meet with them in Kailahun, for him to talk with
 - 19 them so that they can discuss matters.
- 16:34:27 20 When I heard this, my neighbour with whom I was, Kabbah, I
 - 21 called him and told him that this man who came to me far back has
 - 22 told me. So I saw from Kabbah's looks, from his actions, that he
 - 23 was completely excited. I said I don't know whether he told the
 - 24 other Prosecution witnesses, but when the two heard, just like my
- 16:35:13 25 relatives explained in this Court, they were the ones who were
 - 26 contacting me for me to give them Taylor's contact number so that
 - they can get in touch with him to talk with him.
 - It was TF1-247's idea when they prepared a document to
 - 29 invite Prince Taylor so that he can meet them in Kailahun for

- 1 further discussions. And the Prosecutor in my case would prove
- 2 me right. Prince Taylor gave me this document, TF1-247 gave me
- 3 this document for me to send it to Prince Taylor. I was unable
- 4 to go, so I sent the document.
- 16:36:40 5 He received it, and when he did, what I said the Prosecutor
 - 6 in my case would prove me right, this man tendered this document
 - 7 to him during the investigation here in Freetown. But he, too,
 - 8 Mr Gardner, during the time of the Court sitting, did not produce
 - 9 this document.
- 16:37:33 10 The reason that I did not tell my lawyer and the
 - 11 Independent Counsel in my case, this man, Taylor, he said, This
 - 12 investigation and this case that has arisen, he said,
 - 13 Mr Senessie, it's not for you. At the time that the
 - 14 investigation commenced, he said it was not for me. He said it
- 16:38:11 15 was for him. He said the Office of the Prosecutor was looking
 - 16 out for him to be locked up.
 - 17 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes.
 - 18 THE ACCUSED: The reason I am saying this is that I am a
 - 19 priest in a church. All the while that I've been away, my mind
- 16:38:56 20 had not been at rest. My conscience had not been clear because
 - 21 as a priest I am not to tell lies, and for peace to prevail in
 - 22 the subregion, I thought it was necessary for me not to hide any
 - 23 truth.
 - 24 This man Taylor again told me after all that I should not
- 16:39:30 25 incriminate him in this matter because if I do, he said they were
 - 26 looking out for him because he had worked with the Defence team.
 - 27 And if it happens, I and him, if they found out, the case would
 - 28 be worse. But if I am alone, the case could be fought because it
 - 29 was a simple one. If I come to Court, he said they would acquit

```
1
              this case. That was how I fought this case, because he who knew
         2
              the implications told me this.
                    My Lord, I want to inform you that Prince Taylor used me,
         3
              and even the Prosecution witnesses who were my relatives have
         4
              been to this Court, they know - they knew the routine. They,
16:40:46
         5
                             Today, I am in black and white uniform, when in
              too, used me.
         6
         7
              fact I am a peaceful citizen. They have cast the blame on me
         8
              al one.
         9
                    Through the prayers that I offer, My Lord told me last
              night that, Your conscience is not at rest. Say everything.
16:41:22 10
        11
              Maybe - of course you've delayed this, but maybe the Court would
        12
              have mercy on you because it's not your deed. It's not your
        13
              intention. This is what I got from the dream.
        14
                    But now I am not saying this for the Court to do anything,
              because the Court has passed its verdict. The Court cannot
16:41:55 15
        16
              change its verdict. The Court had already said that I am guilty.
        17
              I am already telling the Court my version of events so that the
        18
              Court would know the little role that I played in this event.
        19
                    According to the Bible, Psalm 86:15, "But you, oh My Lord,
16:42:52 20
              full of compassion and gracious, long suffering and abundant in
        21
              mercy and truth, only you know."
        22
                    I am asking you to have pity on me for the following
        23
              reasons: (1), Mr Taylor, who is my friend, who duped me into
        24
              this, he knew the rules and the implications of this Court, but
16:43:35 25
              he deliberately involved me and he beseeched me not to
        26
              incriminate him. But my prayers to the Almighty did not allow me
        27
              at all, because my conscience is not at rest; (2), I am a family
        28
              man with two wives and eight children; (3), I am an evangelist
```

