

Case No. SCSL-2003-01-T

THE PROSECUTOR OF THE SPECIAL COURT CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR

FRIDAY, 7 MARCH 2008 9.30 A.M. TRI AL

TRIAL CHAMBER II

Justice Teresa Doherty, Presiding Justice Richard Lussick Before the Judges:

Justice Julia Sebutinde

Justice Al Hadji Malick Sow, Alternate

For Chambers: Mr Simon Meisenberg

Ms Doreen Kiggundu

For the Registry: Ms Rosette Muzigo-Morrison

Ms Rachel Irura

For the Prosecution:

Ms Brenda J Hollis Mr Mohamed A Bangura Mr Nicholas Koumjian Mr Alain Werner Ms Maja Dimitrova

For the accused Charles Ghankay

Tayl or:

Mr Terry Munyard Mr Morris Anyah

For the Office of the Principal

Defender:

Mr Silas Chekera

	1	Fri day, 7 March 2008
	2	[Open session]
	3	[The accused not present]
	4	[Upon commencing at 9.30 a.m.]
09:30:04	5	PRESIDING JUDGE: Now before I take appearances and remind
	6	the witness of his oath, it would appear that the accused is not
	7	in court.
	8	MR MUNYARD: That is correct, Madam President. Do you wish
	9	to take appearances first and then we can formally start the
09:30:19	10	proceedi ngs.
	11	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes.
	12	MR MUNYARD: I will tell you what I know and it may be that
	13	Mr Chekera, who is here from the Office of the Principal
	14	Defender, will be able to assist with more information. I don't
09:30:30	15	know.
	16	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. I will take appearances and
	17	then we will deal with that matter first. Mr Bangura?
	18	MR BANGURA: Good morning, your Honours. Your Honours,
	19	this morning for the Prosecution: Ms Brenda Hollis; Nick
09:30:44	20	Koumjian; myself, Mohamed Bangura; and Maja Dimitrova.
	21	PRESIDING JUDGE: I think there is another gentleman there.
	22	MR BANGURA: I am sorry, I did not mean to be impolite.
	23	Mr Alain Werner. I actually did not realise he had come in.
	24	Your Honours, if I may I would like to - your Lordships
09:31:05	25	will notice that Ms Alagendra, who has been conducting the case
	26	for the Prosecution in respect of this witness, is not here with
	27	us this morning. If your Lordships wish, I may wish to address
	28	you on her absence.
	29	PRESIDING JUDGE: Perhaps I will take the other appearances

- 1 first. Excuse me, I hadn't my microphone on. I will take the 2 other appearances first and then I will come back and we will
- 3 deal with the absence of the accused he may require to be dealt
- 4 with and then I will deal with that matter.
- 09:31:37 5 MR BANGURA: Thank you, your Honour.
 - 6 MR MUNYARD: Good morning, Madam President, your Honours,
 - 7 counsel opposite. For the Defence is: myself, Terry Munyard;
 - 8 Morris Anyah; Fatiah Balfas; and from the Office of the Principal
 - 9 Defender is Silas Chekera. As I am on my feet and you have
- 09:31:57 10 already raised the question of the absence of the accused, can
 - 11 I go straight into what I know about the situation.
 - 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: Please do so.
 - 13 MR MUNYARD: There has been for I think it is right to say
 - 14 now some weeks a change in the transportation regime from the
- 09:32:16 15 prison in
 - Scheveningen to the courthouse here. I don't know, because
 - 17 I have received conflicting information, as to the nature of the
 - 18 security escort who now are bringing Mr Taylor to court. All
 - 19 I do know is that they are different from the security personnel
- 09:32:38 20 who had been bringing him up to about the first six weeks of the
 - 21 trial. There have been no difficulties at all with the previous
 - 22 organi sati on.
 - 23 I don't know if the present security staff are part of a
 - 24 public State system, part of the Ministry of Justice, or what.
- 09:33:03 25 I don't know if they are a privatised organisation, but all I can
 - 26 say is that in the last couple of weeks there has been a much
 - 27 more restrictive set of arrangements in force, about which we
 - 28 have been expressing concern behind the scenes and we haven't
 - thus far sought to raise the matter at a higher level.

I emphasise "thus far".

1

29

As I understand it, this morning Mr Taylor was subjected to 2 3 what in common law jurisdictions that I am familiar with would be 4 called an intimate search. It was an intimate search of considerable intrusion and physical pain and this was the first 09:33:48 5 time it had been done this way and he protested. He was told 6 7 that it would be persisted in if he was to be brought to court this morning by that particular security detail and he refused to 8 9 participate further in this so far unique, offensive and physically painful procedure. 09:34:17 10 I am not aware that this has been attempted before. I see 11 no reason for it now. He has been humiliated and more than 12 13 physically discomforted, and he made it plain that he was 14 perfectly willing to come to court provided this procedure was 09:34:40 15 not persisted in. It appears from the latest information that I have received from the security staff here at the ICC building 16 17 that whoever - who's ever idea it was to persist in this procedure has had a change of heart, as a result of which 18 19 I understand Mr Taylor is well on his way to court now and may 09:35:06 20 even be within the precincts as I speak. 21 So, I ask the Court's indulgence to wait for his arrival 22 before we deal with any further aspect of any part of this case 23 this morning. It is perfectly plain that he has been cooperating 24 every single day, not just in the last eight weeks, but hitherto 09:35:32 25 before the trial actually restarted on 7 January. This is the 26 first time such a procedure has been added to the increasing list 27 of restrictions that have been put on him in recent times and -28 well, I suspect he may be here now. Yes, I am receiving an indication that he is, so I would simply ask the Court for a few

- 1 minutes grace in order to have him here. I am told he is going
- 2 to be in the premises in two minutes and I would obviously want
- 3 to take instructions from him in order to address the Court
- 4 further.
- 09:36:09 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: Just to make sure, you know the attitude
 - 6 of this Trial Chamber is that the accused can be brought into
 - 7 court. We don't have to be absent when he is brought into court
 - 8 if for some reason beyond his control he is delayed. However,
 - 9 are you asking for a few minutes privately with him prior to the
- 09:36:30 10 Court resuming?
 - 11 MR MUNYARD: Madam President, that was what I was asking
 - 12 for because so far I received different reports from different
 - 13 people and, applying the best evidence rule to this situation, it
 - is obviously much more satisfactory for me to speak to him
- 09:36:42 15 directly so that I can address you directly.
 - 16 PRESIDING JUDGE: I will now seek a reply to the
 - 17 application for a short adjournment and I will hear what
 - 18 Mr Bangura has to say about Ms Alagendra.
 - 19 MR BANGURA: Thank you, your Honour.
- 09:36:58 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: Then we will -- Mr Bangura, there are two
 - 21 issues.
 - 22 MR BANGURA: Yes, your Honour, and I take firstly the
 - 23 application for I believe a short adjournment. I am not too sure
 - 24 whether it is an adjournment it is my learned friend is asking
- 09:37:19 **25 for?**
 - 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: I understand he needs to speak to the
 - 27 accused in private.
 - 28 MR BANGURA: The Prosecution does not object to that.
 - 29 Your Honours, in relation to the absence of Ms Alagendra,

2 back to Freetown this morning. Your Honour, Ms Alagendra 3 normally is based in Freetown and would come up to conduct 4 witnesses whom she works with in Freetown. It was - she had a schedule to return back to Freetown today after being here for 09:37:53 5 Up until last evening it was our anticipation about three weeks. 6 7 that this witness's testimony would have been concluded in fact 8 before last evening, but it became apparent that it was not and we were prepared, or she was prepared, to postpone her return up 09:38:19 10 until the close of the Court day yesterday, but after we closed it became clear that any postponement of her flight would require 11 12 her another two weeks for a reservation to go back to Freetown 13 and this was going to impact greatly on her planning for the next 14 session witnesses. 09:38:43 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: So, she is not here. She is not here, your Honour. 16 MR BANGURA: 17 PRESIDING JUDGE: And what are you seeking from the Court? MR BANGURA: Your Honours, first of all she has asked that 18 19 I tender her apologies to this Court for not having had the 09:38:57 20 opportunity to seek your leave to go away without completion of this witness's testimony and, if it pleases your Lordships, 21 22 I will step in her shoes for the Prosecution to complete the 23 testimony of this witness. PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Munyard, before I consult with my 24 colleagues on the two issues, have you anything to say about the 09:39:22 25 26 substitution of Ms Alagendra? 27 MR MUNYARD: Three things in the light of what Mr Bangura 28 has just told us. The first is this. Ms Alagendra told me on 29 Wednesday morning before we sat that she anticipated she would be

she had to travel, or she is as I speak on her way travelling,

2 correct, I got up to cross-examine him around half-past-three in the afternoon on Wednesday and so obviously her time estimate was 3 4 She also told me then - in fact she told me before that that she had a flight booked today to go back to Freetown, and 09:39:57 5 I anticipated that I may well finish the witness - on Wednesday, 6 7 when she told me that, I anticipated I may well finish the witness by mid-morning yesterday, so obviously her time estimates 8 and her planning have gone awry. I make no criticism of any 09:40:20 10 advocate for getting their time estimates wrong. We all do. It is very rare that we get them right. 11 12 It is a matter for the Prosecution and the Court how they 13 distribute their work between them. You will be aware that 14 hitherto we have been completely cooperative with all of the 09:40:40 15 requests of the Prosecution to move witnesses around, to reduce the 42 day rule, to have an expert witness interposed and so on 16 17 and so forth. We have cooperated at every single turn and we have no observations to make on whether or not it is appropriate 18 19 for them to have a substitute part way through a witness. 09:41:04 20 Can I also though just add this. I think we all are taken 21 by surprise by Mr Bangura's suggestion that, if you don't go this 22 morning to Freetown, you can't go for another two weeks. I know 23 from my own arrangements for going to Freetown that there are 24 various routes. You don't have to go directly there and, in 09:41:27 25 fact, you are lucky if you can go directly there. I am merely 26 expressing surprise at that suggestion, no more than that. 27 I don't think there is anything else I can say that is helpful. 28 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Munyard. My Learned 29 colleagues and I have consulted on the two issues. We will allow

another 15 minutes with her witness. In fact, if my memory is

	1	Mr Munyard some time to - a short adjournment to speak to his
	2	client and we will rise in due course.
	3	On the substitution of Mr Bangura, we would observe that
	4	professional courtesy should have determined that Ms Alagendra
09:43:12	5	informed us of this herself if she was aware of the possibility
	6	of this happening. If she was aware on Wednesday, according to
	7	Mr Munyard she was informed, we would have expected that
	8	professional courtesy directly to the Bench. However, with that
	9	caveat we have no objection to the substitution of Mr Bangura for
09:43:37	10	the continuation of the cross-examination and re-examination, if
	11	any should arise.
	12	We will now adjourn briefly and we will ask counsel to
	13	inform us when they are ready to proceed.
	14	MR MUNYARD: Thank you, Madam President.
09:43:54	15	[Break taken at 9.43 a.m.]
	16	[The accused present]
	17	[Upon resuming at 10.03 a.m.]
	18	MR MUNYARD: Madam President, we are very grateful to the
	19	Court for giving us that short opportunity to take instructions.
10:01:56	20	Before I deal with the situation of Mr Taylor's arrival at court
	21	this morning, can I just correct one thing. When I addressed you
	22	on the position of Ms Alagendra passing the baton as it were to
	23	Mr Bangura, I said to you that I spoke to her about her planned
	24	departure on Wednesday and possibly before and I think in your
10:02:23	25	ruling you indicated that I had found out only on Wednesday.
	26	That is not right. In fact I was also approached on either
	27	Tuesday or Wednesday by Ms Baly, who asked me, "Will Ms Alagendra
	28	make her flight on Friday morning?", so this is obviously
	29	something that was well in hand for at least a week. I just

28

29

2 President, that I found out for the first time on Wednesday. It 3 was earlier than that. Can i now move on. 4 PRESIDING JUDGE: For the purposes of record, I said that 10:02:57 5 you were aware on Wednesday. MR MUNYARD: Ah, I see. Thank you. 6 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: I didn't say when you learnt, because I don't know. 8 9 MR MUNYARD: Very well. Can I deal now with the situation relating to Mr Taylor. I don't want to take up very much time, 10:03:07 10 if I don't have to, on that subject. It is very much as I said 11 12 when I addressed you in his absence. I have the following 13 further information to add, in that when he was subjected to this 14 very intrusive intimate search --MS MUZIGO-MORRISON: Excuse me, your Honour. 10:03:30 15 [Mi crophone not activated] Excuse me, your Honour. Is it better that maybe 16 17 we address these issues in a private session? 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: There has been no application for a 19 private session and so this will proceed. MR MUNYARD: We are not making any such application and 10:03:48 20 21 I have taken instructions. I am grateful to Madam Court Officer. 22 I think we are adult enough to discuss these things in public. As far as he was concerned, he insisted on coming to court. 23 24 It was the security staff who said they would not take him to court unless he allowed them to persist in this not just intimate 10:04:09 25 26 but painful search, and he insisted on coming to court to the extent that he telephoned personally the Chief of Detention, Mr 27

wanted to correct that, because I didn't say to you, Madam

Anders Backman, and insisted to him that he be brought to court

but not subjected to this unique and offensive procedure. It was

29

2 necessary on this particular date for the first time to indulge 3 in that particular practice, so arrangements were then made for 4 him to get to court and as you know he arrived about seven minutes after the Court sat. 10:04:59 5 Can I add this. I simply want to remind all the parties 6 7 that Mr Taylor came to court last week at a time when it was 8 perfectly plain that he was not 100 per cent well. He pressed to 9 come to court and, even though we were advising him that he was 10:05:23 10 not - it was pretty obvious that he was not physically fit enough to continue, he insisted on continuing until such time as he 11 12 accepted that he really ought to go back to the prison and be 13 looked after there. 14 I have to make one correction. It was not Mr Taylor who 10:05:41 15 spoke to Mr Backman, he got a guard to do that, but it was at his insistence that Mr Backman was called. Unless, Madam President, 16 17 there are any other matters you wish me to explore further, those are my instructions on what happened this morning. 18 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Munyard, for that 10:06:06 20 information. There are no other questions arising from the Bench. We will now then proceed. I will remind the witness of 21 22 his oath. 23 WITNESS: TF1-337 [On former oath] 24 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Witness, I remind you as I have done on other mornings that you have taken the oath to tell the truth, 10:06:22 25 26 the oath is still binding upon you and you must answer questions 27 truthfully. Do you understand? 28 THE WITNESS: Yes.

eventually then decided, presumably on high, that it was not

PRESIDING JUDGE: Please proceed, Mr Munyard.

2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MUNYARD [Continued]: 3 Mr Mansaray, I am going to break off for a moment from 4 discussing the number of times that you were interviewed, the more than one dozen occasions on which you were interviewed by 10:07:03 5 the Prosecution, and I am going to ask you now please to look at 6 7 a map. 8 Madam President, I have got here sufficient copies for everybody, I believe. The one that I am going to ask the witness to look at is a colour copy, but I am afraid that everybody else 10:07:22 10 is going to be the poor relation and have a black and white copy. 11 12 Are there enough copies to go round? I think I have another, if 13 need be? 14 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Munyard, I think my learned colleagues 10:08:23 15 and I have copies. So, if counsel on the other side --MR BANGURA: I am sorry, I missed that, your Honours. I 16 17 was just conferring. PRESIDING JUDGE: I am just checking that you have a copy 18 19 of the document. I do, your Honour. 10:08:35 20 MR BANGURA: 21 Before it goes on the screen I am going to MR MUNYARD: 22 hand out another map, because despite our best endeavours no map published on the internet covers the two aspects I want to ask 23 24 the witness about and so I now have to distribute another black 10:09:02 25 and white map. I am going to give the witness one in A3 size and 26 everybody else one in A4 size. Thank you, Madam Court Officer: 27 Mr Mansaray, I am going to ask you first of all to look at 28 a map of Liberia that you have a coloured copy of. It is the 29 first one that I just distributed. (I am conscious, Madam

MR MUNYARD: Thank you, your Honour.

