

Case No. SCSL-2003-01-T

THE PROSECUTOR OF THE SPECIAL COURT V.

## CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR

MONDAY, 14 JUNE 2010 9.00 A.M. TRIAL

TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before the Judges:

Justice Julia Sebutinde, Presiding Justice Richard Lussick Justice Teresa Doherty Justice El Hadji Malick Sow, Alternate

For Chambers:

For the Registry:

Ms Erica Bussey

Ms Rachel Irura Ms Zainab Fofanah

For the Prosecution:

Ms Brenda J Hollis Ms Kathryn Howarth Ms Maja Dimitrova

For the accused Charles Ghankay Mr Terry Munyard Taylor: Mr Terry Munyard Ms Fatiah Balfas

1 Monday, 14 June 2010 2 [Open session] [The accused present] 3 4 [Upon commencing at 9.02 a.m.] PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning. We'll take appearances 09:02:53 5 first, please. 6 7 MS HOWARTH: Good morning, Madam President. Good morning, your Honours. Good morning, counsel opposite. For the 8 9 Prosecution this morning, Ms Brenda J Hollis, Ms Maja Dimitrova and myself Ms Kathryn Howarth. 09:03:08 10 MR MUNYARD: Good morning, Madam President, your Honours, 11 12 counsel opposite. For the Defence this morning, myself Terry Munyard and Fatiah Balfas, our legal assistant. 13 14 PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning, Mrs Dogolea. 09:03:28 15 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Madam President. This morning we are going to continue a PRESI DI NG JUDGE: 16 17 little bit with your testimony and I would just like to remind 18 you of the oath that you took to tell the truth. That oath is 19 still binding on you today. That is clear. 09:03:46 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, it's okay. All right. 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Munyard, I believe you were 22 re-exami ni ng. 23 WITNESS: DCT-285 [On former oath] 24 RE-EXAMINATION BY MR MUNYARD: [Continued] 09:03:54 25 Q. Mrs Dogolea, do you have with you your passport for the 26 year 2000? 27 Α. Yes. 28 Q. Could you produce it, please? 29 I have already handed it over to the Court. This is the Α.

1 pass --2 Q. Thank you. Are there actually two passports connected in 3 some way - I mean bound together in some way? 4 Α. Yes, it's double. I would like you first of all to turn to the - I'm not 09:04:34 5 0. quite sure which one is the relevant one, but would you turn to 6 7 page 19 of the second of those two passports, the back one. The page is numbered at the bottom right of each page. And could you 8 9 just hold it up so that I can see we're looking at the page I wanted you to refer to. Could you just hold it up over here for 09:05:31 10 a moment. Yes, thank you. I think that's the right page, Madam 11 12 President. Now that you've got that page, could you keep your 13 finger in that page and just go to the front of that passport and 14 confirm, please, the name of the person whose passport it is? 09:06:16 15 Α. Dogol ea, Regi na. Now, could you - I don't know - given the stiffness of the 16 Q. 17 passport I'm not quite sure how this will work but if it's 18 possible I would like it on the overhead, on the projector so 19 that we can just see that page. Yes, thank you. Looking at 09:07:02 20 that, Mrs Dogolea, what is it? 21 Α. A passport. 22 What is on that page 19 of the passport? Q. 23 It's May 23, 2000. May 18, 2000. It's August 14, 2000. Α. 24 Q. All right. That's the date. Now, putting the passport 09:07:46 25 aside, please, if you would just take that off. Did you go to 26 Taiwan on more than one occasion? 27 Α. It was only one time. 28 Q. And what year was that? 29 2000. Α.

1 MR MUNYARD: Your Honours, we already have a copy of that 2 page that we saw in the bundle on Friday. That is the only item 3 I would wish to have marked for identification. PRESIDING JUDGE: You'll have to remind us what tab it was 4 because we never marked it. 09:08:43 5 MR MUNYARD: Yes, I can. It was tab 18. 6 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Page 19 of the witness's passport is marked MFI-5. 8 9 MR MUNYARD: That is my re-examination. Do your Honours have any questions for the witness? 09:09:12 10 JUDGE LUSSICK: Good morning, Mrs Dogolea. I'm asking you 11 12 about your evidence that your husband wanted some traditional 13 treatment and went into the bush with some people he called 14 brothers. Do you remember giving that evidence? THE WITNESS: Yes. 09:09:47 15 JUDGE LUSSICK: Now, was there any reason that your 16 17 husband's security did not go with him when he went into the 18 bush? 19 THE WITNESS: Securities followed them because they were 09:10:06 20 all men. They only stopped women from going there. 21 JUDGE LUSSICK: So the security went with him. Is that 22 correct? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, because they were men. 24 JUDGE LUSSICK: Well, you told us on Friday that when you 09:10:26 25 wanted your husband to return, you sent your security to get him. 26 Do you remember saying that? 27 THE WITNESS: Yes, I told you that. 28 JUDGE LUSSICK: And so did your security come back with 29 your husband?