4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

with the New Apostolic Church with approximately 300 to 400

- 1 members; (4), I am the chairman CTA, board of chairman for the
- 2 National Secondary School with 2,500 students in our school; (5),
- 3 I am a travel authority in the local administration in the Luawa
- 4 Chiefdom, Kailahun District.
- 16:45:20 5 So My Lord, to end, I want to say I am sorry to even the
 - 6 Prosecutor in my case who said they encountered aggravation about
 - 7 my attitude in this matter because there was no mitigation from
 - 8 my side. And finally, I am appealing to you, My Lord, to have
 - 9 pity on me, because because of such a delay. But, like I said
- 16:46:30 10 earlier on, I said any creature on earth that drinks water is
 - 11 liable to making mistakes, and sometimes we are followed by the
 - 12 wicked while we remain the righteous.
 - 13 So this is all I have for you, My Lord. Thank you very
 - 14 much.
- 16:46:54 15 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr Senessie.
 - Mr Lansana, did you wish to say anything further in the
 - 17 light of the --
 - 18 MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour.
 - 19 Your Honour, I want to start off by saying that I, too, was
- 16:47:19 20 taken aback by my client, especially with regards to what he has
 - 21 just informed this Court about. And I would, by all means,
 - 22 associate with him in respect of the sentiments that he has
 - 23 expressed before this Court. They are as new to me as they are
 - to you; the only difference is maybe eight or ten hours'
- 16:48:02 **25 di fference**.
 - 26 Your Honour, I do appreciate the fact that this happens to
 - 27 be some kind of novelty, especially when legalists prefer that
 - 28 the information before the Chamber this afternoon ought to have
 - 29 come to the knowledge of this Chamber a long time ago a long

16:49:03

- 1 time before now. But that notwithstanding, Your Honour, I would 2 crave your gracious indulgence to suffer him in the circumstance that he, himself, says he believes in the adage "better late than 3 never," especially against the backdrop of the motivation for 4 addressing this Court in the manner he has done. 5 A break of conscience, he says, engendered, according to 6 7 him, a dream in which he has been told by the Almighty to 8 unburden his conscience. Like I said, Your Honour, I fully 9 associate with him in that regard. That notwithstanding, Your Honour, I prepared and filed a response to the 16:49:47 10 11 Independent Prosecutor's sentencing recommendation, filed at 12 on --13 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I did indeed receive it, Mr Lansana, and 14 I did indeed read it with care. MR LANSANA: I appreciate that, Your Honour. And that will 16:50:14 15 16 make my job this afternoon very easy and simple, because I 17 wouldn't need to go over the script itself, but merely, maybe, to 18 highlight certain aspects of it that I consider, in my legal 19 opinion, worth reiterating. First and foremost, Your Honour, I would want to reiterate 16:50:41 20 21 the Defence's conception of certain sentencing theory, which 22 basically brings into sharp focus the principle of appropriate
- 23 sentencing - what amounts to appropriate sentence. It is our 24 submission, Your Honour, that it is not necessarily determined by 16:51:28 25 the number of years imposed or, indeed, not necessarily 26 determined by the imposition of a custodial sentence. It could be adequately determined by the imposition of a fine, taking into 27 28 consideration the peculiar circumstances of the case. 29 Your Honour, I believe that to arrive at an appropriate

