Case No. SCSL-2004-15-T THE PROSECUTOR OF THE SPECIAL COURT

V.

ISSA SESAY MORRIS KALLON AUGUSTINE GBAO

THURSDAY, 31 JANUARY 2008

9.48 A.M. TRIAL

TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before the Judges: Benjamin Mutanga Itoe,

Presiding

Bankole Thompson Pierre Boutet

For Chambers: Mr Felix Nkongho

Ms Sandra Brown Mr Colin Smith

For the Registry: Mr Thomas George

For the Prosecution: Mr Vicent Wagona

Mr Reginald Fynn

For the accused Issa Sesay: Mr Wayne Jordash

Ms Sally Longworth

For the accused Morris Kallon: Mr Kennedy Ogeto

For the accused Augustine Gbao: Mr Scott Martin

SESAY ET AL

Page 2 31 JANUARY 2008

CLOSED SESSION

| | 1 | [RUF31JAN08A - DG] |
|----------|----|---|
| | 2 | Friday, 31 January 2008 |
| | 3 | [Closed session] |
| | 4 | [The accused present] |
| | 5 | [Upon commencing at 9.48 a.m.] |
| | 6 | [The witness entered Court] |
| | 7 | [At this point in the proceedings, a portion of the |
| | 8 | transcript, pages 2 to 25, was extracted and sealed under |
| session] | 9 | separate cover, as the proceeding was heard in a closed |
| 09:58:47 | 10 | |
| | 11 | |
| | 12 | |
| | 13 | |
| | 14 | |
| | 15 | |
| | 16 | |
| | 17 | |
| | 18 | |
| | 19 | |
| | 20 | |
| | 21 | |
| | 22 | |
| | 23 | |
| | 24 | |
| | 25 | |
| | 26 | |

29

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER I

SESAY ET AL

Page 26

31 JANUARY 2008

OPEN SESSION

| | 1 | [Open session] |
|----------|----|--|
| the | 2 | PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Well, we will now resume |
| in | 3 | trial in a public session. This is the ruling of the Chamber |
| Mr | 4 | respect to the closed session application by learned counsel |
| 11:12:04 | 5 | Jordash for the first accused in respect of this witness Mr |
| | 6 | Jordash, it is DIS what again? DIS |
| | 7 | MR JORDASH: 164, Your Honour. |
| general | 8 | PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Consistent with the |
| | 9 | requirements that criminal proceedings are to be conducted in |
| 11:12:38 | 10 | public, as enjoined by Rule 78 of the Rules of Procedure and |
| | 11 | Evidence of this Court, and taking into conversation Article |
| | 12 | 17(2) of the Statute of the Court, but exceptionally as |
| to | 13 | authorised by Rule 79(A)(ii) of the said Rules, and the need |
| | 14 | protect witnesses as provided for in Rule 75, this Chamber, on |

| the | 11:13:00 | 15 | the application of learned counsel for the first accused for |
|-----|----------|----|--|
| | | 16 | entirety of the testimony of witness DIS-164, to be held in a |
| the | <u> </u> | 17 | closed session did, by way of an exceptional procedure, grant |
| | | 18 | said application for reasons in support thereof. |
| | | 19 | And, having come to the end of the testimony in closed |
| | 11:13:37 | 20 | session, and following the delivery of the closed session |
| | | 21 | testimony decision in an open session, Mr Witness, the Chamber |
| it | | 22 | would like to thank you for accepting to come here to assist |
| | | 23 | with your evidence in this case. |
| | | 24 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. |
| | 11:14:07 | 25 | PRESIDING JUDGE: We thank you and we wish you all the |
| | | 26 | best. I suppose you're still the good teacher that you |
| | | 27 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. |
| | | 28 | PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, Mr Witness, thank you very much |
| | | 29 | We wish you a safe journey, and the best in |
| | | | |
| | | | SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER I |

SESAY ET AL
Page 27
31 JANUARY 2008 OPEN SESSION

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: -- the pursuit of your professional

activities. Have a safe journey. You are discharged.

4 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.