1 THE WI TNESS: Yes. 2 JUDGE LUSSICK: But I think you told Mr Munyard when he was asking you questions on Friday that only the people who took your 3 4 husband into the bush came out of the bush with him. Do you remember saying that? 09:11:03 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 7 JUDGE LUSSICK: And are you now saying that apart from the people who took him into the bush, your security also came back 8 9 with him? THE WITNESS: Yes, but I told you that the only thing they 09:11:21 10 said that women were not allowed to go to the place but men could 11 12 go there. It was only women who were not allowed. So at last he 13 did say that he sent for me for someone to collect me, but 14 because I could not go there as a woman I sent my security to go 09:11:41 15 there and bring him. JUDGE LUSSICK: You see, that's what I can't understand. 16 17 You quite clearly said on Friday that only the people who took him into the bush came back with him, and now you're saying that 18 19 apart from the people who took him into the bush, your security 09:12:05 20 also came back with him. That's what I can't understand. Now, 21 which is the correct version? 22 THE WITNESS: The correct one is because those were the 23 people who went to do the herbal medicine and the people who went 24 to do the medicine were the people who took him to the bush, so 09:12:27 25 the security was just there. That was the reason I said that 26 they were the people who took him to the bush to do the medicinal 27 busi ness. 28 PRESIDING JUDGE: Madam Witness, the question is very simple: How many persons took your husband to the bush? 29

1 THE WITNESS: There were three, and I made mention of two. 2 The people who were doing the medicinal business were three. I made mention of two but I did not recall the name of the other, 3 4 the third one. PRESIDING JUDGE: Now, of the three people, were any of 09:13:14 5 these three people your husband's security? 6 7 THE WITNESS: No, they were his own people. 8 PRESIDING JUDGE: What do you mean? What do you mean "his 9 own people"? Relatives? THE WITNESS: They were his relatives. He sent for them to 09:13:37 10 do the treatment. 11 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: So when they were administering the 13 treatment, none of your bodyguards or his bodyguards was present, 14 were they? THE WITNESS: I said I was not in the bush. 09:13:57 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: Excuse me, that is not what I asked you. 16 17 That is not what I asked you. Were any of his bodyguards or your 18 bodyguards - did they escort him to the bush to the best of your 19 knowl edge? 09:14:22 20 THE WITNESS: No, it was his own relatives who took him to 21 the bush, at last what I saw, but for --22 PRESI DI NG JUDGE: So at what stage did your bodyguards meet 23 up with your husband? THE WITNESS: It was at the time he sent for me. 24 He had 09:14:46 25 said that he was weak. That was the time he sent for me before I 26 went there with the people. 27 PRESIDING JUDGE: And who did he send to come and get you? 28 THE WITNESS: It was one of the men, the Vahn. The Vahn 29 man.

1 PRESIDING JUDGE: I have a couple of questions, madam, for 2 One is in relation to the statement that we marked as you. MFI-2. Perhaps that statement could be shown to the witness. I 3 4 have some questions arising out of that statement. Madam, this is the statement that you said you wrote - or 09:15:30 5 rather you dictated to your stepdaughter and she wrote everything 6 7 This was your testimony, wasn't it? that you said. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: Now, earlier on in your testimony I think it was the Defence lawyer who asked you - no, it was the 09:15:57 10 Prosecution lawyer who asked you whether you were aware of the 11 12 people testifying before the Truth and Reconciliation Commission 13 and you said you were not. What is your answer, were you aware 14 or not? 09:16:26 15 THE WITNESS: It was only Zigzag Marzah's own that I was But the other people, I was not in town so I did not 16 aware of. 17 know. PRESIDING JUDGE: So if that is the case, madam, I would 18 19 like you to look at the first paragraph where you say: 09:16:49 20 "My attention has been drawn to the testimonies by 21 witnesses who appeared at the recent Truth and Reconciliation 22 hearings." 23 Do you see that sentence? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 09:17:03 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: So I need to understand if you knew 26 nothing about the existence of these testimonies at the Truth and 27 Reconciliation hearings, how come you referred to them in your 28 statement? Can you explain that, please? 29 THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. It had been a rumour all