1 sentence, this Chamber will be expected to use objective reason 2 and arrive at justifiable reasons that speak to the facts of the case and the circumstances of the accused. 3 The Prosecutor - the Independent Prosecutor has recommended 4 a sentence of five to seven years and a fine of 2 million leones. 16:52:25 5 According to them, "to be an appropriate signal to the community 6 7 that this kind of conduct will not be sanctioned but, rather, 8 heavily punished." Indeed, Your Honour, the Defence appreciates 9 the Independent Prosecutor's concern that this Chamber uses this instant trial as an opportunity to establish deterrence, but we 16:52:58 10 11 also submit, Your Honour, that deterrence can be established with 12 other means of sentencing other than a custodial sentence of five 13 to seven years. 14 As officers of the law and with my reverent regard to the number of years you've spent on the Bench, I believe that you 16:53:33 15 will appreciate the fact that the sentencing - the art of 16 17 sentencing is eclectic. It varies from tribunal to tribunal, 18 from charge to charge and each individual he involves around 19 peculiarity. And that peculiarity, Your Honour, is one I would 16:53:59 20 want you to bring to bear on this particular case and the 21 circumstances of both the offences and the offender. 22 And at this point in time, Your Honour, I'll come to our 23 second submission which deals with mitigating circumstances. 24 Mitigating circumstance is one of relate to two major issues, 16:54:34 25 like I said circumstances of the offence and the circumstances of the offender. 26 It is our submission, Your Honour, that the circumstances 27 28 of the offence or offences for which the accused has been

4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

convicted are such that their nature is inchoate rather than

16:55:46

28

29

1 substantive. He attempted to bribe. Did he not bribe. The witnesses, it has been proved to this Court, established before 2 this Court, did not recant their testimonies. 3 On the issue of bribe, there is jurisprudence before this 4 Chamber that a ruling was made by this Court - a decision was 5 taken by this Court not to prosecute or even instigate 6 7 prosecution or institute prosecution in an allegation by the 8 Defence that their witness, DCT-133 had been offered a bribe in 9 the act of being influenced by the Prosecution. The decision of the Chamber was that the allegations were 16:56:40 10 11 not credible, partly because DCT-133 was never paid the 12 negotiated fee. 13 Your Honour, thus the Prosecutor against Taylor, SCSL, 14 03-01-T-1118, decision on public with confidential annexes A to J and public annexes K to O, Defence motion requesting an 16:57:18 15 investigation into contempt by - contempt of Court by the Office 16 17 of the Prosecutor and its investigators, November 2010, paragraph 1 of 4. 18 19 Your Honour, I know the aspects of the circumstances of the 16:57:50 20 Defence, that the Defence want to bring to the attention of this 21 Chamber is the element of entrapment and/or luring the accused 22 into furthering his action to procure incriminating evidence 23 against him. And this is particularly true of his encounter with 24 TF1-585. On the 27th of January, when TF1-585 encountered the 16:58:21 25 26 accused, she didn't have a phone. However, because of her intentions, she secured one against the 31st of January, three 27

> 4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

days, for the sole purpose of recording his voice. And she,

herself, in testimony before this Court, said exactly that.

```
1
                    Your Honour, I refer this Chamber to the testimony of
              TF1-585, transcript of Monday, 11 June, 2012, at page 55, lines
         2
              15 to 25. In her answer to a question:
         3
                    "How did you do that? That's the recording."
         4
                    She says this:
16:59:34
         5
                    "The conversation between us, I recorded everything on my
         6
         7
              memory card, so I presented the memory card to the Prosecution."
         8
                           "Q. Before you get to that point, tell me and tell
         9
              the Court, please, did Mr Senessie know that you were recording
              the conversation?"
16:59:56 10
        11
                           "A. No. I knew that it was risky. He did not know.
        12
              He did not notice at all. And that is my own evidence for the
        13
              future, so that he won't say he did not go to me or something
        14
              like that did not happen."
                    The Defence submits, Your Honour, that is entrapment, and
17:00:22 15
              the law relating to entrapment in the UK jurisdiction is to the
        16
        17
              effect that entrapment, as a result of the conduct of journalists
        18
              rather than police officers, will result in mitigation of
        19
              sentence.
17:00:52 20
                    It is our further submission, Your Honour, that although
        21
              TF1-585 did not act - was not a journalist, however, her actions
        22
              and tactic and no different than those of a journalist.
                                                                       She
        23
              played a journalist to entrap the accused.
        24
                    Your Honour, I will now come to the circumstances of the
17:01:28 25
              offender. It has been well-established before this Court, before
              this Chamber, that the accused has been of good comportment from
        26
              the date his investigation was ordered up until the instant
        27
        28
              moment, as I speak before this Chamber. He has never given cause
        29
              to this Chamber to issue a warrant to appear before this Chamber.
```