- 1 President, that I was asked a couple of times yesterday to speak
- 2 slowly, so I am going to try and deal with this albeit as quickly
- 3 as possible, I am going to try and be careful when I am
- 4 pronouncing names to pronounce them slowly enough so the
- 10:10:49 5 transcribers have chance to write them down.) Looking at the
 - 6 first map, Mr Mansaray, that is a map of Liberia, is it not?
 - 7 A. Well I am seeing some other countries on the map, on the
 - 8 si de.
 - 9 Q. Of course. It is principally a map of Liberia and you are
- 10:11:14 10 quite right that we can also see Sierra Leone, Guinea and Cote
 - 11 d'Ivoire, or parts of those countries on the map, but the map
 - 12 is you will see the caption in the box on the left-hand side on
 - 13 the bottom it says "Liberia". Do you see that?
 - 14 A. Yes, I have seen "Liberia".
- 10:11:42 15 Q. I don't want to do anything that is in any way unfair or
 - 16 embarrassing to you. Are you able to read sufficiently to be
 - 17 able to read the names of towns on this map, and when I say
 - 18 "names of towns" I mean all the names on this map?
 - 19 A. There are many names of towns on the map.
- 10:12:13 20 Q. All right. If you need any assistance in having them read
 - 21 out to you, do say so. Now, do you see where Liberia borders
 - 22 with Sierra Leone?
 - 23 A. Yes, I am seeing it on the map.
 - Q. And can you see the word "Mano", on your copy it will be in
- 10:12:40 25 blue writing, just above and to the right of the word "Sulima";
 - the town of Sulima in south east Sierra Leone? Can you see
 - 27 "Mano" on there?
 - 28 A. I am seeing "Sulima". I have not seen "Mano" clearly.
 - 29 I have seen Sulima.

- 1 Q. Well, you know that the Mano is the Mano River?
- 2 A. Okay, yes, I will agree with you. I have seen the line
- 3 indicating the boundary.
- 4 Q. Yes. Do you see the word "Mano" running along that line
- 10:13:28 5 just to the left of the town of Bendaja, or Ben-dyer [phon]?
 - 6 A. Yes.
 - 7 Q. So, you see now the Mano River?
 - 8 A. Yes.
 - 9 Q. And you can see if we go from the coast up the Mano
- 10:13:58 10 River, we can see the town of Bo. That is the town of Bo in
 - 11 Liberia, do you see that?
 - 12 A. Yes, yes.
 - 13 Q. Then the town of Bendaja, or Ben-dyer. I am told it is
 - 14 Bendaja. Do you see that?
- 10:14:29 15 A. Yes, I have seen the name "Bendaja".
 - 16 Q. And then above that is the town of Congo?
 - 17 A. Yes.
 - 18 Q. Then we don't if you follow the border along, if you keep
 - 19 going up along the border, we don't get to another town close to
- 10:14:49 20 the border until we get to Vahun almost at the top, do you see
 - 21 that, or fairly close to the top?
 - 22 A. Yes, I have seen the name of another town.
 - 23 Q. The town of Vahun?
 - 24 A. Yes.
- 10:15:16 25 Q. Now are you able to help us, by reference to this map, in
 - 26 telling us where it was you crossed over the border into Liberia
 - 27 when you were driven out by the Sierra Leone Army and/or ECOMOG
 - 28 forces from Sierra Leone into Liberia in 1991?
 - 29 A. Yes, I can answer the question.

- 1 Q. Please do. We thought you were about to.
- 2 A. Well, the very first time I went through from Sierra Leone
- 3 to Liberia it is along the Mano River. In Sierra Leone it is
- 4 called Mano Pende. When you cross over there, you come to York
- 10:16:23 5 Island that was in Liberia.
 - 6 Q. Can you show us on the map where that is, that is what I am
 - 7 asking you to do, if you are able to?
 - 8 A. Well, the way I am seeing the town, from Sulima you come to
 - 9 a town --
- 10:17:13 10 MR MUNYARD: I wonder if the witness could point on the
 - 11 map?
 - 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: If the witness could please move to the
 - map and use a pencil or pen to indicate.
 - 14 THE WITNESS: The side of Sierra Leone I am seeing "Mano",
- 10:18:03 15 but I think the town Mano and York Island will be between
 - 16 Bendaja, coming down to the Sulima area, because I am not seeing
 - 17 the town York Island in the Liberian on the Liberian side, but
 - 18 the town was Mano Pende where several of us RUF crossed into
 - 19 Li beri a.
- 10:18:39 **20** MR MUNYARD:
 - 21 Q. So just so I can be clear, are you saying that the town of
 - 22 York Island is somewhere near Bendaja?
 - 23 A. Well I couldn't say this to you, because this Bendaja is
 - 24 not very clear to me. The areas that I understood at the time
- 10:19:07 25 are the names I am calling. From Mano Pende I went to York
 - 26 Island, but Bendaja I don't know if it is a village or town.
 - 27 I did not go there, so I wouldn't be able to tell you the
 - 28 geographical area where Bendaja is located.
 - 29 Q. Did you go to any towns, apart from York Island, while you

- 1 were in Liberia? I am talking about 1991.
- 2 A. Well when I left York Island I went through a route, a
- 3 dusty route, that I used to go to Zimmi.
- 4 Q. You used to go to where, sorry?
- 10:19:59 5 A. I said I used a route, a dusty route, when I left York
 - 6 Island. That was the route used by RUF and AFL to go to Tiene.
 - 7 We went through several other towns.
 - 8 Q. Yes. Are you able to help us by looking at this map to
 - 9 show us where Tiene is?
- 10:20:38 10 A. Tiene is supposed to be in the Grand Cape Mount County, but
 - 11 the name "Tiene" I am not seeing it on the map.
 - 12 Q. No, but the map I am afraid is the map with the largest
 - 13 number of names on it that we have been able to find on the
 - 14 internet recently. So it is somewhere in Grand Cape Mount
- 10:21:06 15 County, Tiene, but are you able when looking at Grand Cape
 - 16 Mount County on the map there, are you able to give us any idea
 - 17 of where you think Tiene is?
 - 18 A. Well, I cannot say because I am not seeing "Tiene" on the
 - 19 map. I told you earlier that I am not very clear on the
- 10:21:31 20 geographical area of Liberia, but if it is on the map I will have
 - 21 pointed at it.
 - 22 Q. Right. And can you just confirm for us that the whole time
 - 23 you were in Liberia you didn't go to any towns other than York
 - 24 Island? Is that what you are saying in your evidence?
- 10:21:58 25 A. No, I told you that it was a day's visit. We went to Bomi
 - 26 Hills, then later I came back to Tiene and then used the highway
 - 27 to come to Sierra Leone. That was through Bo Waterside.
 - 28 I crossed through Gendema to enter Sierra Leone.
 - 29 Q. So, you used the highway to go back into Sierra Leone?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Now looking at the map that you have in front of you, you
- 3 say you crossed back into Sierra Leone at Bo Waterside. We are
- 4 talking about Bo in Liberia, aren't we?
- 10:22:42 5 A. Yes.
 - 6 Q. And do you see there is a pink line on your coloured
 - 7 version of the map going from Bo, or Bo Waterside, across Grand
 - 8 Cape Mount County to a town called Klay. Do you see that pink
 - 9 line?
- 10:23:05 10 A. Yes, I have seen "Klay".
 - 11 Q. Now I think you and I are the only people with coloured
 - 12 maps, so in order to help the Court can you also look at the box
 - 13 at the bottom left-hand corner where it has the title "Liberia"
 - 14 and it gives an indication what these various lines are. If you
- 10:23:27 15 look down six symbols, do we get a pink line there that is
 - 16 described as being a road?
 - 17 A. Well this I am seeing it, but I cannot tell you much about
 - 18 this map. I told you that before.
 - 19 Q. No, Mr Mansaray, I am just putting on record through you
- 10:24:01 20 that the line that you have been looking at that goes from Bo
 - 21 across Grand Cape Mount County is a road on this particular map.
 - 22 Everybody else has a black and white copy of it and I just want
 - 23 to establish that the thin line that goes from Bo to Klay on this
 - 24 map is meant to be a road, do you see?
- 10:24:29 25 A. I have seen a small line. You mean the one from the town
 - 26 Robertsport? Is that what you meant?
 - 27 Q. No, Robertsport doesn't have a pink line.
 - 28 Madam President, can I get round this by making clear to
 - 29 the Court that I will ensure that you and my learned friends

- 1 opposite have a colour copy so that you can see that the line we
- 2 are talking about is a road. That was all I was trying to do.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE: It is very faintly on the black and
- 4 white. Very faintly.
- 10:25:07 5 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: We do have the coloured copy on our
 - 6 screens. We are following perfectly.
 - 7 MR MUNYARD: Ah, of course. Yes, I am so sorry. I am
 - 8 trying to keep up with LiveNote on my screen. Right, all right.
 - 9 I can move on from that then.
- 10:25:26 10 MR BANGURA: Your Honours, there was a point about the
 - 11 route that the witness said they used, or used coming back into
 - 12 Sierra Leone. I see it come up that he talked about Bo Waterside
 - 13 and another name. It is just the spelling of that name.
 - 14 I believe I heard Gendema, but it comes up as something else,
- 10:25:52 15 "democracy" or something. I will be grateful if my learned
 - 16 friend helps the Court with the spelling, perhaps with the
 - wi tness.
 - 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Munyard, you heard that request for
 - 19 assi stance.
- 10:26:08 20 MR MUNYARD: I can't help with spelling, but the witness
 - 21 can.
 - PRESIDING JUDGE: No, but the witness, yes.
 - 23 MR MUNYARD: Yes, certainly:
 - 24 Q. Yes, Mr Witness Mr Mansaray, I am sorry. You do have a
- 10:26:20 25 real name. Mr Mansaray, can you help us with the spelling of the
 - 26 place that you mentioned that my learned friend opposite has just
 - 27 referred to?
 - 28 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: It was Bo [sic] Gbendeh first Mano
 - 29 Gbendeh. He didn't spell that.

- 1 MR BANGURA: It is Bo Waterside and then no, he didn't
- 2 spell that, your Honour.
- 3 MR MUNYARD: You are quite right, he didn't.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Mano Gbendeh.
- 10:26:49 5 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: Can you spell Gbendeh for us, please?
 - 6 THE WITNESS: G-B-E-N-D-E-H, Gbendeh. M-A-N-O, Mano
 - 7 Gbendeh.
 - 8 MR BANGURA: And then the other word the other name that
 - 9 came after Bo Waterside where he said they crossed into Sierra
- 10:27:12 10 Leone?
 - 11 THE WITNESS: Gendema.
 - MR MUNYARD:
 - 13 Q. Could you spell that for us, please, Mr Mansaray?
 - 14 A. G-E-N-D-E-M-A, Gendema.
- 10:27:39 15 Q. And are you able to give us any idea by looking at this map
 - 16 where those two places are?
 - 17 A. Mano Gbendeh is opposite York Island. When you are in
 - 18 Sierra Leone, that is Mano Gbendeh. You will stay there and see
 - 19 York Island in Liberia. Then Gendema is on the border at the
- 10:28:09 20 Mano River Bridge. When you are there and cross over to Liberia,
 - you go to Bo Waterside. On our own side they call there Gendema.
 - 22 Q. So, Mano Gbendeh is opposite Bo as we see it on this map?
 - 23 Bo Waterside?
 - 24 A. No, Mano Gbendeh is not opposite Bo Waterside.
- 10:28:44 25 Q. But I thought that is what you were saying, "On our side it
 - is Mano Gbendeh and you cross over the bridge"?
 - 27 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: I think he said it is opposite York
 - 28 Island. That is what the record shows. Opposite York Island.
 - 29 MR MUNYARD: Your Honour is quite right.

- 1 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: What would help is if the witness knows
- 2 and would mark by a point, maybe by a letter or a circle, these
- 3 places.
- 4 MR MUNYARD: Yes. I was asking him if he knew where York
- 10:29:12 5 Island was earlier and he said he didn't know looking on this
 - 6 map, but now that he has identified the Sierra Leone town on the
 - 7 other side of the river that might help:
 - 8 Q. Are you able to indicate on this map where Mano Gbendeh and
 - 9 York Island are?
- 10:29:44 10 A. If it was a larger map where I could see all the names of
 - 11 the towns I would have been able to do that, but for this
 - 12 I cannot.
 - 13 Q. All right. Just help us with this. Is Mano Gbendeh and
 - 14 York Island south of the town of Congo that we can see there
- 10:30:05 15 above Bendaja? By south I mean nearer to the coast, nearer to
 - 16 the ocean.
 - 17 A. Yes. Mano Gbendeh, yes, is very close to the sea.
 - 18 Q. Then you went to Tiene, and you are unable I think to tell
 - 19 us where Tiene is by looking at this map, and how far is Tiene
- 10:30:38 20 from Bomi Hills where you say you went on one occasion?
 - 21 A. Well like I said yesterday I cannot give the exact mileage,
 - 22 because it was my very first trip and I didn't undertake that
 - 23 trip with a peace of mind. I was in the mood of fear. In fact,
 - the vehicle that we used we had fighters around us who took us
- 10:31:23 25 along. So, I wouldn't want to lie to you.
 - 26 Q. Did you ever go on that trip again, or was that the only
 - time you went to Bomi Hills?
 - 28 A. That was the only time I went and stopped there and then
 - 29 returned the same day, until I came back to Sierra Leone.

- 1 Q. And did you go on any of the roads the proper roads -
- 2 when you went from Tiene to Bomi Hills on that one occasion?
- 3 A. I want you to repeat this question. I did not get it
- 4 clearly.
- 10:32:10 5 Q. You can see on the map that there are roads marked. The
 - 6 pink lines are main roads. Do you see those, Mr Mansaray?
 - 7 A. Yes, I am seeing pink lines on this map.
 - 8 Q. When you went from Tiene to Bomi Hills, did you go on any
 - 9 of the main roads?
- 10:32:39 10 A. A vehicle took us along. I had thought we used the main
 - 11 route. We didn't use a bush path.
 - 12 Q. Right, thank you. Right. Now, do you see the town of
 - 13 "Klay" marked on that map? If you travel from Bo Waterside along
 - 14 the road on the map that is going across Grand Cape Mount County,
- 10:33:16 15 do you see the town of "Klay"?
 - 16 A. Yes, I can see a town that has been spelt K-L-A-Y. "Klay".
 - 17 Q. Right. I think it is sometimes spelt differently, K-L-E,
 - 18 but it is known as Klay and that is in the county of Bomi. Can
 - 19 you remember going through, or near to, the town of Klay on your
- 10:33:47 20 way to Bomi Hills?
 - 21 A. Well, I cannot say about these various locations. I had
 - 22 told you before I cannot say. What I understood when I was in
 - 23 that vehicle, when we left Tiene they took us to Bomi Hills and
 - then later we came back. To say when we were going I was
- 10:34:20 25 observing, no, I didn't do that.
 - 26 Q. All right.
 - 27 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Munyard, sorry to interrupt. I am
 - 28 having trouble finding Bo Waterside and I thought I was good at
 - 29 map reading.

- 1 MR MUNYARD: It is just called "Bo", your Honour. It is on
- the left-hand side.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE: Bo and Bo Waterside are the same place,
- 4 is that it?
- 10:34:36 5 MR MUNYARD: That is what I understand it to be, because it
 - 6 is on the side of the water by the look of the map. I will be
 - 7 corrected if I am wrong.
 - 8 PRESIDING JUDGE: Ah, now I am clear. I was interpreting
 - 9 them as two different places.
- 10:34:48 10 MR MUNYARD: Ah, well let us ask the witness first of all:
 - 11 Q. Can you help us? If you go back to Bo, Liberian Bo, there
 - on the border of the Mano River, when you talk about Bo Waterside
 - is that what you are talking about, that town of Bo, or is Bo
 - 14 Waterside somewhere different from the town of Bo?
- 10:35:11 15 A. No, it is the same Bo that the Liberians call Bo Waterside.
 - 16 That is where when you cross the bridge from Sierra Leone that is
 - 17 the first town you come to.
 - 18 Q. Is that where the Mano River Bridge is that you have been
 - 19 telling us about?
- 10:35:29 20 A. Yes.
 - 21 O. Thank you. Right, back to Bomi County now. If you follow
 - 22 the road, we have got to the town of Klay, and then the road goes
 - 23 down to Monrovia. Do you see that?
 - 24 A. Yes, I can see the road.
- 10:35:50 25 Q. And I am sorry, I am speeding up again. Or it goes up
 - through Tubmanburg, do you see that?
 - 27 A. Okay, I have seen the name you have just called.
 - 28 Q. Thank you. Now would you have a look at the other map,
 - 29 please. (Madam Court Officer, I think we will need you.) There

- is another map of Liberia and again do you see "Monrovia"?
- 2 A. Yes, I can see the spelling for Monrovia down the map.
- 3 Q. If you put your finger or the pen on "Monrovia" and then
- 4 move it directly up, do you see the town of "Klay", this time
- 10:37:36 5 spel t K-L-E?
 - 6 A. Yes, I have seen K-L-E.
 - 7 Q. And then again if you carry on up the map do you see
 - 8 "Tubmanburg"?
 - 9 A. Yes, I have seen it.
- 10:37:52 10 Q. And then to the right and up a little do you see "Bomi
 - 11 Hills" marked on that map?
 - 12 A. Yes.
 - 13 Q. So, that tells us where Bomi Hills is in relation to the
 - 14 first map that we were looking at that doesn't have the name of
- 10:38:19 15 Bomi Hills on it.
 - 16 A. I didn't understand your question.
 - 17 Q. Mr Mansaray, I am just clarifying. We now know if we
 - 18 went back to the first map we now have an idea of where Bomi
 - 19 Hills is on the first map. Unfortunately, there doesn't seem to
- 10:38:40 20 be a map on the internet that covers both the towns and Bomi
 - 21 Hills. Now, you have just told us in answer to a question of
 - 22 mine that you were not observing where you were going when you
 - 23 were in the vehicle taking you from Tiene to Bomi Hills. Was it
 - 24 a closed kind of vehicle, was it a military vehicle that you were
- 10:39:03 25 not able to see out of, or were you not observing simply because
 - you were not looking where the vehicle was going?
 - 27 A. It was a big vehicle. It had weapons in it. There were
 - 28 senior officers in. We were lying on each other for us to
 - 29 survive. I was unable to raise my head up to peep outside.