1 around before - before --THE INTERPRETER: Your Honours, could the witness be asked 2 3 to make that area clear. Your Honours, could the witness be 4 kindly asked to make that area clear. PRESIDING JUDGE: Please pause. And, Mr Interpreter, I 09:17:39 5 wish you to interpret accurately what the witness is saying even 6 7 if it doesn't make sense to you. Madam Witness, please repeat 8 your answer. The interpreter didn't keep up with you. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, please ask the question again. 09:18:00 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: The question is very simple. You told the Court that you didn't know anything about the testimonies 11 12 before the Truth and Reconciliation Commission and that the only 13 testimony you knew was that of Zigzag Marzah before this Court. 14 This is what you told the Court. 09:18:18 15 Now, I looking at this statement am wondering if what you are saying is true, how come you refer to the - in fact the very 16 17 first people you refer to in your statement are the testimony by witnesses who appeared before the TRC in Monrovia? 18 19 THE WITNESS: You know, if you saw anybody - you did not 09:18:49 20 see anyone whose name I made mention of there. 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, but why did you mention the TRC 22 witnesses at all if you say that you had no idea that they were witnesses before the TRC? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did not have idea. It was when Zigzag 24 09:19:24 25 Marzah made the statement. I told you - you asked about a 26 particular person and I said no, I was not in town at that time. 27 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you for your answer. The second 28 question I have arising out of this statement: What was the

29 purpose of this statement, madam? Why did you write it?

12

29

1 THE WITNESS: The reason is, Madam President, because 2 people were going around saying - talking about things, but if 3 you ask them they will not even tell you the truth and if you 4 asked them they will not even tell you that yes, I did it. And 09:20:15 5 this man now came up and said something like that so that made me 6 - I said that was the reason why I gave - I brought out this 7 press release because imagine, because --

> 8 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honours, could the witness be asked 9 to slow down.

09:20:2910PRESIDING JUDGE: Madam, you are talking too fast. You are11talking too fast. Okay.

THE WITNESS: Sorry, sorry, sorry.

PRESIDING JUDGE: We couldn't get an interpretation of what
you said. So you were saying the reason why you brought out this
09:20:46 15 press release. Continue from there slowly.

THE WITNESS: I said the reason I brought this press 16 17 release out was because that kept on reminding me about the death of my husband and you know - and you know that a stigma was at my 18 19 back looking at me, a young girl, and being that I was a widow 09:21:16 20 now and the man is dead and I was suffering, I was going up and 21 down, nobody was helping me, and nobody - and if nobody was 22 coming out to say oh, so and so thing or said this person did 23 thi s. And they had set up a certain committee about this thing 24 and nobody came out clearly to talk to me what the truth was 09:21:35 25 maybe so that made me you know - the time that they made these 26 statements me myself, I was not feeling happy so that was the 27 reason why I decided to bring out this press release. So I did 28 not even want to say anything like this.

THE INTERPRETER: Your Honours, could the witness be asked

1 again to repeat that area slowly. 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: You were giving another reason. You said you did not even want to say anything like this. Please continue 3 4 from there slowly. THE WITNESS: Yes, and I did not even want to come here 09:22:07 5 because the more it perpetrated my heart because if I and my 6 7 children were still continuously hearing about these things 8 because person who was taking care of us --9 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honours, the witness is still going fast. Let her slow down. 09:22:29 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: Please slow down, Madam Witness. 11 12 THE WITNESS: All right. 13 PRESIDING JUDGE: I want you to calm down and to repeat 14 your answer slowly. The question I simply asked you was what was 09:22:47 15 the purpose, and I think in summary you are saying you wanted to stop the rumours and the stories going around concerning your 16 17 husband's death. Would I be correct in summarising that? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: Was that the only reason? 09:23:08 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, that was the only reason because I was 21 now tired of hearing them and they continued to move my heart. 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: Can you please look at the penultimate paragraph which begins, "I commend her Excellency". 23 The 24 paragraph reads: 09:23:31 25 "I commend her Excellency Ellen Johnson-Sirleaf for her 26 leadership and the American President for the donation of books 27 and hope that all Liberians take advantage of the opportunity." 28 Why did you put that paragraph in a statement like this? THE WITNESS: You know, that is the reason I told you that 29

