

Case No. SCSL-2003-01-T

THE PROSECUTOR OF THE SPECIAL COURT CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR

Tuesday, 3 July 2007 9:05 a.m.

TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before the Judges: Julia Sebutinde (Presiding)

Ri chard Lussi ck Teresa Doherty

El Hadji Malick Sow (Alternate)

For Chambers: Ms Carolyn Buff

For the Registry: Ms Rosette Muzigo-Morrison

Ms Rachel Irura

For the Prosecution: Ms Brenda J Hollis

For the accused Charles Ghankay Self-represented Taylor:

For the Office of the Principal Mr Charles Jalloh

Defender:

Tuesday, 3 July 2007

1

2 [Open session] [The accused not present in court] 3 4 [Upon commencing at 9:05 a.m.] COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated. 09:05:38 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning. 6 Mr Jalloh, could you 7 I note the accused is not in court. address the Court in that regard, please. 8 9 MR JALLOH: Yes, your Honour, if I may. I understand that Mr Taylor is actually on his way here at this moment. I've been 09:06:06 10 informed that he's a bit late, but I understand that he should --11 12 he has the intention of being here, your Honours. Thank you. 13 PRESIDING JUDGE: In that case we'll have to proceed under Rule 50(B) -- I'm sorry, Rule 60, Rule 60, whereby it's implied 14 09:06:41 15 that he's waived his right to be here. But as soon as he comes in, he's welcome. And I have every confidence, Mr Jalloh, that 16 17 you will represent him. 18 MR JALLOH: Thank you, your Honour. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: Now, the first point that I wish to make 09:07:01 20 is with regard to the decision of the Court in relation to the 21 urgent and public joint submission by the Prosecutor and the 22 Office of the Principal Defender in relation to the recommencement of the trial today. 23 24 This was an urgent motion that the two institutions jointly 09:07:28 25 filed last week, on the 28th, and we did issue on the same day, the 28th, a written decision, a written order, but reserved our 26 27 reasons to be read out in court today, and that is what I am 28 about to do. Now, on the 25th of June 2007, the Trial Chamber adjourned 29

29

2 continuation of the Prosecution case with the expectation that 3 either Duty Counsel or a newly assigned Defence counsel would be 4 present and ready to represent the accused today. However, subsequently, on the 20th of June 2007, the Office 09:08:09 5 of the Principal Defender and the Prosecutor filed a joint 6 7 submission in relation to the recommencement of the trial today, amongst others, requesting the Trial Chamber to further postpone 8 9 the recommencement of the Prosecution case to the 20th of August, 2007. That would be after the Special Court recess. 09:08:33 10 Now, given the urgency of the request, the Trial Chamber 11 12 thought it fit to expedite its decision and we issued a written 13 order postponing the continuation of the Prosecution case to 14 Monday, the 20th of August 2007. The following are the reasons: Both the Prosecution and the Office of the Principal 09:08:59 15 Defender jointly submitted, one, that the Acting Registrar --16 17 sorry, when we adjourned to this day, we ordered, the Trial Chamber ordered, that the Acting Registrar of the Special Court 18 19 should facilitate the Principal Defender to assemble, by the 31st 09:09:27 20 of July 2007, a Defence team for the accused Charles Ghankay 21 Taylor. The team would comprise one lead counsel, two 22 co-counsel, and one senior investigator at P4 level. We further ordered that pending the appointment of the 23 24 above Defence team, the Principal Defender should, in accordance 09:09:49 25 with Article 24(D) of the Directive on the Assignment of Counsel, 26 immediately assign new counsel from his list to represent the 27 accused, or, in the alternative, assign Duty Counsel from the 28 Office of the Principal Defender to represent Mr Taylor in

the trial proceedings to Tuesday, the 3rd of July, for the

accordance with Article 25(A) of the same directive.

	1	In their joint submission, both the Office of the Principal
	2	Defender and the Prosecutor requested the Trial Chamber to
	3	further postpone the recommencement of the trial on the grounds
	4	that Duty Counsel, who has been assigned to represent the accused
09:10:36	5	in the interim, is not able to effectively do so due to the
	6	following reasons:
	7	One, that in view of the short notice that Duty Counsel has
	8	had to prepare, coupled with the fact that he has hitherto not
	9	been privy to the Prosecution material disclosed to the Defence
09:10:58	10	and has no administrative support in The Hague, he is not in a
	11	position to effectively cross-examine the Prosecution witnesses
	12	slated to testify between today, the 3rd of July, and the 11th of
	13	Jul y.
	14	Secondly, on the grounds that the two expert witnesses
09:11:18	15	slated to testify for the Prosecution during the period in
	16	question have been challenged by the former Defence counsel,
	17	acting on behalf of the accused, for reasons to which Defence
	18	counsel was not privy and is therefore not able to address.
	19	Duty Counsel submitted that for him to purport to represent
09:11:39	20	the accused under those circumstances would amount to a violation
	21	of Mr Taylor's fair-trial rights under Article 17(4)(e) of the
	22	Statute, which entitles the accused to examine, or have examined,
	23	the witnesses against him under the same conditions as that of
	24	the Prosecution. The Prosecutor completely agreed with Defence
09:12:07	25	counsel in this regard.
	26	Now, after careful reflection, the Trial Chamber is of the
	27	view that in the circumstances good cause has been shown for the
	28	postponement of this trial. The Chamber agrees that to compel
	29	Duty Counsel to represent the accused during this one week,