17:02:40

28

29

1 He's been very co-operative throughout investigations and the 2 proceedings before this Chamber. And even in his Kailahun 3 community, he enjoys a very good reputation. He's a family man with wives and children, a cocoa farmer 4 and carver, an evangelist of the new apostolic church, and 5 chairman of the RUFP - Revolutionary United Front Party - in 6 7 Kailahun District. And when we speak of him as a family man, I 8 am especially moved by the fact that he has a 12-year-old son who 9 appeared before this Chamber, Fick Senessie, a 12-year-old in primary school. 17:03:19 10 11 The Defence appeals to this Chamber not to impose a 12 custodial sentence, because imposing a custodial sentence, Your 13 Honour, will adversarially, very adversarially affect and will 14 seriously stifle, if not abort Fick Senessie's career. The accused has told his court about his role in the peace 17:04:01 15 process. I didn't add this in my - I didn't say this in my 16 17 response to the Prosecutor's sentencing recommendation, but I 18 would now add it, that he was a member of the peace mediating 19 team during the peace process. He played a vital role as a 17:04:32 20 cartographer officer in the military intelligence branch of the 21 The accused has told this Chamber that he was very RUF. 22 instrumental in preventing an attack on the indigenous contingent 23 in Kailahun during the peace process. 24 That singular act, Your Honour, throws a lot of light onto 17:05:12 25 the nature of the offender before you. He is a man of peace, a 26 respecter of institutions. Apart from the peace process, Your 27 Honour, the accused played a very determining role in

> 4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

transforming the RUF war machine into a democratic institution, a

political party, to participate in the democratic dispensation

17:06:24

29

Не

1 that followed the end of the war. And that shows that the accused before you to be sentenced, is a man of peace who 2 believed that the ballot should replace the bullet in determining 3 the will of the people. That was why he played a very vital role 4 in the transformation of the RUF into the RUFP. 5 Another aspect of the accused, Your Honour, that the 6 7 Defence will want to bring to your attention, is the 8 sociocultural milieu from which he comes from, Kailahun 9 community, a rural community, where a custodial sentence is an anathema; where a custodial sentence will not only smear the 17:07:13 10 11 image of the accused, but splurge the image of his entire family. 12 Another aspect that the Defence is apprehensive of in terms of a custodial sentence, Your Honour, is that it will steep the family 13 14 of the accused and the family of TF1-585 in an unending enmity. They will be enemies for life if the accused is given a custodial 17:07:56 15 16 sentence. 17 The Court knows the relationship, and it is because their relationship that the Defence makes that particular submission. 18 19 Sentencing the accused to a custodial sentence will affect 17:08:21 20 the accused personally. It would be tantamount to sentencing his 21 family and the family of TF1-585 to life animosity. Your Honour, 22 in contempt cases it is not new before the tribunal to have 23 sentences of a fine instead of sentences of custody. I refer Your Honour to the case of the Prosecutor v. Dusko Tadic. It's 24 17:09:13 25 an ICTY case, IT-94-1-A-R.77, judgement on the allegations of 26 contempt against prior counsel Milan Vujin, 31st January 2000. The accused was sentenced to pay a fine of DFL 15,000 to the 27 28 Registrar of the Tribunal within 21 days, and that was his