- 1 Q. Right. So the picture that you have now painted, if I can
- 2 try and summarise it, is that you travelled on that occasion in
- 3 considerable discomfort in that vehicle. Is that right?
- 4 A. Yes, that was what happened.
- 10:39:53 5 Q. You travelled on proper roads, that is what you told us?
 - 6 I am just trying to summarise your evidence, Mr Mansaray, and
 - 7 correct me if I am putting it wrongly. You travelled on proper
 - 8 roads. That is right, isn't it?
 - 9 A. Yes, the road when I was in the vehicle was good, but
- 10:40:23 10 because we were not using the bush path it was a vehicular road.
 - 11 Q. And you have told us that you would not have known whether
 - or not you were going through, or past, towns or villages because
 - 13 of your inability to look out of the vehicle. That is correct,
 - 14 isn't it?
- 10:40:59 15 A. Yes.
 - 16 Q. Right. Go back, if you would, finally to the first map.
 - 17 I am going to ask you to look at another place in Liberia, but
 - 18 before I do can we just establish this from you. How long were
 - 19 you in Tiene? How many weeks or months were you in Tiene?
- 10:41:47 20 A. Well, in Tiene I spent up to three months there.
 - 21 Q. Right. Apart from this one day trip to Bomi Hills, did you
 - 22 go anywhere else in Liberia at all in 1991?
 - 23 A. Well, it was in Tiene we were at the time. There I was.
 - 24 The other town we used to go for patrol, there was another big
- 10:42:27 25 town there called Gbessay. I used to go there. Then we usually
 - 26 use the route going to Tiene to come to Bo Waterside and then go
 - 27 back to Tilene town. The other town where we were, there was an
 - ammunition dump. We usually used that route to go to that town
 - 29 and then come back.

- 1 Q. And what town was that?
- 2 A. That was in 1992. That was from November 1991 we fought
- 3 and then crossed over, then from 1992, January --
- 4 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honours, can the witness be made to
- 10:43:27 5 repeat his answer?
 - 6 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Witness, the interpreter needs you to
 - 7 repeat your answer. Could you please pick up from the point
 - 8 where you said, "... then [in] 1992, January", and continue from
 - 9 that point.
- 10:43:43 10 THE WITNESS: From January 1992 to February 1992, I left
 - 11 Ti ene and came back to Si erra Leone.
 - 12 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: Mr Witness, the question that the lawyer
 - 13 asked you was what was the name of the town. He didn't ask about
 - 14 the time. He asked about the town. There is a town you
- 10:44:04 15 mentioned where you would go where the ammunition dump was
 - 16 Located.
 - 17 THE WITNESS: It was Tiene. Tiene.
 - 18 MR MUNYARD:
 - 19 Q. I was also asking you about 1991. Now, you have said that
- 10:44:25 20 you were in Liberia in 1991 for about three months. Is that
 - 21 right?
 - 22 A. No, that was 1991 to 1992. That was the end of the three
 - 23 months.
 - 24 Q. Well, Mr Mansaray, do you remember being interviewed by the
- 10:44:52 25 Office of the Prosecutor about your time in Liberia? You
 - 26 remember being interviewed by them? If you will bear with me for
 - just a moment, I don't want to put anything inaccurately of
 - 28 course. Yes, I don't in fact think you gave them a date, I will
 - 29 be corrected if I am wrong, a date when you first went into

- 1 Liberia. Can you help us with the date when you first go into
- 2 Liberia in 1991?
- 3 A. It was in November 1991.
- 4 Q. And so you stayed there for three months, emerging back
- 10:46:42 5 into Sierra Leone in 1992, yes?
 - 6 A. Please repeat the question.
 - 7 Q. I am just summarising what you have told us already. You
 - 8 enter Liberia in November 1991 and you come back into Sierra
 - 9 Leone three months later in about February of 1992, correct?
- 10:47:04 10 A. Yes.
 - 11 Q. And you don't go back into Liberia again except, if I have
 - 12 understood you correctly except to go to Tiene to the
 - 13 ammunition dump. Is that what you were saying?
 - 14 A. Yes, I didn't go there and stay again in Liberia, except
- 10:47:33 15 when I used to go upon instruction to collect ammunition and
 - 16 I will return on the same day. That is what I mean.
 - 17 Q. Yes, and on those instructions you went to Tiene is what
 - 18 you were telling us a minute ago. Is that correct?
 - 19 A. Yes.
- 10:47:51 20 Q. All right. You never went into Liberia anywhere else other
 - 21 than the places you have now told us about. Is that right?
 - 22 A. But those places are in Liberia.
 - 23 Q. Yes, we understand that. Now I would like you to look at
 - that map in front of you, please. Do you see in the middle of
- 10:48:14 25 the map of Liberia in red writing the county of "Bong"? The name
 - 26 "Bong"?
 - 27 A. B-O-N-G?
 - 28 Q. Yes.
 - 29 A. Yes, I have seen it.

- 1 Q. And do you see the town of "Gbarnga" immediately above the
- 2 letter "n" of "Bong"?
- 3 A. I have seen "Gbarnga".
- 4 Q. And the road from Gbarnga, if you wanted to get to
- 10:48:46 5 Tubmanburg and the Bomi Hills the road from Gbarnga goes down to
 - 6 Monrovia, doesn't it?
 - 7 A. Yes.
 - 8 Q. So to get from Gbarnga by road to the Bomi Hills, you would
 - 9 have to go down into Monrovia and out the other side up to Klay
- 10:49:13 10 and Tubmanburg, wouldn't you?
 - 11 A. I told you I cannot tell you that that is what it is. I am
 - 12 just seeing it on the map, but I did not use it to get to
 - 13 Monrovia, or go to Gbarnga.
 - 14 Q. Right, thank you. I am going to move on to something else
- 10:49:34 15 now. I don't know if the witness needs to move back to his other
 - 16 location. We were looking yesterday at the number of occasions
 - 17 when you were interviewed by prosecutors from the Special Court,
 - 18 and when I say "by prosecutors" I mean people working for the
 - 19 Office of the Prosecution. We know that you were interviewed in
- 10:50:30 20 November of 2003, March of 2004, October of 2006 sorry, January
 - of 2006 and October of 2006, and we were just looking when we
 - 22 finished yesterday at, I think, the time you went for interview
 - in May of 2006. I don't know if I just said "October" when
 - 24 I should have said "May"? Yes, let me make it clear. It is
- 10:51:16 25 January and May of 2006 that you were interviewed. Now, in
 - 26 January 2006 you told us at that time you were now working for a
 - 27 project run by the United Nations Development Programme. That is
 - 28 right, isn't it?
 - 29 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And you told us yesterday that although you had to go off
- 2 to Monrovia to see Special Court investigators sorry, I am
- 3 being corrected. You told us although you had to see Special
- 4 Court investigators Madam President, I appear from reactions
- 10:52:27 5 all around the Court to be putting something inaccurately.
 - 6 PRESIDING JUDGE: I need to check the record, but
 - 7 I understood he went to Freetown, not Monrovia.
 - 8 MR MUNYARD: I am sorry, I am entirely wrong. I am still
 - 9 stuck on the map of Liberia. It seems to have imprinted itself
- 10:52:44 10 on my brain this morning. You are absolutely right, Freetown:
 - 11 Q. And that job with the United because it was a job with
 - 12 the United Nations organisation, going off to be interviewed by
 - 13 investigators to the Special Court meant that you didn't lose any
 - 14 wages from your employment with the United Nations organisation
- 10:53:13 15 you told us yesterday. Can you just help us with this,
 - 16 Mr Mansaray. Did you get the job with the United Nations
 - 17 Development Project through the assistance of investigators from
 - 18 the Special Court for Sierra Leone?
 - 19 A. No, no
- 10:53:37 20 Q. You got that entirely independently?
 - 21 A. No, it was not the Special Court. Yes.
 - 22 Q. In any event, you didn't lose any wages as a result of
 - 23 going for that particular session of interviews?
 - 24 A. I did not lose my salary. I got my salary.
- 10:54:04 25 Q. Yes. And is that the time that you spent a whole week in
 - 26 Freetown?
 - 27 A. Yes, I --
 - 28 Q. We know that I am sorry, I interrupted you. Carry on.
 - 29 A. Well, it could be between five days to one week when they

- 1 needed me and took me to Freetown and back two times, because
- 2 I cannot recall the exact time that I spent with them because
- 3 always they met me at the field where I was working and so when
- 4 they came I didn't stay long with them. Then I would return.
- 10:55:01 5 Q. And you told us yesterday that you went by yourself, you
 - 6 didn't go with your family or any members of your family, in
 - 7 January of 2006?
 - 8 A. Yes, in Freetown I went with them alone. Because they were
 - 9 going to get the statement from me, I went with them alone for
- 10:55:34 10 the interview.
 - 11 Q. We know from the documentation that we have been supplied
 - 12 with that you were interviewed on 14 January 2006, but on the
 - 13 face of it on that day alone. Does that accord with your memory,
 - 14 that you were only interviewed on one day despite being in
- 10:55:59 15 Freetown for the better part of a week?
 - 16 A. I really did not get that question clearly.
 - 17 Q. Is it right that in January of 2006, although you were kept
 - in Freetown for five days to a week, they only actually
 - 19 interviewed you on one day?
- 10:56:38 20 A. I was not just interviewed on a day. It was more than
 - 21 that.
 - 22 Q. It was more than that?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. Well, we have been supplied with a document that is
- 10:56:59 25 entitled "Proofing notes of 14 January 2006" that does not give
 - 26 any indication that you were interviewed on any day in January of
 - 27 2006, apart from that one day. Can I ask you again. Were you
 - interviewed on one day, or more than one day, in January of 2006?
 - 29 A. Well I cannot recall that now if it was one day, or if it

- 1 was more than that. I cannot recall that.
- 2 Q. Do you recall if you were interviewed on the first day that
- 3 you got to Freetown?
- 4 A. I believe so, yes.
- 10:58:18 5 Q. You might have got there the night before and been
 - 6 interviewed the next morning. The 14 January may be the second
 - 7 morning. I don't imagine do you have any particular memory of
 - 8 it now?
 - 9 A. Well, what I know when they brought me I was interviewed.
- 10:58:51 10 They interviewed me.
 - 11 Q. Yes, and you say that they interviewed you on more than one
 - 12 day during that week?
 - 13 A. I believe so.
 - 14 Q. Were you still in Freetown being seen by Special Court
- 10:59:13 15 Prosecution staff by 30 January 2006, a little more than two
 - weeks after 14 January 2006 for which we have proofing notes?
 - 17 A. I don't think if I spent up to two weeks with them.
 - 18 I don't think so.
 - 19 Q. During that period in January 2006 were you given any
- 10:59:55 20 money, or were all your transport, hotel and food arrangements
 - 21 met by the Prosecution?
 - 22 A. At that time I was not lodged at a hotel. It was a private
 - 23 house which they rented. That was where I lodged. When I was
 - 24 returning they gave me back my transportation fare, and the work
- 11:00:25 25 that I was doing they gave me the payment.
 - 26 Q. I am sorry, the work you were doing "... they gave me the
 - 27 payment". What is the payment for?
 - 28 A. Well, I told them how much UNDP was paying me when I was
 - 29 working with them and it was the same money they gave to me for

- 1 the time that I was with them.
- 2 Q. Mr Mansaray, you told us yesterday and again today that you
- 3 did not lose any of your wages from the UNDP project on the
- 4 occasion that you were taken to Freetown to be interviewed by the
- 11:01:17 5 Prosecution of another United Nations organisation, or body. Do
 - 6 you remember telling us yesterday that you did not lose wages
 - 7 from your UN job when you came to see prosecutors from the
 - 8 Special Court in January of 2006?
 - 9 A. Yes.
- 11:01:37 10 Q. So, are you saying that you were paid twice in January
 - 11 2006: once by the UNDP and once by the Special Court
 - 12 prosecutors?
 - 13 A. Yes, because I had to secure the job that I was doing.
 - 14 Special Court was not paying me on a month basis.
- 11:02:08 15 THE INTERPRETER: Your, Honours, can the witness repeat?
 - PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Witness, the interpreter requires you
 - 17 to repeat your answer. Please pick up after you said "Special
 - 18 Court was not paying me on a month basis".
 - 19 THE WITNESS: When they would meet me at my work place,
- 11:02:37 20 I would make sure that I had secured my job for which I was paid
 - 21 and there were rules. If the coordinator did not meet you there
 - 22 you will be terminated, so my colleague with whom I was working
 - 23 whenever I will be going I will leave him at my place so he will
 - 24 give an excuse on my behalf because I did not disclose my
- 11:03:05 25 identity to anybody that I was working with Special Court. The
 - 26 money that UNDP was paying to me I will take a share of it and
 - 27 give it to my colleague, so when Special Court would meet me at
 - 28 my field I told them that I was working and so they asked me what
 - 29 was the daily wage that UNDP paid me and I told them. So, they

- 1 will pay that first before I will move with them to come to
- 2 Freetown. That is what I am telling you exactly.
- 3 Q. Let me see if I understand that. You had to leave your
- 4 field to go to Freetown, but you didn't want your colleagues
- 11:04:00 5 working in the field with you to know what you were doing and so
 - 6 you gave your UNDP wages to them and just said, "I am going on
 - 7 leave". Is that right?
 - 8 A. Well, I told him that I was coming for a workshop relating
 - 9 to human rights. I did not say it was on leave. I told him that
- 11:04:26 10 it was a workshop. I did not disclose to them that it was
 - 11 Special Court.
 - 12 Q. And is this right, that because they then had to cover your
 - 13 work you gave them your UNDP wages?
 - 14 A. Yes.
- 11:04:42 15 Q. Did you keep any part of your UNDP wages though?
 - 16 A. Well, yes, sometimes I had some reserve.
 - 17 Q. Yes. So when the Special Court when the prosecutors of
 - 18 the Special Court paid you for lost wages, you were making a
 - 19 small profit, were you?
- 11:05:18 20 A. Well, I have not referred to it as a profit. It is not a
 - 21 profit to me. It was just a sacrifice that I made. But it was
 - 22 not a profit to me because they would just pay me my wage and my
 - 23 transportation fare, so I don't think that it was any profit.
 - 24 Q. Well, you have just told us that you kept a bit of your
- 11:05:49 25 UNDP wages and then you got reimbursed. Did you get reimbursed
 - 26 for the full amount of the UNDP wages by the prosecutors of the
 - 27 Special Court?
 - 28 A. Well if I were to go for two or three days I will tell them
 - 29 and they will give me the money, so the remaining days UNDP would

- 1 pay me for that.
- 2 Q. All right. But can you think of any reason why you were
- 3 being paid transport and meal costs on 26 January 2006 and on 30
- 4 January 2006 "Meals whilst coming to Freetown"?
- 11:07:05 5 A. Well for that, even if it happened, if you lodge somebody
 - 6 you would have to feed that person because they needed me. If
 - 7 they brought me to Freetown, it was their duty to feed me.
 - 8 Q. Mr Mansaray, I am not talking to you about the need to feed
 - 9 you. I am talking to you about the dates on which this money
- 11:07:26 10 appears to have been paid. We have a record of the payments made
 - 11 either to you or on your behalf by the Prosecution. And I am
 - 12 looking at the records and the only records let me make it
 - 13 clear to you. The only records that have been disclosed to us
 - 14 for January 2006 are as follows. On 26 January 2006, 30,000 -
- 11:07:58 15 well, it says "Local Currency" and I am therefore going to call
 - 16 it leones. 30,000 leones for "Transport/meals" and on the same
 - 17 date another round 30,000 leones for transport and meals. Then
 - 18 on 28 January 2006 60,000 Leones "Lost wages whilst attending the
 - 19 court for prepping", and on 30 January 2006 under the category
- 11:08:34 20 "Family meals whilst coming to Freetown" another round sum of
 - 21 10,000 Leones. So on the documents we have been provided with it
 - 22 would appear that you were being paid for meals on 26 January and
 - 23 on 30 January and, if you were interviewed on 14 January as we
 - 24 have been told, then why were you still being paid, or were you
- 11:09:15 25 personally being paid for your meals and lost wages on 28 and 30
 - 26 January?
 - 27 A. Well, that was what they told me at the beginning. They
 - said they were not going to pay me on a monthly because of the
 - 29 statement that was given to me. They only would give me money

- 1 because they met me working and so they will give me the money
- 2 I would be losing. That was what I was telling you yesterday.
- 3 2003, 2004, 2005, I had no talk with them. I was working, so my
- 4 problem was not with them. They needed me later on and so it was
- 11:10:24 5 their place. What they thought fit or necessary for me as a
 - 6 human being it was their place to do it, and when they would meet
 - 7 me I would explain my problems to them and so they assisted me.
 - 8 It was not that we made an agreement to pay me, but I explained
 - 9 my problems to me and they reasoned with me to assist me.
- 11:10:55 10 Q. What do you mean by "assist me"? What did they actually do
 - 11 to assist you?
 - 12 A. Like apart from the amounts that you have mentioned,
 - 13 sometimes in 2006 my family members could get sick and even my
 - 14 wife at a point underwent an operation. I had a cellular phone,
- 11:11:32 15 I called them, told them my problems, and at that time I was
 - 16 unemployed, I hadn't any money and so they assisted me to pay the
 - 17 medical bill.
 - 18 Q. Now just before the transcript disappears up the top of the
 - 19 page you said in your previous answer to me, "They told me they
- 11:11:50 20 were not going to pay me on a monthly basis because of the
 - 21 statement that was given to me". Do you mean that you asked for
 - 22 monthly payments, if you were cooperating with the Office of the
 - 23 Prosecution, and they said "No"?
 - 24 A. No, they told me clearly just so that I could understand
- 11:12:17 25 from 2003 that I gave the statement, but before I gave the
 - 26 statement they told me that the Special Court the Special Court
 - 27 did not or does not pay people who they have taken statements
 - 28 from.
 - 29 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honours, can the witness repeat?