2 prepare, would indeed amount to a violation of Mr Taylor's 3 fair-trial rights in as far as counsel could not be expected to 4 effectively cross-examine the witnesses, Prosecution witnesses, nor effectively challenge the Prosecution evidence. 09:12:43 5 It will be remembered that the Trial Chamber did, as early 6 7 as March 2007 and on several occasions after that, warn of unlikely due delay emanating from the failure of the Registry to 8 address and resolve Mr Taylor's representation and investigative 09:13:08 10 requirements in good time before the start of the trial. Thus, while the Chamber generally frowns upon undue delay of these 11 12 proceedings, we are mindful of our overriding obligation to 13 conduct a fair trial and to guarantee the statutory rights of Mr Taylor, who, in this case, should not be penalised for the 14 09:13:32 15 laxity of the Registry. Furthermore, the Trial Chamber is of the view that the 16 17 alternative proposed by the Prosecutor; namely, that of calling the experts today to give their evidence in-chief and then to 18 19 postpone their cross-examination until August when a new Defence 09:13:54 20 team is in place, would also not be in the interests of justice 21 or of a fair trial. 22 It is for all the above reasons that the Trial Chamber agreed to review its earlier order of 25th June 2007 and granted 23 24 the joint request and postponed this trial to 20th August 2007, when the Prosecution case is then expected to recommence and a 09:14:15 25 26 new Defence team is expected to be in place and ready to 27 effectively represent the accused without further undue delay. 28 Those are the reasons accompanying the order that we 29 i ssued. This order, I think, will be published sometime today,

without affording him adequate administrative support or time to

- 1 because it has already been signed. But I think it will be
- 2 published sometime today, or was published sometime last week.
- 3 It was issued on the 28th. So these are the reasons that I have
- 4 just read.
- 09:15:00 5 Now, there is a second matter related somehow to the
 - 6 reasons that I have just read, and this is the matter that
 - 7 relates to the Principal Defender's application for suspension of
 - 8 time limits in relation to the pleadings that are attached to the
 - 9 pending motions.
- 09:15:27 10 The Office of the Principal Defender did, on the 12th of
 - 11 June 2007, file an application requesting the Trial Chamber to
 - 12 suspend the time limits within which the Defence is required to
 - 13 file either a response or a reply with regard to certain motions
 - 14 pending before the Chamber, and the request for suspension was
- 09:15:48 15 until the issues surrounding Mr Taylor's representation have been
 - 16 sorted out.
 - 17 On the whole, the Trial Chamber is of the view that the
 - 18 request by the Office of the Principal Defender is a reasonable
 - one and that an extension rather than a suspension of time limits
- 09:16:08 20 would be in the interests of justice. The Trial Chamber's
 - 21 decision in this regard has been issued also today and should be
 - 22 published shortly. So we have granted the request in a modified
 - 23 form where we have indicated the time extensions that we have
 - 24 given with regard to each pleading and with regard to each
- 09:16:30 **25 motion**.
 - Now, a third issue that I would address the parties on is
 - 27 the request by court management for court to adjust its sitting
 - 28 schedul e.
 - 29 The Trial Chamber recalls that during the Pre-Trial

26

27

28

29

2 parties and with the representative of the Registry in The Hague, 3 issue a schedule of daily sitting hours. At that time the Trial 4 Chamber received assurances from the Registrar's representative in The Hague that the proposed schedule would not pose any 09:17:14 5 administrative difficulties for the ICC staff rendering support 6 7 to the Special Court. Since then, however, it has been drawn to our attention 8 9 that, for technical reasons, the morning break of 15 minutes that we had proposed is insufficient to enable the ICC staff handling 09:17:33 10 the recording of the proceedings to change their tapes and is 11 12 also insufficient for the ICC staff handling the movement of 13 witnesses in dealing with their protective measures. The Trial 14 Chamber has thus been requested to extend the 15-minute break by 09:17:56 **15** another 15 minutes every morning to 30 minutes. We think that the request is a reasonable and necessary one in the 16 17 circumstances. Accordingly, the revised sitting times will be as follows: 18 19 From Monday to Thursday, this will be the schedule: Court 09:18:18 20 will start at exactly 9:00 a.m. and will sit until 10:30 a.m. for 21 the first session. We will have a 30-minute break from 10:30 to 22 11:00 a.m. We'll resume for the second session at 11:00 and we'll sit through to 1:00 p.m. We'll break for lunch from 1:00 23 24 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. and then we'll resume for the third -- the 09:18:44 25 afternoon session, from 2:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Conference of 7th May 2007, we did, in consultation with the