1 me too I wanted to commend the woman because she was doing well 2 for us and at that time they brought the books, so that was the reason why I put it there. You know, if someone was a President 3 4 and was doing something, so that was the reason why I did that. PRESIDING JUDGE: But I thought you told me - or you told 09:24:12 5 us - that the only reason you wrote this statement was to clear 6 7 rumours concerning your husband's death. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am, and even if you declared that, 8 9 that something was going on in the country and you liked it and you had little chance you could do that. 09:24:32 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: Perhaps lastly I would like to ask again 11 12 a question relating to the men that took your husband to the 13 Did you say that these men were his brothers in a physical bush. sense? Were they his relatives? 14 09:25:05 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, he told me that they were his brothers. Yes, they were his brothers. He sent for them. 16 17 PRESIDING JUDGE: Did you know them before? THE WITNESS: Yes, the times we used to go home I used to 18 19 They used to come and visit him. see them. 09:25:27 20 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honours, the last bit was not clear. PRESIDING JUDGE: You said they used to come and visit him 21 22 and then what else did you say? THE WITNESS: I said the time we used to go to their home, 23 24 they used to come there to us and I will see them discussing. I 09:25:44 25 know them. 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Do any questions arise out of 27 these questions? 28 MS HOWARTH: No, I don't have any questions but I would 29 like to have a quick look at the passport, if possible.

1 MR MUNYARD: I don't have any questions. Thank you, 2 your Honour. 3 PRESIDING JUDGE: Perhaps, Madam Witness, one Last 4 question. You told us that this passport has two passports in Right? 09:26:27 5 one. THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Why? 8 THE WITNESS: We used to travel, so I used to put my 9 passports together. I did not want it to get missing. PRESIDING JUDGE: Why do you have two passports? 09:26:43 10 THE WITNESS: No, the other one expired, so I attached the 11 other to it. 12 13 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. 14 Could we - are you tendering any exhibits? 09:27:54 15 MS HOWARTH: Yes, please. I would ask for all MFIs, MFI-1, 2, 3, and 4 on the Prosecution's behalf to be tendered as 16 17 evi dence. 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: Does the Defence object to any of the 19 Prosecution MFIs? 09:28:19 20 MR MUNYARD: Madam President, we don't object. I'm just 21 wanting to confirm which MFI I'm about to make a short submission 22 in relation to. Yes, it's MFI-4. What I would invite the Court 23 to do is to admit only that part of that page which was referred 24 to in evidence by my learned friend. 09:29:36 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: We had marked page 1, of course, to give 26 perspective to the Gazette, but also the last page and, I must 27 say, I didn't mark the paragraph. Mr Munyard, what paragraph 28 would that be on the last page? MR MUNYARD: I'm very sorry, I think I've - yes, I have 29

1 actually got the wrong MFI. It's MFI-1, theliberiantimes.com. 2 I'm sorry, I've referred you to the Liberian Gazette. It's 3 principally the second and third paragraphs. I can't now 4 remember - my learned friend will no doubt assist - how much she read out of the first paragraph, if at all. 09:30:32 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, it's - yes, she did read the whole 6 7 of the first paragraph. MR MUNYARD: It's those three paragraphs only which I would 8 9 invite the Court to admit and not the rest of that page. PRESIDING JUDGE: Actually, I think it was only the two 09:30:47 10 paragraphs, the first and third, weren't they? 11 12 MS HOWARTH: Madam President is quite right. 13 PRESIDING JUDGE: In any event, MFI-1 which is a web page 14 from theliberiantimes.com article entitled "Liberia: Former 09:31:07 15 House Speaker Makomanah requests ten containers of blood at Duport Road Massacre, witness testifies", January 22, 2008, and 16 17 the paragraphs we are admitting in evidence are paragraphs 1 and 3, of course with the heading as well, that will be admitted as 18 19 exhibit P-548. MFI-2, which is a statement in relation - this is a 09:31:36 20 21 statement entitled "Statement in reaction" - let me just find the 22 heading - entitled "Statement in reaction to witnesses' 23 testimonies at both the TRC of Liberia and the Special Court for 24 Sierra Leone sitting in The Hague", the whole of that statement 09:32:16 25 is admitted as exhibit P-549. MFI-3 is a Sierra News article entitled "Liberia's VP 26 27 killed for Salone", date 28 June 2000, that is marked - that is 28 admitted as exhibit P-550. MFI-4, which is the official Gazette of Liberia of Monday, 29