2 Which part, Mr Interpreter? hasn't caught up with you. 3 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honours, I would appreciate if he begins the answer. 4 PRESIDING JUDGE: Most of it is down. Mr Witness, please 11:12:50 5 continue from where you said, "The Special Court did not pay 6 7 people who they have taken statements from", they told you. THE WITNESS: Yes, they did not make a promise to me that 8 9 after the statement they will pay me any money, or they will pay me on a monthly basis, because I had given them a statement. 11:13:11 10 They only told me that the Special Court needed me to come and be 11 12 interviewed by them. If they did not go to pick me up using 13 their vehicle, if I used public transportation, they would return 14 the fare to me. Then if I got sick, or my family members got 11:13:47 15 sick and I reported to them and asked for assistance, if they found out that it was correct they would assist me. 16 17 what they told me. They said if I had any job that I was doing and I was paid for that job, if they needed me and go to my place 18 19 and take me from my place of work, brought me for an interview, 11:14:29 20 they would be responsible for my lost wages. They will give me 21 the exact lost wages. 22 MR MUNYARD: 23 Sorry, the Special Court prosecutors did pay you for giving 24 statements to them, didn't they, in the sense that they paid 11:14:49 25 medical bills for you, they paid school fees or uniform costs for 26 your children and they paid round sums of money every single time 27 that you had expenses involved in going to see them? I will 28 explain "round sums of money" in a moment if you wish me to. 29 were given financial assistance because you helped the Special

PRESIDING JUDGE: Pause, Mr Witness. The interpreter

- 1 Court prosecutors by giving them statements. That is right,
- 2 isn't it?
- 3 A. It is not correct. It was not like that.
- 4 Q. Well, in that case we will have to look at it in a little
- 11:15:44 5 more detail. I want to go back, please, to November 2003 when
 - 6 you gave your first statement to the Special Court. You told us
 - 7 how that happened. You were not working at the time, you were
 - 8 taken by a police officer in his vehicle and you were
 - 9 accommodated overnight and given meals and so on, yes?
- 11:16:12 10 A. Yes, that first night they took prepared meal to me and
 - 11 I only slept there for a night and the following day I returned.
 - 12 Q. But you spent none of your own money on that occasion that
 - 13 you had to be reimbursed for. That is right, isn't it?
 - 14 A. Well I did not spend any money to come and meet them, but
- 11:16:50 15 what I meant by job, it was not a monthly thing. I used to find
 - 16 my living, it was a daily thing, so when I was returning they
 - 17 gave me the money that I had lost.
 - 18 Q. I asked you yesterday were you in employment, or were you
 - 19 earning any money any income and you said "No". Do you
- 11:17:27 20 remember saying "No", that you were not in employment, or earning
 - 21 any money, when I asked you, or words to that effect, yesterday?
 - 22 A. Well if you say I did not get any money I thought you meant
 - 23 from the Special Court, but throughout my life I have been living
 - on money every day with my family.
- 11:17:56 25 Q. I am not suggesting that you haven't, but yesterday I asked
 - you were you in employment in November 2003 and you said "No".
 - 27 I also asked you if you were making any money, or words to that
 - 28 effect, yesterday and you said "No". Now, we know that on 20
 - 29 November 2003 you were paid two separate sums for which two

- 1 separate receipts were issued both under the category of "Lost
- 2 wages". The first the detail is "Funds required to facilitate
- 3 the attendance of witness at the Special Court for interview
- 4 process", the second one is exactly the same category and reason
- 11:18:47 5 and the sums you were paid were lost wages of 20,000 leones and
 - 6 | lost wages of 10,000 leones. Now, did you lose earnings of a
 - 7 nice neat round sum of 30,000 leones as a result of being taken
 - 8 off by a policeman to the Special Court in Freetown in November
 - 9 2003?
- 11:19:18 10 A. What I meant yesterday exactly, I was not working on a
 - 11 salary. It was a day to day way of having my living. We would
 - 12 go and we would, for example, work for construction companies
 - 13 to make blocks and they will give me some money. I was a
 - 14 labourer, menial jobs I will do those, so they will give me and
- 11:19:50 15 I will feed my family with that.
 - 16 Q. I am going to interrupt you for a moment just to say that
 - 17 accepting that maybe you didn't understand me fully yesterday, or
 - 18 maybe I didn't make myself sufficiently clear yesterday, even if
 - 19 you were earning money, as no doubt you were trying to do to
- 11:20:08 20 support yourself and your family, did you lose a nice, neat,
 - 21 round sum of 60,000 leones as a result of going to the Special
 - 22 Court in a police vehicle in November 2003? Did you lose 60,000
 - 23 Leones sorry, 30,000 Leones on that occasion?
 - 24 A. This question, I don't understand it.
- 11:20:45 25 Q. I am asking you about being paid to give evidence. Can
 - 26 I be plainer than that?
 - 27 A. They did not pay me any money to go and give statements.
 - 28 They did not pay me any money for that.
 - 29 Q. That is completely untrue, isn't it? You were paid 30,000

- 1 I eones.
- 2 A. Yes, the money that they gave to me when I explained myself
- 3 to them and they reason with me that I was a man who had a
- 4 family, my wife and my children, so when they will take me for
- 11:21:29 5 two days, for example, I explained myself to them. So they
 - 6 assisted me in return, but they did not give me money that this
 - 7 one is a payment for the statement that I had given to them, no.
 - 8 Q. Can you help us with this. Does the expression "paid" mean
 - 9 something different from the expression "assisted", as far as you
- 11:22:01 10 are concerned?
 - 11 A. Yes.
 - 12 Q. What is the difference between those two words, as far as
 - 13 you are concerned?
 - 14 A. Because if it were payment I would have I would show the
- 11:22:20 15 amount that I was to be paid, but I did not ask them for the
 - 16 amount. I did not state any amount. They asked me that, "For
 - 17 the two days that you had left your family, what do you think you
 - 18 were using, spending on a daily basis?", and I told them that
 - 19 that was the amount I was using on a daily basis, but if it was
- 11:22:44 20 payment I would have shunned the money more than that.
 - 21 Q. Were you earning well, let us just see the number of days
 - 22 you were away. You are picked up by the police officer and you
 - 23 spend the night in Bo town, yes? This is what you told us
 - 24 yesterday. You are collected by the police officer in his
- 11:23:07 25 vehicle and driven to Bo town where you spend the night. Is that
 - 26 correct?
 - 27 A. Yes.
 - 28 Q. The next day you are taken to Freetown where you are
 - 29 interviewed at 9.17 until 12 noon in the morning of 20 November,

- 1 yes?
- 2 A. What year?
- 3 Q. 2003?
- 4 A. No, I did not sleep in Bo. It was not in Bo.
- 11:23:46 5 Q. Well I thought you told us yesterday that he collected you,
 - 6 you didn't have time to go home and tell your wife and children
 - 7 and so you sent a message to them that you spent the night,
 - 8 I thought you said in Bo town, it will be checked, and then you
 - 9 went on to Freetown the next day. Is that not how it happened?
- 11:24:10 10 A. I did not tell you that yesterday.
 - 11 Q. All right. Tell us today what happened on the event of
 - 12 your first ever interview by the Special Court prosecutors?
 - 13 A. Well the experience I had to be interviewed, the police
 - 14 officers the police officer used some kind of trick on me.
- 11:24:53 15 I realised it later. At first we were doing some construction
 - 16 job, but there came to a time when I went to a town called
 - 17 Sambaia Bendugu. They went there and I asked them for a lift.
 - 18 We were many, but they only afforded me the lift. I came to
 - 19 Magburaka. The next morning I saw a policeman. He went with a
- 11:25:24 20 motorcycle and took me from the house. When we came to the
 - 21 office, the same police officers I saw in the vehicle in Sambaia
 - 22 Benduqu were the same police officers who told me in the office
 - 23 that the Special Court wanted me to come and talk to them.
 - 24 Q. What was the trick, Mr Mansaray, that the police pulled on
- 11:25:49 **25 you?**
 - 26 A. Well we were many, but when we spoke to them I was the only
 - 27 one who they gave the lift to, but the next morning I saw them at
 - 28 my house saying that the Special Court needed me and so I did not
 - 29 return home. They said if I was ready let me join them to go, so

- 1 I did not return home. I was a bit afraid even, but I joined
- them and we came to Freetown.
- 3 Q. I am going to ask you again what the trick was and then
- 4 I am going to ask you after that what were you afraid of. Can
- 11:26:38 5 you please explain what the trick was that they pulled on you?
 - 6 A. The way I saw them took me from Sambaia Bendugu, later
 - 7 I knew they went for that they mainly went for that. They went
 - 8 in search of me in that town, so when they told me in the office
 - 9 that morning that the Special Court needed me I had a lot of
- 11:27:12 10 thoughts.
 - 11 Q. Yes?
 - 12 A. So, I was there when I sent a friend of mine to my wife
 - 13 that we were going to Freetown. They told me we are not going to
 - 14 spend too long, I should not be worried, so that gave me some
- 11:27:36 15 courage and we went.
 - 16 Q. What were you afraid of, Mr Mansaray?
 - 17 A. You know, they say a court is an institution that deals
 - 18 with law and we had just come from a war. I was an ex-combatant
 - 19 and they said the Special Court needed me. I was wondering what
- 11:27:59 20 was the need the Special Court had for me, until when I got
 - 21 there, met with them and they told me if I had any information
 - 22 about the war that we fought I should explain to them. Court
 - 23 deals with laws, so I was a little worried.
 - 24 Q. And if you explained to them they would assist you in
- 11:28:27 25 various ways, is that what they told you?
 - 26 A. Who?
 - 27 Q. The people who took you to and saw you at the Special
 - 28 Court?
 - 29 A. Well, the way I saw them they were policemen. They had

- 1 uniforms on. I was not happy until when we got to Freetown and
- 2 I was not in their care anymore. The other people I saw were not
- 3 in uniform, so I was at ease then.
- 4 Q. Yes. Well, you are going to be at ease for half-an-hour
- 11:29:16 5 now because I think it is time for the break.
 - 6 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, indeed, Mr Munyard. We will take
 - 7 the mid-morning adjournment and we will resume at 12 o'clock.
 - 8 Please adjourn court.
 - 9 [Break taken at 11.30 a.m.]
- 11:50:57 10 [Upon resuming at 12.00 p.m.]
 - 11 PRESIDING JUDGE: Please continue, Mr Munyard.
 - MR MUNYARD: Thank you, Madam President:
 - 13 Q. Mr Mansaray, just before we broke you said:
 - "The way I saw them, they were policemen, they had uniforms
- 11:59:32 15 on, I was not happy until we got to Freetown and I was not in
 - 16 their care any more. The other people I saw were not in uniform
 - 17 so I was at ease then".
 - 18 So police officers particularly in uniform make you feel
 - 19 uneasy, is that right?
- 12:00:00 20 A. Well, it was the time they met me just after I had left the
 - 21 war. That's what I'm telling you. I am not telling you that it
 - 22 was all the times that I saw police officers in uniform.
 - 23 Q. It's not a criticism of you, it's a feeling that's probably
 - 24 shared by many people throughout the world. I just want to
- 12:00:22 25 establish that you move from one situation where you're
 - uncomfortable into another situation where you're being dealt
 - 27 with by civilians or certainly people not in uniform and that
 - 28 made you more comfortable, did it?
 - 29 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Had you been told by the police officers that you would be
- 2 compensated financially for your trip to Freetown or was that
- 3 something that you weren't told until you met these people who
- 4 were wearing ordinary clothes?
- 12:01:05 5 A. They did not tell me anything about money, they only
 - 6 informed me that the Special Court had told them to go with me to
 - 7 Freetown if I was willing to go with them.
 - 8 Q. So the first time you learn that you're going to be
 - 9 assisted financially is when you meet the people from the Special
- 12:01:29 10 Court, the Prosecutors, is that it?
 - 11 A. Yes, that was the time when I met them and they asked me if
 - 12 I was responsible and I said yes.
 - 13 Q. And you spent two days away from home on that occasion,
 - 14 yes?
- 12:02:03 15 A. Yes.
 - 16 Q. And they paid you 30,000 leones in lost earnings for those
 - 17 two days, yes?
 - 18 A. They did not pay me. It was just an assistance, because I
 - 19 did not tell them the amount. It was just an assistance. It was
- 12:02:31 **20** not a payment.
 - 21 Q. You didn't tell them the amount, so they just decided to
 - give you 30,000 leones, did they?
 - 23 A. Yes, I did not charge them as to what they should give to
 - 24 me, I did not tell them what they should give to me. They just
- 12:02:57 25 asked me what I use on a daily basis, that is myself and my
 - 26 family, and I gave them the estimate. So they worked that out
 - 27 and they gave me some money.
 - 28 Q. What was the estimate you gave them for you and your family
 - on a daily basis, Mr Mansaray?

- 1 A. Well, I told them that my family including me would
- 2 normally use between 5 and 10,000 leones per day and they gave
- 3 20,000 for the two days. The 10,000 was for the day we got there
- 4 and to eat in the morning. That was why they gave me 10,000. So
- 12:03:51 5 that's why the money got up to 30,000 leones.
 - Q. Well, you're wrong actually because both lots of money were
 - 7 given to you under the category of lost wages and the reason that
 - 8 you were given lost wages is as follows: "Funds required to
 - 9 facilitate the attendance of witness at the Special Court for
- 12:04:14 10 interview process".
 - 11 A. I don't know if that is the reason they stated there, but I
 - 12 told them that I was not formally employed, I used to do some
 - menial jobs that I could get some money from, that I could get up
 - 14 to that amount. So they assisted me. It was not a payment.
- 12:04:48 15 Q. You made a profit on that journey to the Special Court that
 - 16 time, didn't you?
 - 17 A. I did not make any profit.
 - 18 Q. How much do you say you were able to earn in November of
 - 19 2003 on average per day?
- 12:05:15 20 A. Per day I used to get between 5 and 10,000 leones. In the
 - 21 morning I will go around because I wasn't formally employed, we
 - 22 would go and we would mould bricks, we would do some other
 - 23 construction job, so every day I would get 5 to 10,000 leones, or
 - 24 at times I could even get more than 10,000.
- 12:05:41 25 Q. Did you get work every single day or not?
 - 26 A. Yes, I did, on a daily basis because at times it could be
 - 27 an a contract basis, at times it would be a two week contract or
 - 28 a one month contract and we would ask them for we charge them
 - 29 and they give us the amount.