Now, for Friday, which is a half-day sitting in court, the

schedule will be exactly as above until the lunch break, and then

after the lunch break we will not sit in court but this will be

time for counsel and for the Bench for in-house assignments,

catching up with our in-house assignments.

1

2 The fourth matter that I need to clarify is with regard to 3 the two court recesses under which the Trial Chamber operates. 4 We operate under two judicial calendars that may not always coincide, namely, that of the Special Court for Sierra Leone and 09:19:41 5 that of the ICC. We wish to clarify that for our sitting times 6 7 here in court, we are obligated to abide by the ICC judicial 8 calendar whereby we only sit when the ICC court is also sitting 9 and not during their recesses, while, for purposes of court filings, we are bound by the Special Court judicial calendar. 09:20:11 10 This, in effect, means that whilst we may not be able to 11 sit in court during the ICC judicial recess or other public 12 13 holidays pertaining to the Netherlands, the parties are free to 14 carry on with their work that is outside of the court, including 09:20:33 15 filing of pleadings, except during the Special Court recess. converse -- I've been requested -- is the accused waiting to come 16 17 in? The accused may come in. It's okay. 18 So as the accused is coming in, let me finish this thought 19 on the judicial calendar. I was going to say that the converse 09:21:13 20 is always true in that while the Special Court is in recess, the 21 parties may not file their pleadings, notwithstanding that the 22 ICC is in session. And this is how the system will work. is the way that we've tried to balance and work around the two 23 24 judicial calendars, because our filings are done at the 09:21:39 25 headquarters in Freetown but we find ourselves sitting here for the court proceedings, and we have to find a balance between the 26 27 two judicial calendars. 28 I'm informed that the accused is here. Is that correct? 29 Well, bring him in.

	1	[Trial Chamber confers]
	2	[The accused entered court]
	3	PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning, Mr Taylor.
	4	The representative of the Registrar is not here, but the
09:23:29	5	comments that I'm going to make really relate to him or should be
	6	addressed to him.
	7	Court was scheduled to begin at 9:00 and we are just
	8	wondering why the accused has been brought in at 25 past 9:00.
	9	I'm sure it's not Mr Taylor's fault, but definitely the Court
09:23:56	10	deserves an explanation why the accused has been brought late.
	11	Is anybody able to give that explanation? Mr Jalloh?
	12	[Principal Defender and accused confer]
	13	COURT OFFICER: Your Honour, we are informed that it had to
	14	do with the transport police. For security reasons, they had to
09:24:21	15	adjust the route and make modifications to the movement of the
	16	accused, and that is the reason that he's late. Thank you, your
	17	Honour.
	18	PRESIDING JUDGE: Okay. The reason is noted but it's not
	19	satisfactory, because the schedule of this court is well known,
09:24:41	20	was known beforehand. The schedule is not changing. We will sit
	21	every day, we will start at 9:00, and we expect that the
	22	necessary adjustments are made to ensure that the police
	23	transport the accused in good time to enable him to be here and
	24	ready to be in court just before 9:00.
09:25:04	25	So we are directing actually, I'm directing the
	26	Registrar, the Acting Registrar, to ensure that this is done, to
	27	communicate to the authorities, the relevant authorities, to
	28	ensure that court time is not wasted because we're waiting for
	29	someone to transport Mr Taylor to court. We are very

- 1 time-conscious. We try our best to abide by the schedule that
- 2 we've set for ourselves, and so I expect that this will not be
- 3 repeated in future.
- I also need to point out that this is the first time or the
- 09:25:41 5 first occasion that the Court has had to read the amended
 - 6 indictment to Mr Taylor now that he's in court. The indictment
 - 7 was amended in two counts, namely, count 5 and count 11. I'm
 - 8 going to ask the court manager to read count 5 to the --
 - 9 Mr Jalloh, you're on your feet.
- 09:26:16 10 MR JALLOH: Yes, your Honour. If I may crave the
 - 11 indulgence of the Court to speak very briefly with Mr Taylor, I
 - would be most grateful, because as you're aware he's coming now
 - 13 late and we have not had time to discuss matters relating to the
 - 14 amendments in the indictment. I would wish to have an
- 09:26:34 15 opportunity to address him before the counts are read out to him.
 - 16 Thank you, your Honour.
 - 17 PRESIDING JUDGE: Very well.
 - 18 [Trial Chamber confers]
 - 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: I think it is quite reasonable. How much
- 09:26:52 20 time do you require, 20 minutes?
 - 21 MR JALLOH: Yes, your Honour. I would be most grateful for
 - 22 about 20 minutes. I wish to point out that Mr Taylor is aware
 - 23 that there have been amendments. It's just that I would want to,
 - 24 before he enters a plea, address the matters this morning. Thank
- 09:27:06 **25** you.
 - 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: I appreciate that.
 - 27 Court will rise for 20 minutes. It is now --
 - 28 [Trial Chamber confers]
 - 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: We are adjourning for 20 minutes. We

28

29

if that's okay with you.