> 4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

sentence inter alia. He was not given a custodial sentence.

was found to be in contempt of the Court of Appeal of the International Tribunal For Former Yugoslavia. 2 Your Honour, comparatively speaking, for instance, that 3 constituted contempt compared with the conduct of the accused 4 before you, I would say that the former was graver. He put 17:10:32 5 forward to the Appeals Chamber in support of a Rule 115 6 7 application a case which he knew to be false. He manipulated 8 proposed witnesses, persuading them to tell lies and to withhold 9 the truth. He bribed witnesses to tell lies and to withhold information from the investigation, which they did. 17:11:11 10 11 The accused before you informed the Prosecution witnesses 12 of the possibility of a bribe, but that bribe did not take place. 13 The said Prosecution witnesses did not recant their testimonies. 14 And when it came to punishment, according to the appeals tribunal - the Appeals Chamber of the ICTY, permit me, Your 17:12:04 15 16 Honour, to just read excerpts: 17 "Courts and Tribunals necessarily rely very substantially upon the honesty and propriety of counsel in the conduct of 18 19 litigation. Counsel are permitted important privileges by the 17:12:27 20 law which are justified only upon the basis that they can be 21 trusted not to abuse them. It unfortunately happens that counsel 22 occasionally do abuse those privileges or act dishonestly or 23 improperly. Such cases usually involve conduct on the part of 24 the counsel which is intended, for whatever reason, to assist in 17:12:51 25 winning the case for the client whom counsel represents. 26 That is bad enough. The conduct of the respondent in this 27 case strikes at the very heart of the criminal justice system. 28 The contempt requires punishment which serves not only as 29 retribution for what has been done, but also as deterrence of

1 others who may be tempted to act in the same way." 2 And when it came to sentencing, Your Honour, the last 3 paragraph: "The Chamber has anxiously considered whether a term of 4 imprisonment should be imposed, but it has decided that it would 17:13:37 5 be inappropriate in the present case. A substantial fine is 6 7 nevertheless necessary in this case to achieve the purposes for 8 which the punishment is imposed. The Appeals Chamber fixes that 9 fine at DFL 15,000." The maximum was 20,000. JUSTICE DOHERTY: Tell me, Mr Lansana, in that particular 10 17:14:09 11 case you're quoting to me, Tadic, how many counts was the 12 defendant convicted of? MR LANSANA: Your Honour, the defendant was convicted on -13 14 I underlined it, Your Honour, but I don't have the full text here in my file. But, Your Honour, the only thing I wish to tell the 17:14:40 15 16 tribunal, this Chamber, is that he was found guilty on several 17 allegations of interfering with witnesses, deliberately filing 18 untruths before the Appeals Chamber, and bribing witnesses to 19 tell lies. He was found guilty on those allegations. I can't 17:15:09 20 offhandedly tell the number of counts, Your Honour. I apologise 21 for that. 22 Your Honour, I will now come to the final beat of my 23 presentation: The nature of the fine. Your Honour, I still 24 continue imploring your gracious indulgence that a custodial 17:15:50 25 sentence not be imposed, but rather a sentence of fine be conceded. 26 And in that regard, Your Honour, I am mindful of an 27 28 amendment that was done to the Rules of Procedure and Evidence