PRESIDING JUDGE: You will wait until you're assisted 11:14:49 5 out 6 of the Court. 7 [The witness withdrew] 8 [The witness entered Court] 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, Mr Jordash, you may call your next 11:16:22 10 witness, please. MR JORDASH: Could I, before I call the next witness, 11 whose 12 number has at the moment escaped me as has my annotated notes, 13 could I just make a point for the record concerning Rule 68 material? 14 11:16:50 15 In relation to the issue of Monjama and her existence 16 today. And her relationship with Aruna Fatoma, I noted the 17 approach taken by my learned friend for the Prosecution which was 18 brief and didn't really indicate what their case is now on that 19 particular issue, but I noted that the reference really was, in 11:17:40 20 effect, that they appeared not to be disputing who the person was 21 on the photograph. 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: May this witness be taken out for now 23 please. We never know if -- let her be assisted out. We will ask her in. May the witness be assisted out, please, whilst 24 Mr 11:18:09 25 Jordash is still addressing us on this issue? 26 [The witness stood down] 27 MR JORDASH: The point I'd briefly want to make is this: 28 That it appeared from my learned friend's approach I expected

that there would be a challenge of some sort to the issue, and

it

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER I

| Page 28 | | SESAY ET AL | | |
|-----------------|----|---|---------------------|--|
| | | 31 JANUARY 2008 | OPEN SESSION | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 1 | would become clear what investigations the | Prosecution had | |
| | 2 | conducted and the results of the investigat | ion. | |
| that | 3 | What was apparent to me was that ther | e was a dispute | |
| | 4 | the person in the photograph was | | |
| 11:19:11 you | 5 | PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Jordash, without | , without cutting | |
| | 6 | short, if the Prosecution decides to pursue | the matter to the | |
| think | 7 | extent to which it did, does that call for | any comment? I | |
| | 8 | it's a matter, you know, that should come s | ubsequently. It is | |
| Prosecution. | 9 | their case it was Aruna Fatoma was a witnes | s for the | |
| 11:19:36 | 10 | You have raised what you consider a reasona | ble doubt as to the | |
| | 11 | truth of that witness's testimony, which yo | u know. So if the | |
| that | 12 | Prosecution did not go further than it did, | I do not think | |
| time. | 13 | it really calls for any comment from you at | this point in | |
| | 14 | MR JORDASH: It's not so much a comme | nt I'm seeking but | |
| 11:20:03 | 15 | PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, yes, yes. | | |

| | 16 | JUDGE THOMPSON: And perhaps you should just, in the |
|-----------------|----|--|
| | 17 | interest of judicial economy, just answer both of us together. |
| | 18 | Are you advancing a legal argument at this stage and for what |
| | 19 | purpose? |
| 11:20:16 it. | 20 | MR JORDASH: What I'm saying is this: I can shortcut |
| was | 21 | If their investigations revealed that indeed the photograph |
| | 22 | who we say it was, and indeed that that person was the not |
| Fatoma | 23 | just the named person but had that relationship with Aruna |
| be | 24 | and was alive then that would be Rule 68 material which should |
| 11:20:44 | 25 | disclosed before we progress with the issue which will be |
| | 26 | progressed through witnesses. And that's why I was listening |
| | 27 | carefully how my learned friend put his case on the issue |
| that | 28 | because, if they discovered that through their investigation |
| | 29 | in any way the Defence position is corroborated it ought to be |
| | | |

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER I

OPEN SESSION

SESAY ET AL
Page 29
31 JANUARY 2008

- disclosed now before we progress with the issue. That's the
- 2 point I was making.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: My difficulty really is well, this is

- really, at this point in time, an evidentiary matter. 4 have 11:21:18 5 actually cross-examined and, to the extent, as the Presiding 6 Judge said they consider it proper or fit and, if at this stage 7 there is some aspect of the matter unresolved, I would approach 8 it from two perspectives: Either, there's some aspect of the evidence needs to -- further aspects need to come before Court or 11:21:48 10 there may well be the need for some legal argument as to what has 11 transpired, and it would be my view that at this stage legal 12 argument would not be called for, and the question of whether 13 there needs to be some further evidentiary clarification is a 14 judgment call for the Prosecution. 11:22:10 15 JUDGE BOUTET: Mr Jordash, may I suggest that if you want 16 to pursue that particular aspect, as such, that you put that in the form of a short motion in writing so it will allow the 17 Prosecution to deal with that, and, if need be, we could issue 18 19 direction that the timeline be restricted. So, I know what 11:22:28 20 you're saying: You're saying, well, if they have gathered now 21 information that will support your position, they still have 22 obligations under 68 to disclose on a continuous basis, as
- Court

 11:22:50 25 to deal with that issue if you put that in writing and we will

 26 give them the opportunity to respond and then see where we go.