3 July 2000, that's volume, I think, number 18, the first and 1 2 last pages of that article - the publication are admitted as 3 exhibit P-551. 4 [Exhibits P-548 to P-551 admitted] Mr Munyard, I believe you want to exhibit MFI-5. 09:33:07 5 MR MUNYARD: Yes, which is the one page - actually, I think 6 7 it's two pages, one blank, one with a visa, of Mrs Dogolea's passport for the year - that covered the year 2000. 8 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: We have seen a copy of page 19 of the 09:33:30 10 witness's passport with the contents of a courtesy visa from the Republic of China. That is admitted as exhibit D-421. 11 12 MR MUNYARD: Thank you very much, Madam President. That 13 concludes --MS IRURA: Your Honour, it would be D-422, because another 14 document was admitted as D-421 via motion through the Chamber. 09:33:49 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: The record is corrected accordingly. 16 17 That passport page 19 is now D-422. [Exhibit D-422 admitted] 18 19 Mrs Dogolea, I want to thank you for your time and your 09:34:09 20 testimony, which has now come to an end, and we wish you a safe journey home. Thank you, and the witness may be escorted out, 21 22 pl ease. THE WITNESS: Thank you too, Madam President. 23 24 MR MUNYARD: Madam President, two matters. One, I just 09:34:50 25 wanted to let the Court know that we did all listen to the tape 26 on Friday afternoon. There wasn't anything on it that hadn't 27 appeared on the LiveNote, and that was agreed by Mr Koumjian and 28 myself, who were present when the tape was listened to. So that 29 puts that matter to rest.

1 The second is this, that I've been in touch with Mr Anyah 2 yesterday evening. He had started his proofing of the next 3 witness 299, and if you recall, last week I indicated that he 4 thought that he would be ready to start tomorrow afternoon - by the time of the afternoon session. He now thinks he will need 09:35:28 5 the whole of today and tomorrow. She is not - if I can put it in 6 7 She is not a young witness. this way: She is - she needs an interpreter and she covers a very large amount of ground in her 8 testimony, and he says it's taking quite some time to cover that 9 09:35:53 10 ground and for those reasons, he asks the Court for today - the rest of today and tomorrow to complete his proofing of that 11 12 witness. PRESIDING JUDGE: Is that the only witness that the Defence 13 14 has waiting? 09:36:09 15 MR MUNYARD: Yes, because we've obviously tried to be economical in terms of the numbers of witnesses we've got here, 16 17 and it was for reasons out of our control that the witnesses ran 18 short last week. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: In the premises really, having heard from 09:37:13 20 the Defence the reasons given, we do not have any alternative but 21 to adjourn to Wednesday morning and hope that from Wednesday 22 morning onwards we will - all the parties will comply with the 23 Trial Chamber's order - standing order to always have a backup 24 witness. In this case we do appreciate that it was for reasons 09:37:41 25 beyond your control that the backup witness arrived late. 26 MR MUNYARD: Madam President, your comments are noted and I 27 can assure you that we have been doing our utmost to make sure 28 that we have witnesses here, but also to make sure that we don't 29 have witnesses here so long in advance that it's costing the

1	Court, and the WVS in particular, vast amounts of money to keep
2	people here in The Hague. But we don't anticipate at this stage
3	any further holdups.
4	PRESIDING JUDGE: Very well. Court is adjourned to
09:38:19 5	Wednesday at 9 o'clock.
6	[Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 9.39 a.m.
7	to be reconvened on Wednesday, 16 June 2010 at
8	9.00 a.m.]
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

## INDEX

WITNESSES FOR THE DEFENCE:	
DCT-285	42586
RE-EXAMINATION BY MR MUNYARD	42586
EXHI BI TS:	
Exhibits P-548 to P-551 admitted	42598
Exhibit D-422 admitted	42598