- 1 Q. And how many in the family, please, in November of 2003?
- 2 A. We were six. Myself, my wife and four children.
- 3 Q. And just to be clear you did tell us yesterday I think that
- 4 you yourself incurred no transport, accommodation or food costs
- 12:06:56 5 on that first interview because you were driven by a police
 - 6 officer, put up for the night and provided with meals. That's
 - 7 correct, isn't it?
 - 8 A. Yes.
 - 9 Q. So when you told us a moment ago that you were given money
- 12:07:19 10 for food that can't be right, can it, unless that was another
 - 11 profit?
 - 12 A. No, the morning I talked about, the 10,000 they gave to me,
 - 13 that was the money to be used for food in the morning.
 - 14 Q. What, for your food in the morning in Freetown?
- 12:07:57 15 A. I don't understand the question.
 - 16 Q. Your answer was:
 - 17 "The morning I talked about, the 10,000 they gave to me,
 - 18 that was the money to be used for food in the morning".
 - 19 What morning?
- 12:08:16 20 A. It was 10,000 leones.
 - 21 Q. What morning was it given to you for food for? Was it the
 - 22 morning of 20 November 2003 when you were being interviewed for
 - 23 the first of more than a dozen times?
 - 24 A. Well, the first morning was the day we got there, the
- 12:08:53 $\,$ 25 $\,$ evening was to eat, buy cigarette and smoke and for the following
 - 26 morning it was for breakfast and lunch. So that was why they
 - gave me that money, 10,000.
 - 28 Q. But you told us yesterday that they provided you with all
 - 29 of those things at no cost to you, unless I have completely

- 1 misunderstood your evidence. And indeed before you answer that,
- 2 Mr Mansaray, if they give you money for food and meals they say
- 3 so in the document that spells out how much they've given. How
- 4 much does a packet of cigarettes cost you in leones in 2003?
- 12:09:59 5 A. Well, at that time it could be up to 1,000 leones.
 - 6 Q. Right. And how many packets do you get through a day?
 - 7 A. At times I can smoke maybe two sticks of cigarettes.
 - 8 Q. How many in a packet? How many in a packet?
 - 9 A. Some cigarettes it could be 10 in a packet, some others
- 12:10:45 10 could be 20 in a packet.
 - 11 Q. We're talking about them costing 1,000 leones. How many do
 - 12 you get for a thousand, is it a packet of 10 or a packet of 20?
 - 13 A. 20.
 - 14 Q. And how many packets of 20 do you get through in a day?
- 12:11:14 15 A. No, I could not smoke that for one day. They gave me the
 - 16 money, they said this money's for your breakfast, your lunch and
 - 17 I can smoke. So I just knew that they gave it to me to make use
 - 18 of it. From what I explained to them they did not give it to me
 - 19 just like that, I requested for it. And besides that it was not
- 12:11:46 20 only food or cigarettes, I also bought some other medicines from
 - out of that same money because after the journey when I got to
 - 22 the place I was not feeling that well, but I did not explain that
 - 23 to them, but out of the 10,000 that they gave to me I used that
 - 24 for food, apart from the one that they brought, the food that
- 12:12:14 25 they brought to me. There are times I could eat more than three
 - 26 times a day, I do not just eat once a day.
 - 27 Q. How can you possibly remember now what it was you asked
 - them for money for in November of 2003 when you've been
 - 29 interviewed by the Special Court on more than 12 different days

- 1 over a period of years? How are you able to say to the learned
- 2 Judges now, "I asked them for money for a packet of cigarettes
- 3 and I also got some medicine" and so on and so forth? Are you
- 4 saying you have a completely accurate memory of every amount of
- 12:13:01 5 money you were given, every item you asked for money for or do
 - 6 you accept that you might be getting things mixed up?
 - 7 A. No, I'm not getting things mixed up.
 - 8 Q. I want to put to you finally in relation to November 2003
 - 9 that you were not paid a single leone for anything other than
- 12:13:30 10 | lost wages and you were paid in two receipts on that date the
 - 11 total round sum of 30,000 leones.
 - Now you saw them again in 2004, in March of 2004, and you
 - 13 told us yesterday about that occasion and you told us yesterday
 - 14 that when you saw them in 2004 it was for more than one day. Do
- 12:14:04 15 you remember? Do you remember saying that. If you don't
 - 16 remember then just tell the Court now --
 - 17 A. Yes.
 - 18 Q. -- how long you spent in 2004 seeing Prosecutors from the
 - 19 Special Court?
- 12:14:33 20 A. Yes, when they met me in 2004, I travelled to Freetown, I
 - 21 was there for more than a day.
 - 22 Q. How many days were you there?
 - 23 A. Well, if I can recall it could be up to four to five days.
 - 24 Q. Did you pay your own transport costs or did they take you?
- 12:15:11 25 A. They paid the transport fare for me.
 - 26 Q. Did you pay for your own accommodation or did they pay for
 - 27 that?
 - 28 A. They paid for the accommodation.
 - 29 Q. Did you pay for your meals over those days?

- 1 A. They paid for the food that I ate where I was.
- 2 Q. Did they pay for your cigarettes during those four to five
- 3 days?
- 4 A. Well, I did not mention cigarette, but whenever they would
- 12:15:59 5 give me money for transport maybe I could get some change from
 - 6 there, then I would buy cigarettes from out of that, but I did
 - 7 not ask them for money for cigarettes particularly.
 - 8 Q. Did they pay you for loss of wages for those four to five
 - 9 days?
- 12:16:25 10 A. Yes, they paid me. Just as I had told them on the first
 - occasion I was not permanently employed, but for the menial jobs
 - 12 that I used to do, they looked into that and they assisted me.
 - 13 Q. Can I just explain something to you, that when I use the
 - 14 expression "pay" I'm using it in the sense of people in England
- 12:16:54 15 and all it means is to give someone some money, it doesn't mean
 - 16 anything more than that. If it means something more than that to
 - 17 you then I will try to remember to use another word. Do you
 - 18 follow?
 - 19 A. Yes, I've heard you.
- 12:17:16 20 Q. Did they give you money you told us that you needed I
 - 21 think 5 to 10,000 per day for the family and then you also had
 - 22 earnings, it may have been that your earnings were 5 to 10,000.
 - 23 Just tell us again how much you needed per day for the family and
 - 24 how much your average earnings were from the casual work you were
- 12:17:46 **25** doi ng?
 - 26 A. Those work that I did, there were days that I could get
 - 27 5,000, some other days I could get 10,000. There were times it
 - 28 could be on a contract basis and they would take us and they
 - 29 would give us the money for the contract. So the amount of money

- 1 that they were giving to us, I did not hear that clearly.
- 2 MR MUNYARD: I interrupted, it was my fault.
- 3 Q. Mr Mansaray, could you repeat your answer, please. When I
- 4 interrupted you the interpreter wasn't able to hear the rest of
- 12:18:33 5 your answer?
 - 6 THE INTERPRETER: No, your Honour, I interpreted exactly
 - 7 what the witness said. He said he did not hear that.
 - 8 THE WITNESS: They were just assisting me because the money
 - 9 that I got from the casual jobs that I was doing could not reach
- 12:18:54 10 up to the amount that they even gave to me, so I cannot refer to
 - 11 that as payments, it was just an assistance. Because there were
 - 12 some contracts that I had, at times in two days time I would even
 - 13 get more than 50,000 leones. Then some other days I would go and
 - 14 make bricks, at times I could get 5 or 10,000 leones a day. So
- 12:19:25 15 in 2003/2004 that they met me, the money that they gave to me
 - 16 maybe for two days, four days that I spent with the Special
 - 17 Court, they did not pay me the exact money that I used to get
 - 18 when they were taking me. It was just an assistance that they
 - 19 were rendering to me.
- 12:19:48 20 MR MUNYARD:
 - 21 Q. In the typical week you would be earning nearer to 5 to
 - 22 10,000 leones a day than 50,000 leones a day, wouldn't you, in a
 - 23 typical week?
 - 24 A. I did not understand that.
- 12:20:13 25 Q. Is it the word "typical"? Let me try another expression.
 - In a normal week you would not be earning as much as 50,000
 - 27 I eones a day, would you, you'd be much more likely to be earning
 - 28 between 5 and 10,000 a day?
 - 29 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And you said to a us a moment ago the money you said it
- 2 couldn't reach up to the money that you were earning, it
- 3 couldn't reach up to the money that the Court were giving you.
- 4 Do you mean that the Court were assisting you with more than you
- 12:20:57 5 would normally earn in a day?
 - 6 A. It was less. It was less than what I used to get.
 - 7 Q. Well, that's not compensation for loss of wages, is it?
 - 8 A. Well, I took it like that because they told me even before
 - 9 I could divulge anything to me that they were not going to pay
- 12:21:32 10 me, the Special Court does not pay people. So I accepted that.
 - 11 Q. No, they don't pay people, they assist people, don't they?
 - 12 A. Well, I'm talking about mine. I don't know about other
 - peopl e.
 - 14 Q. People was your word, Mr Mansaray, but we'll move on. They
- 12:21:53 15 assisted you, didn't they?
 - 16 A. Yes.
 - 17 Q. And you are perfectly well aware that they assisted plenty
 - 18 of other people as well as you, aren't you?
 - 19 A. I said I know about mine. I don't know about the other
- 12:22:16 20 people, if they assisted them. I know about mine.
 - 21 Q. Is that an honest answer?
 - 22 A. It's an honest answer, because all along that I have been
 - 23 with the Special Court when they were taking me for interview,
 - 24 from the statements to the interview, I did not meet with any
- 12:22:37 25 other person who was a witness or that I knew about the person's
 - 26 affairs. They only told me about mine. They told me, the staff,
 - 27 that I should not discuss anything with anybody relating to the
 - 28 Court so I don't know about any other person's affairs. I know
 - 29 about mine and that's what I'm talking about.

- 1 Q. From 20 November 2003 until the beginning of February this
- 2 year are you saying that you have never come across anybody else
- 3 who has been interviewed by the Special Court and been given
- 4 assistance by them?
- 12:23:20 5 A. If I told you that I would be telling a lie. I don't know
 - 6 about that.
 - 7 Q. Right. Back to March of 2004. What else did you get
 - 8 assistance for in March of 2004? If it wasn't for cigarettes
 - 9 then what else were you given assistance for then?
- 12:23:48 10 A. It was only transportation fare that they gave to me. Only
 - 11 that.
 - 12 Q. And loss of wages?
 - 13 A. I was not on salary.
 - 14 Q. Loss of earnings, maybe. The average amount of money you
- 12:24:14 15 told us you would earn in a normal week was 5 to 10,000 a day.
 - 16 If you were four to five days at the Special Court in Freetown
 - 17 you would need to be assisted in relation to the loss of money
 - 18 you would otherwise have earned, wouldn't you?
 - 19 A. I did not get this particular question clearly.
- 12:24:43 20 Q. Mr Mansaray, in March of 2004 when you go to the Special
 - 21 Court you're not in a full-time job then, are you?
 - 22 A. Yes.
 - 23 Q. Correct. Exactly the same as November 2003, you weren't in
 - 24 a full-time job then either, correct?
- 12:25:07 **25** A. Yes.
 - 26 Q. In November of 2003 they give you assistance in the form of
 - 27 30,000 leones assistance to cover the lost opportunity you had to
 - 28 make some money making bricks or doing other casual work that you
 - 29 weren't able to do because you were down in Freetown seeing the

- 1 Special Court, correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So they presumably gave you the same assistance in March of
- 4 2004 when you were in exactly the same position as you'd been in
- 12:26:05 5 in November 2003, correct?
 - 6 A. Yes. They assisted me.
 - 7 Q. You told us that in 2003 you had spent some money on
 - 8 medicines and some money on cigarettes, yes?
 - 9 A. Yes, but that was my own private issue. I did not discuss
- 12:26:36 10 that with them. I just used the money from my own judgments. I
 - 11 told you that the money they gave to me was not even up to what I
 - 12 used to earn a day.
 - 13 Q. It wasn't compensation for lost earnings, it was less than
 - 14 compensation for lost earnings; that's what you're now saying,
- 12:27:01 15 isn't it?
 - 16 A. Yes, what I used to get on a daily basis was more than what
 - 17 they gave to me.
 - 18 Q. Why didn't you say to them, "I actually earn more than this
 - 19 on a daily basis"? They were quite willing to compensate you for
- 12:27:20 20 loss of earnings, weren't they?
 - 21 A. Well, I did not tell them. What they asked me and I
 - 22 explained, they assisted me in that regard. I did not continue
 - 23 or I did not tell them that no, I was not satisfied. I just
 - 24 accepted it.
- 12:27:44 25 Q. All right. Now just help us with this: You've said that a
 - 26 packet of cigarettes can cost a thousand Leones. Does it cost a
 - 27 round sum of 1,000 leones or does it cost something other than a
 - 28 round sum?
 - 29 A. I don't understand the question.

- 1 Q. Could a packet of cigarettes cost 638 leones, for example?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Would its cost always be 500 or a thousand or 1,500 or what
- 4 would it be if it's not those sorts of sums?
- 12:28:49 5 A. Some cigarettes could be sold at 500 leones, some others
 - 6 1,000 Leones.
 - 7 Q. But can you buy things for figures between 500 and a
 - 8 thousand leones? What do the leones come in in either bank notes
 - 9 or coins?
- 12:29:12 10 A. There are two different. You have bills and coins.
 - 11 Q. I thought there might. Now tell us what the value of leone
 - 12 coins is, please. The word I would use is denomination. What I
 - mean by that is what is the smallest number of leones in a coin
 - 14 and then tell us how many leones there are in the other coins?
- 12:29:51 15 A. Like in my country Sierra Leone the smallest coin is 50
 - 16 Leones.
 - 17 Q. And does it go up 50, 100, 200 and so on in coins?
 - 18 A. What goes up?
 - 19 Q. The amount of the value of the different coins.
- 12:30:14 20 A. Yes, there is another coin, the highest in Sierra Leone is
 - 21 500 Leones. We have 100 Leones and 500 Leones.
 - 22 Q. So you pay for things in Sierra Leone in leones in amounts
 - 23 of 50s or hundreds or thousands, yes? A thing will cost 50 or a
 - 24 hundred or 150 or a thousand, et cetera, do you follow?
- 12:31:01 25 A. Yes, there are some things in Sierra Leone that could cost
 - 26 that amount that you are mentioning now.
 - 27 Q. All right. Now I'm going to ask you one last question
 - 28 about 2004. You've told us earlier that you have a precise
 - 29 memory of exactly what you got the money for in 2003. Is your

- 1 memory of receiving money in 2004 also absolutely accurate?
- 2 A. 2004, the money they gave to me was transportation cost.
- 3 They gave me transport fare to pay my way to meet them in
- 4 Freetown.
- 12:31:53 5 Q. And how much was that?
 - 6 A. I cannot remember that now, the exact amount.
 - 7 Q. If You don't remember the exact amount they gave you, just
 - 8 tell the Court how much it cost in March of 2004 to go from your
 - 9 home to Freetown and back?
- 12:32:30 10 A. Well, at that time transportation was 17,000 leones, 15,000
 - 11 Leones.
 - 12 Q. A round trip, there and back?
 - 13 A. No, one trip, if you make a trip.
 - 14 Q. And they presumably also paid you for the fact that you
- 12:32:59 15 weren't able to earn any money in March of 2004 for four to five
 - 16 days; yes or no?
 - 17 A. I did not get that clearly.
 - 18 Q. Presumably they also gave you assistance to compensate you
 - 19 for not being able to earn money during those four to five days
- 12:33:24 20 when you were down in Freetown; yes or no?
 - 21 A. Yes.
 - 22 Q. And are you able to remember now how much they gave you?
 - 23 A. Well, I cannot remember the amount that they gave to me at
 - 24 that time.
- 12:33:49 25 Q. Do you think it was about 30,000 leones again?
 - 26 A. It was more than that.
 - 27 Q. More than that. Are you able to help us with how much more
 - 28 than that?
 - 29 A. I cannot tell now the exact amount, but it was more than