I mean -- it's an issue that's always alive, disclosure, but

much and so on. So, I say, it might be more easy for the

such.

how

23

24

| haven't | 27 | MR JORDASH: Well, the only I'm hoping just I |
|----------|----|--|
| | 28 | obviously had a chance to speak to the Prosecution about it |
| but I | 29 | because I didn't know the approach they were going to take, |
| | | SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER I |
| | | SESAY ET AL |
| Page 30 | | 31 JANUARY 2008 OPEN SESSION |
| | | |
| | 1 | only raised it now, as much as anything, for the Prosecution's |
| | 2 | sake because tomorrow we shall progress this issue. |
| the | 3 | JUDGE THOMPSON: The difficulty I have is that even if |
| the | 4 | Prosecution were to say anything, how does that factor into |
| 11:23:20 | 5 | evidentiary aspect of the record, if there has been a kind of |
| to | 6 | lacuna in terms of clarify here, I would not necessarily want |
| | 7 | accept what the Prosecution would say viva voce because it |
| | 8 | doesn't seem to go into the question of evaluation of the |
| | 9 | evidence. It should come on the legal context by way of some |
| 11:23:42 | 10 | legal argument |
| | 11 | MR JORDASH: Well |
| | 12 | JUDGE THOMPSON: coming from your side. |
| | 13 | MR JORDASH: I suppose it depends what they found out. |
| | 14 | JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes. Well, they have not brought it in |
| 11:23:52 | 15 | evidence. |

| is | 16 | MR JORDASH: No. But if they found out that what we say |
|--------------------|----|--|
| degree | 17 | right, then the point is simply that they should to the |
| | 18 | that they we're right they should disclose it I'll leave it |
| | 19 | there. |
| 11:24:04 matter | 20 | PRESIDING JUDGE: It depends on how they pursue the |
| | 21 | in the evidence. |
| | 22 | JUDGE THOMPSON: That's right. It's a very delicate |
| | 23 | matter. |
| | 24 | PRESIDING JUDGE: We have two conflicting evidentiary |
| 11:24:11 | 25 | positions in this case: Monjama is dead, from the evidence of |
| the | 26 | Fatoma; Monjama is not dead from the evidence of DIS-164 and |
| | 27 | photographs. |
| | 28 | MR JORDASH: Yes. |
| | 29 | PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, we will wait and see how the |
| | | |
| | | SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER I |
| D 21 | | SESAY ET AL |
| Page 31 | | 31 JANUARY 2008 OPEN SESSION |
| | | |
| | 1 | Prosecution gets along with the doubt which you have raised in |
| | 2 | their case on this issue. I think that is the best way of |
| really | 3 | proceeding, rather than multiplying issues which, to me, |

- 4 do not -- should not concern us, you know, for now.
- 11:24:53 5 MR JORDASH: It's merely to put it on record because
- 6 obviously if something comes out today or tomorrow, then I will
 - 7 obviously complain.
 - 8 JUDGE BOUTET: Yes. Well, they are aware of their
- 9 obligations of -- disclosure obligation. If they fail, well, you
- 11:25:06 10 have not -- and never hesitated in the past to take a challenge
- 11 on it, Mr Jordash, and I'm sure you will do that. Obviously, if
- \$12\$ it's possible to avoid it, it's welcome but we have to trust that
 - 13 people know what they have to do and their obligations.
- $$\rm 14$$ $\,$ MR JORDASH: I shall leave it there, Your Honours. Can I
 - 11:25:27 15 call the next witness who --
 - PRESIDING JUDGE: Do you have your script now?
 - 17 JUDGE THOMPSON: DIS-157?
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: Do you now have your script in front of
 - 19 you?
 - 11:25:37 20 MR JORDASH: Yes, I have the script but --
 - JUDGE THOMPSON: It's DIS-157.
 - 22 MR JORDASH: -- still no number, but thank you, Your
 - Honour.
- JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, you had given us 164, in that order.
 - 11:25:42 25 MR JORDASH: It's not 157, it's 154.
 - JUDGE THOMPSON: 154.
 - 27 MR WAGONA: But I have 297.
 - JUDGE THOMPSON: 297?