4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

for the Special Court of Sierra Leone, especially Rule 77(G). It

- 1 would be very highly appreciated, Your Honour, if a clarification
- 2 is made.
- 3 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That's the Rule that was amended during
- 4 May.
- 17:16:54 5 MR LANSANA: Yes.
 - 6 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That amendment would run, in my view,
 - 7 from the date it was imposed, which was after this offence was
 - 8 committed.
 - 9 MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour.
- 17:17:11 10 JUSTICE DOHERTY: So I will hear Mr Herbst, but that is my
 - 11 initial reaction; it is not retrospective.
 - MR LANSANA: Very well, Your Honour.
 - 13 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That is my view. And if there is a
 - 14 different view, I will invite you to respond.
- 17:17:25 15 MR LANSANA: Yes, Your Honour. Because I am of the opinion
 - that it should not be retrospective, and I have a number of
 - 17 authorities including Archibald here, and in particular theory on
 - 18 that in Blackstone's Criminal Practice.
 - 19 But, Your Honour, it is our view we are of the legal
- 17:17:51 20 opinion that the amendment should not be retrospective; that it
 - 21 should not apply to the accused in the instant case. Because the
 - 22 amendment came after the offence had been comitted or the
 - 23 offences had been comitted, and it is in the interest of justice
 - that, for want of a better expression, the goal post not be
- 17:18:28 **25 shi fted**.
 - The Defence is of the view that the stipulated fine at the
 - time of the commission of the offences should be the operative
 - and applicable fine to be imposed to the accused, and I don't
 - 29 want to pre-empt Mr Herbst, but it's in the Prosecutor's sentence

28

29

1 recommendation that the fine that they are asking for is 2 2 million leones. They didn't say anything about the new 3 regulation. Finally, Your Honour, the above recommendation 4 notwithstanding, the Defence is of the respectful appreciation of 17:19:09 5 the trial Judge's vast experience on the Bench, and we trust in 6 7 your legal wisdom to discern the appropriate sentence to impose, 8 taking into consideration the peculiarity of the Sierra Leonean 9 society as pointed out in my brief, the sociocultural belief, the perception of custodial sentence in the Kailahun District as 17:19:39 10 11 opposed to even the Western Area, let alone the world at large. 12 Your Honour, the accused quoted from the Bible, but he's a 13 clergyman. I won't quote from the Bible. I'll only quote 14 Shakespeare: "The qualities of mercy are strained. They droppeth like a 17:20:05 15 16 morning dew." 17 And that's exactly what we expect as the Defence that you 18 do in the circumstance. That's all I wish to say, Your Honour. 19 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr Lansana. 17:20:22 20 MR LANSANA: Thank you, Your Honour. 21 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, I appreciate that you are not 22 the counsel that was originally handling this matter and you have 23 a watching brief, but if there is anything you have been 24 instructed or wish to say on behalf of counsel for the 17:20:41 25 Independent Counsel, I will hear it. MR HERBST: Your Honour, I am most grateful. I will say to 26

the Court that I am not - of course I am not the Independent

4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

Counsel on the case, and I lack Mr Gardner's familiarity with the

facts and circumstances; although, I have attempted to educate

17:21:42

28

29

1 myself from some of the recent filings. But I want to emphasize 2 that I consider it my job here not to intrude with my own views, but to represent, as best I can, Mr Gardner's views and what 3 Mr Gardner has asked me to say in response to the Defence 4 sentencing brief, which he did see and which he asked me to make 5 some responses and comment. And I would like to do that if the 6 7 Court would permit me to do that. 8 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes. Please proceed. 9 MR HERBST: First of all, Your Honour, I want to associate myself with two comments - two submissions of my learned friend 17:22:09 10 11 the Defence counsel, Mr Lansana. We do not urge what we call a 12 retroactive, and I guess what Your Honour calls retrospective 13 application of the new fine limits. Mr Gardner recommended 2 14 million leones as a fine, the Defence lawyers recommended 2 million leones as a fine and we don't take issue with that. 17:22:43 15 Secondly, I want to associate ourselves with the comment 16 17 that we are very much content to rely on Your Honour's experience 18 and judgement in this case, as to what an appropriate sentence 19 I am fully familiar with the quotation from Shakespeare that 17:23:15 20 Mr Lansana has put to the Court, but I would like to point out 21 that it talks only of mercy, but we also have to consider justice 22 in this case. And the considerations that Mr Gardner wrote of in 23 his brief relating to the seriousness of the offence, the need 24 for deterrence, both general and specific deterrence - and by 17:23:52 **25** that I mean to deter the offender but also to deter others 26 similarly situated who might consider doing the same thing, are 27 absolutely critical factors to weigh in sentencing.