- 1 the first one. It was more than the 30,000 leones that they gave
- 2 me for the first occasion.
- 3 MR MUNYARD: Pausing there, Madam President, can I put the
- 4 Prosecution on notice that we would like the details of the
- 12:34:32 5 payments in March of 2004 because in the most recent
 - 6 documentation we've been given dated 28 February 2008 there are
 - 7 no payments recorded to this witness between 20 November 2003 and
 - 8 26 January 2006.
 - 9 The Court may remember that the last time I cross-examined
- 12:34:57 10 a witness in relation to these sort of expenses we were suddenly
 - 11 presented almost at the end of the examination of the witness
 - 12 with a further batch of figures that we hadn't hitherto been
 - 13 gi ven.
 - 14 I'll move on now to January of 2006 if I may:
- 12:35:21 15 Q. Mr Mansaray, we know that you were seen in January of 2006.
 - 16 You've told us about the time that you were in Freetown seeing
 - 17 them then and I'm going to try and deal with this as briefly as
 - 18 possible. You've already told us you think you were there for
 - 19 several days, you think it was about a week.
- 12:36:02 20 Let me ask you about 26 January 2006. According to
 - 21 documentation we've been given you were paid 30,000 leones in the
 - 22 category of transport and the reason for it was payment to you
 - 23 for transport/meals from one place to another, presumably your
 - 24 home to Freetown but the places don't matter. Can you remember
- 12:36:32 25 being paid 30,000 leones for transport and meals in late January
 - 26 2006?
 - 27 A. Well, in January they met me but I cannot recall all the
 - 28 monies they gave to me, the total amount of the money they gave
 - 29 to me. They met me so and I believe they gave me money, but I

- 1 cannot state the amount.
- 2 Q. So your memory of what you were given then is not as
- 3 accurate as your memory of what you were given in November 2003.
- 4 That must be right, mustn't it?
- 12:37:37 5 A. Yes, there are some areas I could recall, some assistance
 - 6 they gave to me I could recall, but it came to a time they gave
 - 7 me some assistance but I cannot recall now. Besides 2003 there
 - 8 was some assistance given to me I can still recall.
 - 9 Q. Well, I'm going to take you through the assistance that
- 12:38:00 10 we've been told about and then I'll ask you about any assistance
 - 11 that we haven't been told about. On the same day, 26 January
 - 12 2006, you were given another 30,000 Leones for transport and meal
 - 13 costs to travel to meet with the WMU team, witness management
 - 14 unit presumably. When you went to Freetown for the interviews in
- 12:38:35 15 January of 2006 did you travel anywhere other than Freetown? Did
 - 16 you have to go to any other place to meet anybody or were you in
 - 17 Freetown the whole time before you went back home?
 - 18 A. I was in Freetown until I returned. I didn't go anywhere.
 - 19 Q. So you got 60,000 Leones for transport and meal costs.
- 12:39:03 20 Does that figure ring any bells? Do you remember getting that?
 - 21 A. I can remember, yes. I can remember.
 - 22 Q. That's only two years ago. Did you get anything else that
 - 23 time?
 - 24 A. Yes.
- 12:39:37 25 Q. What else did you get?
 - 26 A. Well, later I was assisted. At the time I was unemployed.
 - 27 I was given money for school fees for my children, books, uniform
 - 28 and shoes. Then my wife too fell ill. I also got ill. I was
 - 29 assisted in that direction.

- 1 Q. I'm sorry to interrupt you, I'm only asking you at the
- 2 moment about January 2006. I'm going to come on to other months
- 3 in 2006. Can you just confine yourself at the moment to January
- 4 2006. We know that you got 60,000 leones for transport and meal
- 12:40:39 5 costs. Did you get anything else in January of 2006 by way of
 - 6 assistance from the Prosecution?
 - 7 A. No, I did not get any other thing else.
 - 8 Q. Are you quite sure about that?
 - 9 A. Yes.
- 12:40:58 10 Q. Is your memory accurate about the amount that you received
 - 11 in January of 2006?
 - 12 A. Well, I can't say it can be accurate 50 per cent because at
 - 13 the time we are dealing with money, I cannot recall all of that,
 - 14 what the exact amounts were.
- 12:41:25 15 Q. I'm just trying to find out how accurate your memory is on
 - these matters. Do you remember receiving 60,000 leones in lost
 - 17 wages on 28 January 2006, two days after you received 60,000
 - 18 leones for transport? Tell us if you don't remember.
 - 19 A. I cannot remember.
- 12:42:04 20 Q. We've been supplied with a document that says that you were
 - 21 given that on 28 January 2006 and we've been given the number of
 - 22 the receipt which presumably you signed for receiving the money.
 - 23 Can you remember being paid 10,000 Leones on 30 January 2006 for
 - 24 meals whilst coming to Freetown?
- 12:42:44 25 A. Well, these monies, that was the way it happened. When I
 - travelled, transport fare and what to eat, they used to pay them.
 - 27 But if there are receipts and that has a date and my signature
 - 28 then I will agree to that. If I haven't seen anything about that
 - 29 and then you want me to answer them I wouldn't want to answer to

- 1 something that will that is not clear to me, because that was
- what was happening. Whenever they needed me I would be given
- 3 transport fare if they were unable to provide me a vehicle to
- 4 convey me to where they want me to go. And we did sign documents
- 12:43:35 5 to that effect.
 - 6 Q. May of 2006, your fourth interview with the Prosecution was
 - on 3 May 2006 and it took place in Bo. Can you remember going to
 - 8 Bo in May of 2006?
 - 9 A. Yes, I went there.
- 12:44:03 10 Q. How long were you there?
 - 11 A. I cannot remember the length of time I spent there.
 - 12 Q. Was it the only time that you were interviewed in Bo?
 - 13 A. Yes.
 - 14 Q. Well, it was only two years ago. In fact less than two
- 12:44:38 15 years ago. Try and go back in your accurate memory and remember,
 - 16 if you can, how many days and nights you spent in Bo being
 - 17 interviewed by people from the Office of the Prosecutor of the
 - 18 Special Court?
 - 19 A. I think it could be a day.
- 12:45:16 20 Q. Might it be two days?
 - 21 A. Well, that would be the first night we passed there and
 - 22 then the following day we they conducted the interview. That
 - 23 will constitute the two days.
 - 24 Q. I accept that. Did you have to pay your own transport to
- 12:45:53 25 Bo on that occasion or did they provide you with transport?
 - 26 A. Which of the years are you talking about?
 - 27 Q. 2006.
 - 28 A. I think I went and when I went to see them.
 - 29 Q. You mean you paid your own fare and they reimbursed you, is

- 1 that what you're telling us?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And were you going from the same home town to Bo as you had
- 4 been from to Freetown in 2003 and 2004? Were you travelling from
- 12:46:53 5 the same town to Bo as you travelled to previously to Freetown?
 - 6 A. Yes.
 - 7 Q. And does it cost more or less to go from your home town to
 - 8 Bo than it does to go to Freetown?
 - 9 A. Itis less.
- 12:47:23 10 Q. How much does it cost, a round trip, from your home town to
 - 11 Bo?
 - 12 A. It was around 15,000 leones.
 - 13 Q. Let me be absolutely clear on that. By 15 you mean one
 - 14 fi ve?
- 12:47:53 15 A. 15,000 Leones.
 - 16 Q. The documents we've been supplied with make it plain that
 - on 3 May of 2006 you were provided with 20,000 leones for meals
 - 18 supplied for two days. Does that sound like the sort of amount
 - 19 of money you would spend on meals over two days in Bo in Sierra
- 12:48:34 20 Leone?
 - 21 A. It's not enough. That money is not enough for two days.
 - 22 Q. Not enough. Well, if it wasn't enough why didn't you tell
 - them what it actually cost you?
 - 24 A. Well, it says from the start of it they told me I was not
- 12:49:02 25 working for them to be paid. So if such amount was given to me
 - 26 and then I started requesting for some others it would appear as
 - 27 if I had wanted them to pay me for what I was doing. So
 - accepted it and I managed it in the best way possible for myself.
 - 29 Q. Is that true, Mr Mansaray? Are you really saying that they

- 1 just gave you this sum of money rather than compensating you for
- the money you had actually spent on the meals that you took?
- 3 A. I didn't get you clearly.
- 4 Q. Are you seriously saying that all the Office of the
- 12:49:50 5 Prosecution did was gave you a certain sum of money rather than
 - 6 actually paid you what it cost for you to eat for two days?
 - 7 A. That was just an assistance given to me for that two days.
 - 8 Q. Right. Well, tell us how it was that they came to give you
 - 9 on the same day 50,000, five zero thousand, for your transport
- 12:50:22 10 costs. You made a big profit on that, didn't you?
 - 11 A. It was not a profit.
 - 12 Q. You told us it cost 15, that is one five thousand, 15,000
 - 13 I eones return trip from your home to Bo but you were given 50,
 - 14 that is five zero, thousand Leones for your transport on 3 May
- 12:50:49 15 2006. That means you made a 35,000 leone profit on the round
 - 16 trip, doesn't it?
 - 17 A. I did not make a profit in fact. Now that you've named the
 - 18 month I have now recalled. I was not staying in my home town at
 - 19 that time. I was somewhere else when I was sent for. I went I
- 12:51:19 20 was in Zimmi Makpele, I came to Pujehun and then later I went to
 - 21 Bo. So I don't think I made a profit out of that.
 - 22 Q. Well, that was why I specifically asked you if you'd ever
 - 23 been interviewed in Bo before, so that you could try and remember
 - the circumstances and are you now saying that you travelled from
- 12:51:42 25 somewhere completely different from your home town to get to Bo
 - 26 for that interview? Is that what you're now saying?
 - 27 A. Yes.
 - 28 Q. What does an average meal cost if you're not staying at
 - 29 home and you're on ordinary Sierra Leonean going out to eat at a

- 1 stall or a cafe or a restaurant of some sort? What would you
- 2 normally expect to have to spend on a meal?
- 3 A. Well, if I am not at my house I sometimes will expense
- 4 12,000 leones or 13,000 leones a day.
- 12:52:58 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: I noticed the witness said "a day" and I
 - 6 thought you had said "a meal".
 - 7 MR MUNYARD: Right:
 - 8 Q. Now we'll move on. The next time you were interviewed was
 - 9 5 July of 2006, that's interview number five. Can you remember
- 12:53:31 10 how long well, first of all can you remember where you were
 - interviewed in July of 2006?
 - 12 A. Well, for that I want you to assist me to be able to
 - 13 recall.
 - 14 Q. You were interviewed by Mustapha Koroma, can you remember
- 12:54:08 15 him?
 - 16 A. Yes.
 - 17 Q. Somebody called Chuck Collot [phon], I think that's his
 - 18 last name. It might be an acronym. It might be a set of
 - 19 initials. Can I just clarify, was there somebody called Chuck?
- 12:54:40 20 I think it's a last name. Chuck Collot, does that ring any bells
 - 21 with you?
 - 22 A. Do you mean Jack?
 - 23 Q. I don't know who this is. All I can tell you is I've got
 - 24 the name Chuck next to Collot. Not Jack, Chuck. But you might
- 12:54:57 25 have known him as Jack. And someone called Magnus Lamin. Does
 - 26 that help you to locate this interview? You were interviewed by
 - three people, Mustapha Koroma, Chuck Collot and Magnus Lamin?
 - 28 A. Yes, I can recall.
 - 29 Q. And do you know where they interviewed you?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Where was that?
- 3 A. It was at my house where I was in Pujehun. There they went
- 4 and interviewed me in a vehicle.
- 12:55:41 5 Q. Right. So no transportation or food costs for you then.
 - 6 Were you given any compensation for not being able to go out and
 - 7 work that day because the Special Court investigators were there
 - 8 interviewing you?
 - 9 A. Well, I was given an assistance when they went there and
- 12:56:08 10 met me.
 - 11 Q. And what was that assistance for?
 - 12 A. They went and paid a medical bill at the government
 - 13 hospital in Pujehun when my wife was admitted to undergo an
 - 14 operation.
- 12:56:29 15 Q. And had they paid for any of your wife's medical expenses
 - 16 before that? In 2006 just two years or less than two years ago,
 - 17 had they paid anything else apart from the medical costs that
 - 18 you've just talked about at the government hospital?
 - 19 A. Yes.
- 12:57:27 20 Q. Can you remember how much they paid you? I keep using the
 - 21 word "paid", I mean, the way you understand it, how much they had
 - 22 assisted you with?
 - 23 A. First I was assisted with 150,000 leones at the time the
 - 24 woman was to be admitted and given blood for the operation to be
- 12:58:04 **25** conducted.
 - 26 Q. And were you subsequently given 180,000 leones for the rest
 - of the medical costs? Mr Mansaray, I don't want to prolong this
 - 28 unnecessarily. The receipts we've been shown indicate that your
 - 29 wife's medical costs of 330,000 leones were actually paid by the

- 1 Special Court. There's no dispute about that. That was 150,000
- 2 in late June of 2006 and the remainder of 180,000 on 4 July 2006.
- 3 So that was all given to you to pay your wife's medical bills,
- 4 yes?
- 12:59:14 5 A. Yes, the 130,000 leones was paid to the doctor who did the
 - 6 operation.
 - 7 Q. And I just want to know in addition to you being given
 - 8 those sums for your wife's medical bills were you compensated for
 - loss of earning opportunity on that occasion in July 2006 when
- 12:59:35 10 you spent time with the Special Court Prosecutors being
 - 11 interviewed yet again?
 - 12 A. No, I was not given any other thing.
 - 13 Q. Were you given any other assistance after the interview in
 - 14 July but before the next interview, interview number six, in
- 13:00:08 15 October of 2007? Were you given any other assistance between
 - 16 July of 2006 and October of 2007?
 - 17 A. Yes.
 - 18 Q. Now do you agree that you were not interviewed let me ask
 - 19 you this: Is it right that you were not interviewed between July
- 13:00:44 20 of 2006 and October of 2007, or were you interviewed between
 - 21 those dates?
 - 22 A. I can recall I was interviewed at my house about two times.
 - 23 Q. Let us be clear about the year. We've been dealing with
 - 24 2006 so far. You were interviewed in Bo on one occasion in 2006
- 13:01:24 25 and you had to travel there from somewhere other than your home.
 - 26 In July of 2006 you were interviewed at your home. What was the
 - other occasion when you were interviewed at your home; was that
 - 28 also in 2006?
 - 29 A. No, it was in 2006 when Mustapha Koroma and others went and

- 1 interviewed me in that vehicle.
- 2 Q. I don't quite understand that. You've told us that
- 3 Mustapha Koroma and Mr Lamin and a man called Chuck or Jack
- 4 interviewed you at your home. You're now talking about when
- 13:02:35 5 Mustapha Koroma and others interviewed you in that vehicle. Is
 - 6 that the same interview as meaning the interview in your home or
 - 7 were you interviewed later by them in a vehicle?
 - 8 A. It's the same interview that I'm talking about.
 - 9 Q. Fine. You've said there were two occasions when you were
- 13:02:58 10 interviewed at your home. When was the other occasion when you
 - 11 were interviewed at your home? Let me try and help you. Was the
 - 12 first time you were interviewed at your home this time you've
 - 13 just talked about when you referred to it as interviewed by them
 - in a vehicle? Was that the first time you were interviewed at
- 13:03:41 15 your home?
 - 16 A. No, I said some other people met me but I was only
 - 17 questioned in a vehicle.
 - 18 Q. I'm going to move on if I can. After the interview in July
 - of 2006 when you were compensated for your wife's medical
- 13:04:13 20 expenses or when they paid your wife's medical expenses to the
 - 21 doctor and the hospital did you receive any other assistance from
 - 22 the Special Court Prosecutors in 2006 that you can now remember?
 - 23 A. No.
 - 24 Q. Well, let me try and jog your memory. Does this sound
- 13:04:46 25 right: That on 23 August 2006 you were given 250,000 leones to
 - 26 pay your children's school fees and the cost of their uniforms,
 - 27 et cetera?
 - 28 A. Yes, this happened in Bo.
 - 29 Q. If the Special Court had not assisted you with the cost of

- 1 your children's school fees or uniforms, et cetera, would they
- 2 have been able to go to their schools and have uniforms? Would
- 3 you have been able to afford that or not?
- 4 A. Well, I would have tried, but I explained to them because I
- 13:05:48 5 had a difficult situation, so I explained to them and they
 - 6 reasoned with me and assisted me.
 - 7 Q. When you say, "I would have tried", I'm sure you would have
 - 8 tried, Mr Mansaray, but do you think you would have been able to
 - 9 afford to pay for the school fees, the uniforms and whatever the
- 13:06:13 10 et cetera means if you hadn't had the assistance of the Special
 - 11 Court?
 - 12 A. Yes, I would have tried some other means because from that
 - 13 time when they assisted me right up to now I am doing things for
 - 14 myself, but at that time I had some problems in my family.
- 13:06:44 15 Q. I don't want to know about the problems, but are you saying
 - 16 to us that you would not have been able to afford to send the
 - 17 children to the school and buy their uniforms, et cetera, because
 - 18 of the problems that you had?
 - 19 A. Yes, at that time it just coincided with the re-opening of
- 13:07:16 20 schools, instead of the kids not going to school and they would
 - 21 be discouraged, so I asked them and they looked into the
 - 22 situation that I had explained to them and they realised that it
 - 23 was correct so they decided to assist me.
 - 24 Q. And so you made a profit from the Special Court to the tune
- 13:07:43 25 of 250,000 leones to pay your children's school fees and
 - 26 uni forms, et cetera, di dn' t you?
 - 27 A. It was not a profit.
 - 28 Q. You didn't have to find the money yourself from your
 - 29 earnings, did you?