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER I

| Daga 22 | | SESAY ET AL | |
|----------|----|--|---------------------|
| Page 32 | | 31 JANUARY 2008 | OPEN SESSION |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Mr | 1 | MR JORDASH: Can I just have a moment? | Yes. As usual, |
| | 2 | Wagona is correct. DIS-297. Thank you. I | apologise. Could |
| I | | | |
| 25th | 3 | call DIS-297, who will testify in Krio and i | s, I think, our |
| | 4 | witness. | |
| 11:26:30 | 5 | PRESIDING JUDGE: 25th or 26th? 26th. | |
| | 6 | MR JORDASH: 26th. Not a good start t | to the day. |
| | 7 | PRESIDING JUDGE: Never mind. It will | get on track |
| before | | | |
| | 8 | the end of the day. | |
| | 9 | MR JORDASH: Don't bet on it. | |
| 11:26:59 | 10 | [The witness entered Court | :] |
| | 11 | PRESIDING JUDGE: So it's DIS-297; wil | ll be testifying in |
| | 12 | what language? | |
| | 13 | MR JORDASH: Krio, Your Honour. | |
| | 14 | WITNESS: DIS-297 [Sworn] | |
| 11:28:51 | 15 | [The witness answered thro | ough interpreter] |
| to | 16 | MR JORDASH: I'm afraid I'm going to a | ask the testimony |

| | 17 | be in a closed session. |
|----------------|----|--|
| | 18 | PRESIDING JUDGE: In it's entirety? |
| | 19 | MR JORDASH: I'm afraid so, yes. |
| 11:29:00 if | 20 | PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, make the application, you know, |
| | 21 | that's your call, if that's your wish, you should make the |
| testimony | 22 | application. You are applying for the entirety of the |
| | 23 | of this witness to be taken in a closed session? |
| | 24 | MR JORDASH: Yes. I think we're in an open session; is |
| 11:29:17 | 25 | that right? |
| making | 26 | PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, we are. If we do, if you are |
| | 27 | the application, then we'll have to so are you making the |
| | 28 | application because we have to know. |
| | 29 | MR JORDASH: Yes, please. I'd like to, yes. |
| | | |
| | | SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER I |
| | | |
| | | SESAY ET AL |
| Page 33 | | 31 JANUARY 2008 CLOSED SESSION |
| | | |
| | | |

1 PRESIDING JUDGE: Right. Okay. We'll then move into the
2 closed session, please.
3 Mr Jordash, you said this is for the entirety of the
4 witness's testimony?
11:30:07 5 MR JORDASH: Yes, I think it has to be, I'm afraid.

| | 6 | [At this point in the proceedings, a portion of the |
|----------|----|---|
| | 7 | transcript, pages 34 to 102, was extracted and sealed under |
| session] | 8 | separate cover, as the proceeding was heard in a closed |
| | 9 | [whereupon the hearing adjourned at 5.30 p.m. |
| | 10 | to be reconvened on Friday, the 1st day of |
| | 11 | February 2008 at 9.30 a.m.] |
| | 12 | |
| | 13 | |
| | 14 | |
| | 15 | |
| | 16 | |
| | 17 | |
| | 18 | |
| | 19 | |
| | 20 | |
| | 21 | |
| | 22 | |
| | 23 | |
| | 24 | |
| | 25 | |
| | 26 | |
| | 27 | |
| | 28 | |

29

| 7 | WITNESSES FOR THE DEFENCE: |
|----|-----------------------------|
| 26 | WITNESS: DIS-164 |
| 26 | CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR FYNN |
| 26 | RE-EXAMINED BY MR JORDASH |
| 32 | WITNESS: DIS-297 |
| 33 | EXAMINED BY MR JORDASH |
| 33 | CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR MARTIN |
| 33 | CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR WAGONA |