> 4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

in Defence brief, which Mr Lansana repeated orally in Court, so

Now, Mr Gardner has asked me to respond to certain matters

- 1 I'd like to pick those up.
- With respect to entrapment, there is no entrapment here
- 3 under what I understand to be the UK provision or principles of
- 4 law that suggests that entrapment could be by a journalist, as
- 17:24:48 5 opposed to a law enforcement official, and there is no entrapment
 - 6 under American law, with which I am familiar, that confines
 - 7 entrapment to crimes that are prompted by a law enforcement
 - 8 official, as opposed to a private citizen.
 - 9 Mr Lansana has conceded, acknowledged, that 585 was not a
- 17:25:17 10 journalist. To suggest that any other private person should be
 - 11 treated as a journalist ignores the fact that there are important
 - 12 and significant differences between what a journalist does and
 - 13 what a private citizen does. But in either case, 585 merely
 - 14 gathered evidence of the defendant's crime and did not prompt the
- 17:25:49 15 defendant to commit the crime.
 - 16 I'm advised by Mr Gardner that the Defence never asserted
 - 17 an entrapment defence in the trial and that there are no facts in
 - 18 the record from which the Court could infer entrapment. To make
 - 19 out entrapment the germ of the idea for the commission of the
- 17:26:10 20 crime must have originated with the law enforcement official.
 - 21 There are no such facts in this case.
 - So our submission is that the notion of entrapment should
 - 23 be entirely rejected in this case. This is the first point that
 - I wanted to make on Mr Gardner's behalf.
- 17:26:33 25 The second point has to do with the suggestion by my
 - learned friend, that the offence with which Mr Senessie stands
 - 27 convicted are somehow inchoate; because no bribes were paid and
 - 28 there was no recantation of testimony. This is a novel
 - 29 application, in our view, of the term "inchoate." It is like

1 suggesting that the bank robber's conviction is inchoate because 2 the bag of money taken from the bank turned out to be empty. crime is the robbery in the bank robber's situation and all that 3 The crime here, and the multiple crimes and the 4 that entails. multiple offences here, were the offering of the bribes and the 17:27:36 5 deliberate knowing and wilful effort to bring about a recantation 6 7 of the testimony. That's the second point that I wish to make on 8 Mr Gardner's behalf. 9 Mr Gardner has also asked me, Your Honour, to respond to the notion that incarceration would be a hardship on the 17:28:02 10 11 defendant and his family. He points out that Defence counsel's 12 review of Mr Senessie's role in the community, in terms of the 13 number of adult children that he has, his activities with respect 14 to his political party, with respect to his leadership role in his church, suggests that in fact, more than most defendants 17:28:35 15 similarly situated to him, Mr Senessie would have a support 16 17 mechanism to take good care of his family while he's serving any 18 sentence of incarceration that Your Honour might impose. 19 I would like to add that, of course, it is a truism that 20 when an offender is sentenced and when an offender commits a 17:29:09 21 crime and is punished for a crime, it is most often the family 22 But there are important considerations of that suffers. 23 punishment and of deterrence, both specific and general, which in 24 Mr Gardner's view require a significant sentence of incarceration 17:29:44 **25** in this case. 26 Similarly, the notion that a custodial sentence will cause 27 unending enmity between the defendant's family and the family of 28 585, Mr Gardner has asked me to say that he considers that quite