1 will return to the court to read the counts, the amended counts, 2 to the accused. Thank you. 3 [Recess taken at 9:27 a.m.] 4 [On resuming at 9:47 a.m.] COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated. 09:47:15 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: Now, under Rule 50(B) of the rules of the 6 7 Special Court, the Trial Chamber is obliged to read out any amended count of the indictment, if the amended count comprises 8 9 new charges, and have the accused plead to the new count. In accordance with that rule, I'm going to ask the court manager to 09:48:29 10 read the relevant counts or count that was amended. 11 12 But, firstly, I wish to point out that there were two 13 amendments introduced by the Prosecutor. The first amendment was with regard to count 5. Count 5 was substantively amended to 14 09:48:51 15 include a new charge. Now, count 11 was only amended in as far as the amendments 16 17 touched on the particulars of the count. There are no charges, no new charges, introduced in count 11. The extent of the 18 19 amendment in count 11 is with regard to a new district that was 09:49:18 20 introduced, or a new location, that is, Port Loko District, as a 21 new crime base, and the place originally pleaded in paragraph 30, 22 the place, a village called Masiaka, was removed from Bombali District and now constituted in a newly inserted district of Port 23 24 Loko. 09:49:45 25 So, Mr Jalloh, count 11, in our opinion, doesn't really 26 substantially affect the initial pleading by the accused person

and so I don't think I'm going to ask the accused to plead again,

MR JALLOH: Yes, your Honour. Thank you.

	1	PRESIDING JUDGE: In which case, I will now ask the court
	2	manager to read count 5, the amended count 5.
	3	COURT OFFICER: Count 5: Sexual slavery, a crime against
	4	humanity, punishable under Article 2.g of the Statute.
09:50:30	5	The particulars:
	6	Between about 30th November 1996 and about 18th January
	7	2002, members of the RUF, AFRC, AFRC/RUF Junta or alliance,
	8	and/or Liberian fighters, assisted and encouraged by, acting in
	9	concert with, under the direction and/or control of, and/or
09:51:13	10	subordinate to the accused, committed widespread acts of sexual
	11	violence against civilian women and girls, including the
	12	fol I owi ng:
	13	Kono District. Between about 1 February 1998 and about
	14	31st December 1998, raped an unknown number of women and girls in
09:51:44	15	various Locations, including Koidu, Tombodu or Tumbodu, Wondedu
	16	and AFRC and/or RUF camps such as "Superman Ground," "Gui nea
	17	Highway" and "PC Ground"; abducted an unknown number of women and
	18	girls from various locations within the District, or brought them
	19	from Locations outside the District, and used them as sex slaves;
09:52:27	20	Kailahun District. Between about 30 November 1996 and
	21	about 18 January 2002, raped an unknown number of women and girls
	22	in locations throughout Kailahun District; abducted many victims
	23	from other areas of the Republic of Sierra Leone, brought them to
	24	locations throughout the District, and used them as sex slaves;
09:53:04	25	Freetown and the Western Area. Between about 21 December
	26	1998 and about the 28 February 1999, raped an unknown number of
	27	women and girls throughout Freetown and the Western Area, and
	28	abducted an unknown number of women and girls and used them as
	29	sex slaves.

	1	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Taylor, could you please stand.
	2	[The accused stands up]
	3	PRESIDING JUDGE: Have you understood count 5, as read out
	4	by the court manager?
09:53:53	5	THE ACCUSED: Yes, I do, your Honour.
	6	PRESIDING JUDGE: On count 5, how do you plead?
	7	THE ACCUSED: I plead not guilty, your Honour.
	8	PRESIDING JUDGE: A plea of not guilty is entered for the
	9	accused in respect of count 5.
09:54:10	10	Please be seated.
	11	[The accused sits down]
	12	PRESIDING JUDGE: There being no further business today, we
	13	will adjourn these proceedings and this trial to Monday, the 20th
	14	of August 2007, at 9 a.m., for the continuation of the
09:54:38	15	Prosecution case.
	16	COURT OFFICER: All rise.
	17	[Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 9:54 a.m.]
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	