- 1 A. At that time I did not have it so that's why I pleaded with
- 2 them.
- 3 Q. And by them providing you with that assistance you profited
- 4 from your relationship with the Office of the Prosecution of the
- 13:08:39 5 Special Court, didn't you?
 - 6 A. No, it was not like that. I did not ask for the money for
 - 7 that reason.
 - 8 Q. Do you think for one moment that if you had not been giving
 - 9 these interviews to the Special Court that they would have
- 13:09:03 10 stumped up the 250,000 leones for your children to go to school?
 - 11 A. Well, I don't think it would have happened, but that's why
 - 12 they told me that if I had any problem that I could not solve I
 - 13 should explain to them. If they assessed it and they saw that
 - 14 was in place they would assist me.
- 13:09:36 15 Q. Mr Mansaray, I'm sure we all understand that. My simple
 - 16 point is this: As a result of your relationship with them,
 - 17 agreeing to give interviews to them, you profited, did you not?
 - 18 A. Well, that is not a profit. I did not profit.
 - 19 Q. I'll ask you one last time. If you hadn't been giving them
- 13:10:06 20 interviews they wouldn't have given you that money, would they?
 - 21 A. Well, it's true.
 - 22 Q. Did you travel anywhere in 2006 after that interview in
 - 23 July which took place at your own home did you travel anywhere in
 - 24 order to see the Prosecutors or give them any information or any
- 13:10:54 25 assistance?
 - 26 A. No.
 - 27 Q. Can you help us then with this: Can you think of any
 - 28 reason why on 25 August 2006 they gave you 25,000 leones for
 - 29 transport and on 28 August 2006 they gave you 25,000 Leones for

- 1 transport if you didn't go anywhere after July of 2006 for the
- benefit of the Special Court?
- 3 A. After July 2006 well, later they called me again in Bo.
- 4 Q. For another interview?
- 13:12:13 5 A. Well, at that time they said I should come to it was not
 - 6 for an interview. To take a passport picture.
 - 7 Q. And did that take five days?
 - 8 A. No.
 - 9 Q. How many days did it take if it took more than one?
- 13:12:49 10 A. Two days.
 - 11 Q. To travel from your home to Bo and back?
 - 12 A. Yes.
 - 13 Q. How many hours does it take to get from your home to Bo?
 - 14 A. Well, it depends on the vehicle you would be travelling
- 13:13:19 15 with because the road is really not in a good condition.
 - 16 Q. Yes, we understand that. Would you now tell us how many
 - 17 hours it can take?
 - 18 A. Well, there are times you could take about six to seven
 - 19 hours.
- 13:13:45 20 Q. Did they accommodate you overnight or did you have to pay
 - 21 your own accommodation costs?
 - 22 A. I paid for my accommodation.
 - 23 Q. For one night before you went home again the next day?
 - 24 A. Yes.
- 13:14:14 25 Q. And presumably they reimbursed you the cost of the
 - 26 accommodation. Am I right that they gave you assistance for the
 - amount you'd spent on your accommodation?
 - 28 A. Yes.
 - 29 Q. And does the sum of 25,000 leones sound right for the cost

- 1 of a return fare from your home to Bo and back or would it also
- 2 cover the cost of a night's accommodation?
- 3 A. The lodging was inclusive in the 20,000 leones and 5,000
- 4 leones for the feeding.
- 13:15:22 5 Q. Now does the lodging and the feeding and the cost of
 - 6 transport all amount to 25,000 leones?
 - 7 A. No.
 - 8 Q. You told us earlier I think it would cost about 15,000
 - 9 Leones to get from your house to Bo and back, am I right?
- 13:15:50 10 A. You are right to say that, but the fare at our end was not
 - 11 stable. It fluctuated. It could go up and come down. It was
 - 12 not a fixed cost.
 - 13 Q. Thank you. That all happened the trip to Bo for the
 - 14 passport photograph you say happened on 23 August. Can you think
- 13:16:23 15 of any reason why on 28 August 2006 you were again paid 25,000
 - 16 leones for transport?
 - 17 A. Yes.
 - 18 Q. Can you tell us, please?
 - 19 A. It was the time I asked them for assistance regarding my
- 13:16:53 20 children's affairs and they asked me to come to Bo, so that was
 - 21 the transport fare that was refunded to me to go back to my home
 - 22 town.
 - 23 Q. Why didn't you deal with that five days earlier when you
 - 24 were in Bo seeing them for a passport photograph?
- 13:17:19 25 A. The photograph thing happened in 2007 and the school affair
 - 26 was in 2006.
 - 27 Q. Let me make it clear I'm only referring to payments at the
 - 28 moment in 2006. Your children's school fees and uniforms you
 - 29 were paid 250,000 on 23 August for. On that same date you were

- 1 paid 25,000 for transport. Five days later you're paid another
- 2 25,000. This is all August 2006.
- 3 A. Well, the five days that you spoke about later, I'm not
- 4 aware about that. It was only the time I came and asked for
- 13:18:18 5 assistance for the school fees and they gave me my transportation
 - 6 fare, but that after another five days later they gave me money,
 - 7 no, I'm not aware of that.
 - 8 Q. So that 25,000 is pure profit to you as far as you're aware
 - 9 now, correct?
- 13:18:42 10 A. No, it was not a profit.
 - 11 Q. You haven't come up with any explanation as to why they
 - 12 gave it to you. You've not told this Court of any money that you
 - 13 spent that they were compensating you for?
 - 14 A. It was the transport fare which I paid and what I should
- 13:19:12 15 pat for my return. That was the reason I was given the 25,000
 - 16 Leones.
 - 17 Q. You already had that money on 23 August, your return fare.
 - 18 Mr Mansaray, did you have any dealings with the Special Court
 - 19 after August but still during the course of 2006?
- 13:19:49 20 A. Well, I don't think so, if I had any other contact with
 - 21 them.
 - 22 Q. Can I just ask you about the business of the passport
 - 23 photograph. Was that an occasion when you went to Bo to sort out
 - 24 documentation issues? Would that be a correct way of describing
- 13:20:16 **25** that?
 - 26 A. Yes, that was the time.
 - 27 Q. Thank you. All right. Well, I want to ask you about
 - 28 November of 2006. Under the category of lost wages you were paid
 - 29 60,000 leones, payments for four days meals. Do you remember

- 1 getting 60,000 for four days meals?
- 2 A. They did not give me money for food.
- 3 Q. Well, can you remember at the end of November 2006 getting
- 4 60,000 Leones?
- 13:21:38 5 A. Yes, I can remember, but I don't think it was for food.
 - 6 Q. Well, it says here that you were given it as payments for
 - 7 four days meals. In other words 15,000 leones a day for food for
 - 8 four days. Is that what it would cost you to eat if you weren't
 - 9 staying at home, 15,000 leones a day?
- 13:22:19 10 A. I cannot remember that, the time you're talking about. I'm
 - 11 a little confused.
 - 12 Q. I'm trying to jog your memory. Would it cost you about
 - 13 15,000 leones a day to eat if you weren't staying at home?
 - 14 A. Well, it depends.
- 13:22:54 15 Q. Could you manage on 15,000 leones a day for food if you're
 - 16 not at home?
 - 17 A. Yes.
 - 18 Q. So why do you think you were paid, on 28 November 2006,
 - 19 60,000 leones for four days worth of meals? What were you doing
- 13:23:24 20 with the Office of the Prosecutor in late November 2006 that
 - involved you having to be paid your food costs, your away from
 - 22 home food costs, it would appear?
 - 23 A. Well, at this time I don't really understand what you are
 - 24 talking about. I don't think something like that happened in
- 13:24:12 25 November 2006.
 - 26 Q. That's what we've been supplied with by the Prosecution, a
 - 27 receipt for 60,000 leones for payments for four days meals. If
 - 28 you didn't spend four days engaged on activities for the Office
 - 29 of the Prosecutor then that again is pure profit for you, isn't

- 1 it; 60,000 leones profit?
- 2 A. I don't believe so.
- 3 Q. When you went in August to sort out your passport
- 4 photograph did you also meet witness management unit staff or did
- 13:25:08 5 they help you to sort out the documentation problems?
 - 6 A. Well, I think it could be July 2007.
 - 7 Q. Mr Mansaray, were you paid money for your transport fare
 - 8 from your home to Bo and back upon invitation to meet witness
 - 9 management unit staff in Bo to sort out documentation issues?
- 13:26:00 10 Forget about the year. Is what I have just suggested to you
 - 11 correct?
 - 12 A. Well, they called me in Bo to make the passport
 - 13 arrangements.
 - 14 Q. And is "they" the witness management unit staff?
- 13:26:30 15 A. Yes, I met with them.
 - 16 Q. Are you able to help us now with the year? Was it 2006 or
 - 17 2007?
 - 18 A. 2007, Jul y.
 - 19 Q. Now we'd just dealt with 28 November 2006. I want to turn
- 13:26:56 20 now to December of 2006. Can you remember now did you have any
 - 21 particular problems, and I don't want to know the detail, but did
 - 22 you have any particular problems in December 2006?
 - 23 A. Yes.
 - 24 Q. And were those problems of a medical nature?
- 13:27:27 **25** A. Yes
 - 26 Q. Would you have been able to afford to pay for your pay
 - 27 your medical bills if you hadn't been given assistance by the
 - 28 Special Court?
 - 29 A. At the time when I was employed I used to pay my medical

- 1 bills. But when I was not employed when I got medical problems I
- 2 communicated that to them for assistance so that they could
- 3 assist me.
- 4 Q. And so does it follow that in December 2006 you were not
- 13:28:07 5 employed and so you had to ask the Special Court to pay your
 - 6 medical bills?
 - 7 A. Yes.
 - 8 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Munyard, I'm noting the time.
- 9 MR MUNYARD: Madam President, so am I and I'm moving on to 13:28:28 10 something else so it's probably best to stop here.
 - 11 PRESIDING JUDGE: I was just going to ask the question have
 - 12 you got many more questions for this witness?
 - 13 MR MUNYARD: I have got quite a bit more.
 - 14 PRESIDING JUDGE: Quite a bit more?
- 13:28:41 15 MR MUNYARD: Yes.
 - 16 PRESIDING JUDGE: In that case if you're moving on to a new
 - 17 topic --
 - 18 MR MUNYARD: We haven't yet reached the sixth interview and
 - 19 he's been interviewed more than 12 times.
- 13:28:50 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: I've been counting them up as we went
 - 21 along. So in the circumstances then it would be prudent to
 - 22 adjourn at this point.
 - 23 There was the other issue that you indicated to us
 - yesterday that you would inform us of the status of Mr Griffiths.
- 13:29:15 25 MR MUNYARD: Yes, I've spoken to him last night and again
 - 26 this morning during the morning break. He is about to
 - 27 cross-examine an expert witness in the retrial. That will
 - 28 certainly take him into Monday. He may or may not have finished
 - 29 cross-examining that witness by the end of Monday. At the moment

28

29

deci si on?

1 he wouldn't anticipate being much into Tuesday but he is 2 certainly unable to come here on Monday during court time here in 3 The Hague and he can't say for certain right now whether or not 4 he'd be able to be here first thing on Tuesday morning. said to me is he'll have a better idea by the end of court 13:29:57 5 business today in London which of course is half past five here 6 7 in The Hague which is no good to anybody. I mean that in the - I don't mean in it a pejorative sense. It doesn't assist. 8 that, I'm afraid, is the position at the moment. PRESIDING JUDGE: Can you give us some sort of indication 13:30:20 10 of how long you will require to complete your cross-examination 11 12 of the witness? 13 MR MUNYARD: Of the present witness? An hour or so, 14 depending on the answers I get of course, but an hour or so and 13:30:40 15 we've all been notoriously bad at giving estimates, but that's the best I can do, I'm afraid. Madam President, do you want to 16 17 release the witness now before we discuss anything else? PRESIDING JUDGE: No, I'm just going to ask Mr Bangura if 18 19 he has re-examination. 13:30:58 20 MR BANGURA: Your Honours, at the moment certainly there 21 will be re-examination. A couple of questions. 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: Then it would appear that we will require 23 another witness on Monday given the indications that have been 24 given from counsel for the Defence. 13:31:15 25 MR KOUMJIAN: I'm not sure, your Honour. What you mean by another witness other than the one that we mentioned with the 26 27 security concerns. May I respond before the Court makes a

PRESIDING JUDGE: Certainly. I'm not making a decision.

	1	MR MUNYARD: Do you want to have this witness still here or
	2	can he be released?
	3	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Witness, we are now adjourning for the
	4	rest of the day because on Fridays we do other work in the
13:31:42	5	afternoon and we will be resuming on Monday morning. I again
	6	remind you you're not to discuss your evidence with anyone else
	7	until your evidence is finished. Do you understand?
	8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	9	MR KOUMJIAN: Your Honour, the situation is that
13:32:08	10	extraordinary measures with the security of the family of the
	11	proposed witness have been put into place. These are temporary
	12	measures that are now in place. They will be in place next week,
	13	but we do not anticipate that we'd be able to continue those
	14	measures that are outside of our own control beyond that date.
13:32:33	15	If this witness does not begin Monday I don't believe there's any
	16	assurance that the witness would finish during that time period
	17	before the break.
	18	Our own position is that the Defence has appointed four
	19	senior attorneys. We believe another attorney - the issue of who
13:32:57	20	will cross-examine is for the Defence to determine but this case
	21	is in trial. It is, in our view, the most important trial taking
	22	place in the world today and a lawyer should be available on
	23	Monday or even on Tuesday using Monday's transcript, I imagine
	24	Monday will be largely direct examination, to cross-examine the
13:33:16	25	witness. So we ask the Court to allow us to call this witness
	26	Monday because otherwise we anticipate losing the testimony and
	27	the Court will then lose the benefit of the evidence.
	28	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Allow me to consult.
	29	Mr Munyard, it would appear by implication I think from

29

2 could start in-chief even in the absence of lead counsel, or 3 counsel who will cross-examine. Has that been - do you know if 4 Mr Griffiths has got a view on that? MR MUNYARD: Yes, I do, Madam President. We all have a 13:35:38 5 view on that. Mr Koumjian is one of, on my counting, at least 6 7 seven qualified attorneys, to use his phrase, on the Prosecution bench or available to the Prosecution. We are --8 PRESIDING JUDGE: Sorry, Mr Munyard, I'm putting what Mr Koumjian said. I'm asking what Mr Griffiths's attitude would 13:36:00 10 be. 11 12 MR MUNYARD: Yes, I'm starting to explain. It's quite a 13 lengthy explanation. The Prosecution outbalance the Defence in 14 terms of resources, in terms of available attorneys, in terms of 13:36:23 15 the number of years that they have been involved in the preparation of this very important trial and I would also add in 16 17 terms of lack of cooperation and transparency. We have been entirely cooperative and we have been transparent in our dealings 18 19 with the Prosecution. We have not had that reciprocated. 13:36:54 20 And the way in which this witness has now been, or it's 21 being proposed that this witness be bounced into court, not once 22 but twice, when the Prosecution were fully aware of 23 Mr Griffiths's other commitment, a commitment that was already in 24 place when he took on this brief, a commitment that his 13:37:19 25 professional ethics obliged him to adhere to and a commitment 26 that Mr Taylor was well aware of and consented to, the 27 Prosecution knew all of that. They had a witness order, that's 28 to say a list of the order in which witnesses would come.

Mr Koumjian's response that he is suggesting that the witness

They've shifted that order around and we have been agreeable to

that.