4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

frivolous, and suggests that the accused - the convicted should

1 have thought of that before he approached 585 and offered her a 2 bribe to recant her testimony. 3 Mr Gardner also asked me to point out that the Defence 4 response does not rebutt anything in Mr Gardner's submission. It particularly fails to rebutt the argument that the crimes for 17:30:46 5 which he stands convicted, Mr Senessie, are exceptionally serious 6 7 because they arose in the context of the Charles Taylor trial. 8 And before - of course, Mr Gardner is unaware of the statement 9 that Mr Senessie made in this Court, which I am going to turn to in a minute - but Mr Gardner had asked me to point out that 17:31:15 10 11 nothing in the Defence submission rebutted the argument that the 12 defendant clearly did not act alone, and that to the contrary, 13 the claim that Mr Senessie is a poor farmer, corroborates rather 14 than rebutts the notion that he did not act alone. Now I have to say that I am at an even further disadvantage 17:31:45 15 because while I strained to listen to Mr Senessie's statement to 16 17 the Court in his own words, I was at the disadvantage of having to listen, as we have had very often here in Kigali, to both the 18 19 interpreter and Mr Senessie talking at the same time. So I did 17:32:23 20 not hear all, and understand all of what he said, but it appeared 21 to me that, for the first time, he was indicating to the Court in 22 his statement that, in fact, he did not act alone and that he 23 acted in collaboration with Mr Prince Taylor and at the 24 inducement of Mr Prince Taylor. These are admissions or 17:32:56 **25** acknowledgments that I understand are new and that Mr Gardner is not aware of. 26 27 So I do not - I am unable to, having not taken instruction 28 from Mr Gardner, I am not able to make any further comment on his

4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

behalf on that development in the matter. I also do not know or

17:34:06

27

28

29

1 cannot gauge whether from the statement Mr Senessie is now 2 prepared to give sworn testimony to the same end and how that would, if he did so, affect a sentence already imposed, because I 3 not know whether the Rules of this Court, like our rules, contain 4 a provision for a modification of sentence within a year of its 5 imposition as a result of new developments. 6 7 I also cannot tell for two reasons, one, that I could not 8 hear all of it, and two, that I'm not familiar enough with the 9 facts of the case, to ascertain to what extent Mr Senessie's statement would suffice as new evidence that could be used in the 17:34:38 10 11 investigation and Prosecution of another in the matter. 12 did want to at least make these suggestions or explanation of a 13 possible - what the possible implications of this new development 14 are. My brief, however, is to state, as Mr Gardner has asked me 17:35:16 **15** 16 to do, that the Defence response, up to today - and I'm talking 17 about the brief of the Defence, contain nothing that would alter 18 the specific recommendation of Mr Gardner as to not only the 19 imposition of a custodial sentence, but also of the range that he 17:36:04 20 had suggested as to what, more specifically, that sentence of 21 incarceration should be in terms of years. 22 So Your Honour, as I said what I began my remarks, the 23 Independent Counsel is content to rely on Your Honour's 24 considerable experience and judgement in the imposition of 17:36:36 25 sentence and stands by the recommendation that was made. I thank 26 the Court for this opportunity to present these remarks.

> 4 July 2012 SCSL-2011-01-T

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr Gardner - or, sorry,

Mr Herbst. I have noted what you said on behalf of Mr Gardner.

I've also noted the submissions of both Mr Lansana and all that

	1	Mr Senessie has told me in allocutus. I am going to think it
	2	over, I am going to give a decision tomorrow, and in the meantime
	3	I'll obviously give it considerable thought.
	4	We are going to adjourn the Court now to 11.00 tomorrow
17:37:25	5	morning Freetown time, and I'll give a decision at that point.
	6	If for some reason I don't, I'll certainly set a time, but I
	7	don't see any reason for not sticking to this schedule.
	8	We will adjourn Court until 11.00 tomorrow morning.
	9	Mr Senessie, you heard what I said?
17:37:51	10	THE ACCUSED: I heard it, Your Honour.
	11	JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you. Please adjourn our until
	12	11.00 tomorrow morning.
	13	[Whereupon the sentencing hearing
	14	adjourned at 5.37 p.m., to be reconvened
17:37:57	15	on Thursday, the 5th day of July
	16	at 11.00 a.m.]
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	