I'm not going to repeat what I said yesterday when this 2 3 issue was raised, but I do want to restate it. I don't need to 4 spell it all out, but I invite the Court to take into consideration all the matters that I raised yesterday. 13:38:02 5 However, I did not outline the full history yesterday 6 7 because at that stage none of us was entirely clear as to the course that the Prosecution were proposing. The full history, 8 Madam President, and your Honours, is that Mr Griffiths in my presence discussed with members of the Prosecution bar the fact 13:38:24 10 that he was going back to London for this retrial and discussed 11 12 witness arrangements with them, including Brenda J Hollis, in my 13 presence and sought their cooperation in the order in which 14 witnesses were to be called. That cooperation was offered. 13:38:58 15 In fact, that offer would appear on the face of it to have been a sham and I say that for this reason: I can't at the 16 17 moment give you a precise date but no doubt someone will check it for me, when I spoke yesterday of an occasion a couple of weeks 18 19 ago on a Friday when I happened to bump into Mr Koumjian in the 13:39:26 20 canteen I didn't give you the full history of that week. 21 week I had spoken to Ms Hollis on the Thursday at the end of 22 court business and I asked her when they were proposing calling 23 the witness 399. I know it was a Thursday late afternoon because 24 I actually had to ask my learned friend opposite to remind me 13:40:02 25 what day of the week it was and she said to me, "Wednesday or 26 Thursday of next week at the earliest". That was when that 27 witness was due. Mr Griffiths made arrangements as a result of 28 my calling him that night. The next morning, Friday, and I think it's now two weeks 29

2 say on the Thursday night I believe that's the evening when we 3 were then all hustled out of court in a hurry because a, what we 4 were told, very important witness had to have his court familiarisation session. So the Prosecution even when they were 13:40:50 5 telling me that they didn't think that witness was going to be 6 7 reached until Wednesday or Thursday at the latest had him lined up outside the Courtroom and were clearly contemplating calling 8 him on the Monday. We then move to the Friday. At the end of the Court day on 13:41:13 10 the Friday, or at some time anyway in the morning of the court 11 12 day on the Friday, I spoke to Ms Baly and again I simply said to 13 her, "I want to give Mr Griffiths an update. Is it still 14 Wednesday or Thursday at the earliest?" Her reply, her opaque reply, was as follows: "Well, the next witness has been ill". 13:41:42 15 The next witness was one whose name I'm not allowed to mention 16 17 but whose number I can't off the top of my head remember, 362. That was all I was told. So I said to her, "What are you telling 18 19 me? Are you saying she isn't well now?" And Ms Baly, who I have 13:42:11 20 to say looked very embarrassed, simply said, "I'm just telling 21 you she's been ill in the past". 22 Now that was all we knew until we left this Courtroom, and as I explained yesterday and I don't need to repeat, by pure 23 24 chance several of us chose to go and eat in the canteen and by 13:42:36 25 pure chance I bumped into Mr Koumjian who said to us, "We are 26 likely to call 399 on Monday". Now I may be innocent and I may 27 be naive but I do not believe for one moment now, in the light of 28 everything that has transpired, that I was getting honest answers on the Thursday or the Friday morning from my colleagues opposite 29

ago, if that assists with the date - the next morning - I should

29

2 but it's now happened twice. 3 On that Friday we then contacted Mr Griffiths at - I gave 4 you the time yesterday. I think from memory it was 4.33 p.m., but it was certainly late in the afternoon on the Friday. 13:43:34 5 then got an email from Leigh Lawrie, who seems to be used as the 6 7 post mistress for these messages - an email from her saying that the Prosecution intended to call 399 on the Monday morning. 8 Sorry, I think it might have been on the Tuesday. happened on the Friday, it was clear they were going to call this 13:43:56 10 witness at the latest on the Tuesday morning and indeed 11 12 Mr Griffiths came here on the Monday afternoon and we were in 13 court ready for that witness on the Tuesday morning. 14 You then gave an oral decision on the application of I 13:44:19 15 think 14 January by the Prosecution for special measures for that witness and also witnesses 532 and 388 to have special measures. 16 17 The decision appears to have been given in writing on 26 February You made the decision known orally in court on that 18 2008. 19 Tuesday morning. 13:44:57 20 Interestingly, the Prosecution intend to call 532 and 388 21 without special measures. So despite the fact that the 22 Prosecution applied on 14 January in the same, at times I would suggest, histrionic terms of the need for special measures for 23 24 all of these witnesses, the moment that the Court says you can't 13:45:27 25 have those special measures two out of the three say, "All right, 26 the security situation is not so terrible that I can't give evidence without them". So two of the three witnesses are going 27 28 to give evidence without the need for special measures and panic

in court and I do not say that lightly and it's happened not once

such as has now been evinced. One of those, we understand, is

	2	in an email last night and I'll tell you about that in a moment.
	3	But in terms of the chronology we're still on the date of
	4	your oral decision. Mr Griffiths spoke that same day to
13:46:13	5	Mr Koumjian who told him, "We are considering appealing the Trial
	6	Chamber's decision in relation to witness 399" and we heard no
	7	more about it. Mr Griffiths then stayed for a couple more days
	8	and then went back to London to resume the retrial.
	9	During the course of this week it is perfectly obvious that
13:46:53	10	a great deal of activity has gone on behind the scenes. We have
	11	been told absolutely nothing about this. The Court has been
	12	told, as far as I'm aware, nothing about the proposal to bounce
	13	this witness in again. No proper formal application in writing
	14	has been made. The idea that extraordinary measures for the
13:47:23	15	witness's family security could have been put in place in just 24
	16	hours is patent nonsense in our submission and it is perfectly
	17	obvious that the Prosecution have been planning this for some
	18	days.
	19	They tell us yesterday afternoon at 28 minutes past two,
13:47:45	20	when they know perfectly well that they've been planning this for
	21	some time, and they know perfectly well the difficult position
	22	that it puts Mr Griffiths in in terms of dealing with this
	23	witness. They also know perfectly well that from everything
	24	they've been communicating to us that the next witness that was
13:48:07	25	going to be called was 532 and that is the basis on which we have
	26	all been working as professionals.
	27	It ill behoves the Prosecution opposite to say to us that
	28	this is the most important trial in the world and yet one of
	29	their most important witnesses can be tossed over the course of a

1 available to give evidence on Monday. This is what we were told

	1	weekend to another member of the Bar. We are saying to you as
	2	experienced professionals who follow our domestic and indeed our
	3	international codes of ethics to the letter as well as in the
	4	spirit, that is not proper or appropriate for that witness to be
13:48:55	5	passed over to somebody else. The Prosecution would not do it
	6	and we would not expect them to do it. We have cooperated from
	7	start to finish with the Prosecution in all that they have tried
	8	to do to adjust their witness arrangements and we have been
	9	treated with a very obvious, embarrassed in some cases, lack of
13:49:22	10	transparency by members of the bar on the opposite side of this
	11	Court. It is quite wrong in our submission to attempt to juggle
	12	this witness in this way for the reasons that I've outlined.
	13	Furthermore, it is extremely unlikely that that witness
	14	will be finished in the course of four and a half court days
13:49:46	15	which is what we have next week. And for the benefit of anybody
	16	who might make any further proposal, extremely unlikely that
	17	witness will be finished in five court days. So that witness is
	18	bound to go beyond the Easter vacation.
	19	And the idea that that witness's extraordinary security
13:50:12	20	measures for his family cannot be put into place again at some
	21	point during the next court session is frankly absurd. You've
	22	had no details of these extraordinary measures. You've had no
	23	details of why they've suddenly been put in place. You've had no
	24	details of why they can't be put in place again and you know how
13:50:35	25	long has been allocated for this trial.
	26	It is a manipulation of the Court. It's a manipulation of
	27	the Defence and we invite the Court to say that the proper
	28	practices and procedures of the Court should be upheld and the

29 witness list not be manipulated in this way. And furthermore

29

2 they not give us embarrassed excuses, but have the guts and the 3 professional strength to actually inform us in proper time of 4 their planned changes in the witness order. PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Koumjian. Sorry, Ms Hollis. 13:51:40 5 MS HOLLIS: Thank you, Madam President. Illness of 6 7 witnesses, unfortunately we don't control that. Two witnesses, not one, were ill. People being shown the Courtroom, that's WVS 8 and I don't know what witness he was talking about. Discussion with lead Defence counsel about his two week absence, I did speak 13:52:02 10 with him and I specifically asked him, "Are you the attorney who 11 12 will cross-examine 399?" He said he was. I said, "Well, then 13 your presence will be required most likely during this two week 14 period you're gone". So he was on notice of that. Mani pul ati on 13:52:22 15 of the schedule, illness of witnesses I've already talked about 16 that. 17 We found out on 26 February that 399, 338, not 388, and 532 would not be provided with the measures we had requested. 18 19 lost 338 as a result of that. Immediately, being officers of the 13:52:44 20 Court who are not acting in bad faith, we went back to our 21 witnesses who were impacted by this ruling and the anticipated 22 follow on ruling to see if there was some way that we could 23 accommodate what we believe to be legitimate security concerns in 24 this case with the Court's order and we began working on that on 13:53:06 **25** the 26th. 26 With 399 we found out yesterday that that could happen 27 because of the willingness of individuals and organisations 28 beyond this Court to become involved. We had no obligation to

that when the Prosecution are contemplating moves such as this

tell anyone that he could testify before yesterday because we

	2	requirements that he gave and it was only yesterday that we found
	3	out we would be able to meet those requirements. At that time we
	4	did indeed tell the Defence. We have told the Defence what our
13:53:39	5	position was at various times based on what we knew at that time.
	6	Now this matter of our unprofessional conduct and failure
	7	to cooperate and failure of transparency, there are certain
	8	things we have no requirement to be transparent about and that is
	9	our ongoing efforts to try and accommodate both witness concerns
13:54:00	10	and the Court's order. We make that known once we are able to do
	11	it.
	12	In terms of transparency in other ways we are transparent.
	13	Many of the questions that are being asked of our witnesses are
	14	because we have provided the documentation to the Defence. If we
13:54:18	15	were acting in bad faith and being unprofessional we would hide
	16	these things, but we're not. And when we make errors we stand up
	17	and say we make errors and we don't make excuses for them as we
	18	have seen consistently from the Defence side of the bench.
	19	Now in terms of cooperation, the Defence paints a very
13:54:36	20	different picture than we have seen of them. We have been told
	21	by them that they would accept certain things and then in court
	22	they act surprised that we put those things forward and I'm
	23	speaking about the executive summary for an expert witness. We
	24	put that to them because we wanted to discuss it with them, we
13:54:57	25	were told that the executive summary would be accepted and then
	26	the same counsel who has just been making these comments about us
	27	stood up in court as though he had never heard about and said
	28	that of course he should have been made aware of it and they
	29	would not or would only begrudgingly accept the executive

1 didn't know that would be the case. There were specific

1 summary.

19

23

24

26

27

28

29

13:56:42 **25**

	2	We have not acted in a way that has embarrassed ourselves.
	3	We have in fact attempted to be straightforward with the Defence
	4	and we have not received the cooperation from them that they
13:55:30	5	would have you believe that we have. We have not acted in bad
	6	faith. If they wish to allege that and make a submission so that
	7	it can be examined and investigated, we welcome that. Because
	8	throughout this trial until today there have been questions put
	9	to witnesses and remarks by the Defence to the effect that we
13:55:49	10	have not been acting professionally, that we are hiding things,
	11	and we deny all of that and we welcome any substantiated
	12	allegations so that we have the opportunity to defend.
	13	Now in terms of 399, Mr Griffiths was well aware that
	14	during this two week period he may be called because I
13:56:07	15	specifically raised that witness with him thinking he might be
	16	the one who would be called upon to cross-examine him. He did
	17	make a commitment to this case. The first time we knew of any
	18	prior commitment that may have an impact on how this case was

conducted in terms of these two weeks was just before he left. 13:56:27 20 So at the time that he was brought on as counsel I'm not aware of 21 any sort of scheduling implications that were raised at that 22 time.

> It is of course for your Honours to determine based upon what is a fair trial whether we will be proceed with this witness with another of these qualified counsel cross-examining that witness or if indeed we will take the chance that we will lose the witness and not allow his evidence to go forward. That is entirely within your hands and we respect your judicial judgment in that matter. But in terms of the accusations that have been

misrepresented our dealings, to their detriment as professionals, 2 3 and we would welcome any opportunity to deal with a substantiated 4 complaint about our professionalism, our ethics or the way we have conducted this trial. 13:57:20 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Ms Hollis. We will retire and 6 7 consider these submissions. MR MUNYARD: Madam President, before you do can I just 8 clarify very briefly something that was said about my conduct. JUDGE LUSSICK: Just before you do I just have one question 13:57:31 10 to ask Ms Hollis before we break to deliberate this matter. 11 12 Obviously we haven't made any decision whatsoever until we deliberate, but I'm just looking at the realities, Ms Hollis. If 13 14 say we were to allow the witness to give evidence on Monday, the way things are shaping up it wouldn't be before the afternoon and 13:57:54 15 then we have Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday and then half a day 16 17 Fri day. Now what are the realities of completing this witness in 18 that space of time? 19 MS HOLLIS: Thank you, your Honour. Your Honour, the 13:58:14 20 Prosecution direct will be very short, we think a day or less, 21 and so it would be a matter of how much cross-examination the 22 Defence went into with this witness. So if we were to sit all day Friday possibly we could complete it, but again it would 23 24 depend upon the extent of cross-examination of the witness. 13:58:34 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. We will --26 MR MUNYARD: Two things. One is in relation to the illness 27 of witnesses. We have never been told that any witness is ill. 28 We have never been told that 562 or whatever her --PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, we know who you're talking about. 29

made, certainly we deny them. We think that the Defence has

	1	MR MUNYARD: You know who I mean. We were never told she
	2	is ill and can't come to give evidence. That wasn't the reason
	3	that we were told that 399 was being bounced in on that occasion.
	4	Secondly, we have never been told until now that the
13:59:09	5	Prosecution are no longer calling 338 and I do apologise for
	6	getting the number wrong on that one. Why haven't the
	7	Prosecution told us since your decision in open court about that
	8	witness that he's no longer being called. I ask the question
	9	rhetori cal I y.
13:59:27	10	Finally, this. It is suggested that I grudgingly accepted
	11	an executive summary. You may have a better memory than
	12	Ms Hollis. When the question was raised I said I'd never heard
	13	about the executive summary and later it was explained that
	14	there'd been some discussions between different counsel on both
13:59:49	15	sides and I said, in terms, I believe there's been a
	16	misunderstanding, a breakdown in communication. In other words,
	17	there wasn't anything grudging about it.
	18	Finally, Ms Hollis says she wasn't aware of any scheduling
	19	implications before a couple of weeks ago for Mr Griffiths's
14:00:13	20	attendance here. If that is right why was she so insistent at a
	21	case management hearing in late November on Mr Griffiths getting
	22	the written permission of Mr Taylor every time he Mr Griffiths
	23	was not going to be in the Courtroom? Of course she was aware of
	24	scheduling implications.
14:00:32	25	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Munyard, I do want to avoid a slanging
	26	match between counsel.
	27	MR MUNYARD: All right. I'm wanting to correct
	28	inaccuracies and I have nothing further to add.
	29	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, will adjourn and consider

	1	this
	2	MS HOLLIS: Madam President, I beg your indulgence. Once
	3	again Defence counsel has misstated communication that has been
	4	given to them. We sent them an email, the same email we sent to
14:00:55	5	your legal officers indicating that we had two witnesses who were
	6	ill. We did send that.
	7	And at the meeting that we had about trial practices and
	8	the Prosecution wanted them, the reason the Prosecution raised
	9	that is because in past trials Defence counsel had been absent
14:01:07	10	and we wanted to ensure that the record reflected always that it
	11	was with the consent of the accused because it's a potential
	12	appellate issue. And to say that I knew about this two week
	13	absence of Defence counsel is totally inaccurate, there's no
	14	basis for it.
14:01:23	15	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Ms Hollis. That is going to
	16	be the end of this exchange. We are going to adjourn to consider
	17	this.
	18	Excuse me, I haven't given a fair indication. We will try
	19	and come back with a decision within five to 10 minutes or as
14:02:02	20	quickly as within that time.
	21	[Break taken at 2.05 p.m.]
	22	[Upon resuming at 2.25 p.m.]
	23	PRESIDING JUDGE: Our apologies, it took a little longer
	24	than I indicated.
14:23:03	25	We have weighed up the submissions and taken account of the
	26	respective rights of the Prosecution to present their case and
	27	the accused's right to have lead counsel who has prepared the
	28	Defence case pertaining to this witness present. We note

29 Mr Munyard's advice that Mr Griffiths is likely to be back on

	1	Wednesday morning and therefore we will hear witness 399 on
	2	Wednesday morning. If Mr Griffiths is available earlier, this
	3	order will be reviewed. In the meanwhile another witness is to
	4	be interposed if the present witness's evidence is completed
14:24:04	5	prior to the return of Mr Griffiths, or prior to Wednesday
	6	morning. That is the order of the Court.
	7	We will now adjourn to Monday morning.
	8	[Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 2.26 p.m.
	9	to be reconvened on Monday, 10 March 2008 at
	10	9.30 a.m.]
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	

INDEX

WITNESSES FOR THE PROSECUTION: TE1-337

TF1-337	5518
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MUNYARD	5519